## Welcome back warmup

Recall, a graph invariant is a statistic about a graph that is preserved under isomorphisms (relabeling of the vertices).

Before looking through the notes, list as many "graph invariants" as you can from memory. Then, for each, compute that invariant on the following graphs.


Once you run out, there is a list of graph invariants on the next page-fill out your list and continue computing graph invariants.

## Graph invariants

Recall, a graph invariant is a statistic about a graph that is preserved under isomorphisms (relabeling of the vertices).

1. $|V|,|E|$
2. Degree sequence

Also: Minimum degree, maximum degree, vertex of degree $d_{1}$ adjacent to vertex of degree $d_{2}, \ldots$
3. Bipartite or not

If any subgraph is not bipartite, then $G$ is not bipartite. A graph is bipartite if and only if it has no odd cycles as subgraphs.
4. Paths or cycles of particular lengths

Also: longest path or cycle length, maximal paths of certain lengths, ...
5. Edge connectivity $\lambda(G)$ and vertex connectivity $\kappa(G)$.
6. Does it have an Euler trail/circuit?
7. Does it have a Hamilton path/cycle?
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Encode information about which regions on a map share a border.


Mark each region with a vertex. Draw an edge between to vertices if the corresponding regions share a boarder.
Map coloring problems: color a map so that no two adjacent regions get the same color.

Four color problem: In order to color the vertices of a plane map so that no two adjacent vertices get the same color, you will need no more than four colors. (No elementary proof!)
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The chromatic number of a graph $G$, denoted $\chi(G)$, is the least number of colors needed for a coloring of this graph.

A coloring of a simple graph is the assignment of a color to each vertex of the graph so that no two adjacent vertices are assigned the same color.
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\chi=4
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using 2 colors

$$
\chi=2
$$

The chromatic number of a graph $G$, denoted $\chi(G)$, is the least number of colors needed for a coloring of this graph.

To calculate, argue that the graph can't be colored in $\chi-1$ colors, and then give a coloring with exactly $\chi$ colors.

A coloring of a simple graph is the assignment of a color to each vertex of the graph so that no two adjacent vertices are assigned the same color. The chromatic number of a graph $G$, denoted $\chi(G)$, is the least number of colors needed for a coloring of this graph.

## Lemma

The complete graph on $n$ vertices can only be colored in exactly $n$ colors. Namely $\chi\left(K_{n}\right)=n$.
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(Both chromatic and clique numbers are graph invariants.)
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Note: $\alpha(G)=\omega(\bar{G})$
(Recall $\bar{G}$ is the complement of $G$ - toggle all of the edges.)
Also, in a coloring of a graph, the vertices of any given color are all independent.


So

$$
\chi(G) \geqslant|V| / \alpha(G) \text { and } \chi(G) \geqslant \omega(G)
$$

(Dividing $|V|$ vertices evenly into sets of size $\alpha(G)$ gets you $|V| / \alpha(G)$ sets.)

