Exercise 39. (a) Compute the following.

(i) S(3,0), (ii) S(3,1), (iii) S(3,2), (iv) S(3,3), (v) S(n,0), (vi) S(n,1), (vii) S(n, n-1), (viii) S(n,n).

(b) Give a combinatorial proof that the Sterling numbers of the second kind satisfy the recurrence relation

$$S(n,k) = kS(n-1,k) + S(n-1,k-1).$$

(c) Verify the identity

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} S(n,k) s(k,m) = \delta_{m,n}$$

for n = 3 and m = 3 and m = 1. (Recall $s(a, b) = (-1)^{a-b}c(a, b)$ is the Stirling number of the first kind.)

- (d) Lunch box examples. Ok to give your answers in terms of $\binom{n}{m}$ or S(n,m) where appropriate.
 - (i) How many ways are there to distribute 6 distinct candy bars into 4 identical lunch boxes so that every lunch box gets at least one candy bar?
 - (ii) How many ways are there to distribute 6 distinct pieces of fruit into 4 identical lunch boxes? (you might leave some empty)
 - (iii) How many ways are there to distribute 6 identical juice boxes into 4 identical lunch boxes? (you might leave some empty)
 - (iv) How many ways are there to distribute 6 identical sandwiches into 4 identical lunch boxes so that every box gets at least one sandwich?
 - (v) How many ways are there to distribute 6 identical carrots into 4 distinct lunch boxes so that every box gets at least one carrot?
 - (vi) How many ways are there to distribute 6 identical bottles of water into 4 distinct lunch boxes? (you might leave some empty)
 - (vii) How many ways are there to distribute 6 distinct cookies into 4 distinct lunch boxes? (you might leave some empty)
 - (viii) How many ways are there to distribute 6 distinct pieces of cheese into 4 distinct lunch boxes so that every box gets a piece of cheese?

Exercise 40. The following argument is very similar to the one that we used to compute S(n,m), and *seems* to be an easier approach to computing the number of ways of putting n distinguishable balls into m indistinguishable boxes. However, it results in the claim that there are $m^n/m!$ such ways, which cannot possibly be true, since $m^n/m!$ is not always an integer (e.g. let n = 2 and m = 3). Find and explain the flaw in this argument.

To count the ways of putting n distinguishable balls into m indistinguishable boxes, first choose an ordering of the m boxes (so that they're now distinguishable). Then an assignment of n distinguishable balls into those m boxes determines a function $\phi : [n] \rightarrow [m]$. Since there are m^n such functions, and m! permutations of the m boxes, there are $m^n/m!$ ways of putting n distinguishable balls into m indistinguishable boxes.

Exhibit the flaw for n = 2 and m = 3. [Hint: consider the empty boxes. If n = 2 and m = 3 doesn't make it clear to you, try other examples, but note that you need $m \ge 3$ to see what goes wrong. If you try bigger examples, focus on maps where the image has size $|f([n])| \le m - 2$.]

Exercise 41. Read and summarize Example 2.2.4 (up to equation (2.15)), taking time to read the first page of Section 1.4.