Warmup

Recall that a "proof by induction" is done as follows: for a statement S(n) that depends on an integer n,

- 1. prove a base (smallest) case; and
- 2. show that if S(n) is true (the "induction hypothesis"), then so is S(n+1).

You try: Prove the following identities using proof by induction.

(a)
$$1+2+3+\cdots+n=n(n+1)2$$

(b) For $a \neq 1$,

$$1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^n = \frac{1 - a^{n+1}}{1 - a}.$$

Warmup

Recall that a "proof by induction" is done as follows: for a statement S(n) that depends on an integer n,

- 1. prove a base (smallest) case; and
- 2. show that if S(n) is true (the "induction hypothesis"), then so is S(n+1).

You try: Prove the following identities using proof by induction.

- (a) $1+2+3+\cdots+n=n(n+1)2$
- (b) For $a \neq 1$,

$$1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^n = \frac{1 - a^{n+1}}{1 - a}.$$

Strong induction: The inductive hypothesis becomes "assume S(m) is true for all (base case) $\leq m \leq n$ "; then the inductive step is to show S(n+1) is true using any of those S(m) for smaller m.

Last time:

Let $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $m\neq 0$. We say that m divides n if

n=mk for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, written m|n.

The greatest common divisor of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, denoted gcd(a, b) is largest number that divides both a and b.

Last time:

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \neq 0$. We say that m divides n if

n=mk for some $k\in\mathbb{Z},$ written m|n.

The greatest common divisor of $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, denoted $\gcd(a,b)$ is largest number that divides both a and b. Equivalently, $\gcd(a,b)$ is the unique positive number satisfying

 $\gcd(a,b)|a$ and b, and if d|a and b, then $d|\gcd(a,b)$.

Last time:

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \neq 0$. We say that m divides n if

$$n = mk$$
 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, written $m|n$.

The greatest common divisor of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, denoted $\gcd(a, b)$ is largest number that divides both a and b. Equivalently, $\gcd(a, b)$ is the unique positive number satisfying

gcd(a,b)|a and b, and if d|a and b, then d|gcd(a,b).

Theorem

Let a and b be nonzero integers, and let $g = \gcd(a, b)$.

- (1) For all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have g|(ax + by).
- (2) The equation $ax_0 + by_0 = \gcd(a, b)$ always has at least one integer solution, which can be found via the Euclidean algorithm.
- (3) All integers solutions to $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$ are given by $x = x_0 + \frac{kb}{\gcd(a, b)}$ and $y = y_0 \frac{ka}{\gcd(a, b)}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A prime number is a number $p \geqslant 2$ whose only (positive) divisors are 1 and p.

A prime number is a number $p \geqslant 2$ whose only (positive) divisors are 1 and p.

```
In \mathbb{Z}_{>0} :
```

```
Primes: 2, 3, 5, 7, ...; Composites: 4, 6, 8, 9, ...; Unit: 1.
```

A prime number is a number $p \geqslant 2$ whose only (positive) divisors are 1 and p.

```
In \mathbb{Z}_{>0} :
```

Primes: 2, 3, 5, 7, ...; Composites: 4, 6, 8, 9, ...; Unit: 1.

Lemma

Let p be a prime number, and suppose that p divides the product ab. Then p divides a or b or both.

A prime number is a number $p \ge 2$ whose only (positive) divisors are 1 and p.

In $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$:

Primes: 2, 3, 5, 7, ...; Composites: 4, 6, 8, 9, ...; Unit: 1.

Lemma

Let p be a prime number, and suppose that p divides the product ab. Then p divides a or b or both.

To prove, recall that there are some integers x and y such that $ax+py=\gcd(a,p).$

A prime number is a number $p \ge 2$ whose only (positive) divisors are 1 and p.

In $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$:

Primes: 2, 3, 5, 7, ...; Composites: 4, 6, 8, 9, ...; Unit: 1.

Lemma

Let p be a prime number, and suppose that p divides the product ab. Then p divides a or b or both.

To prove, recall that there are some integers \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{y} such that

$$ax + py = \gcd(a, p).$$

Theorem (Prime Divisibility Property)

Let p be a prime number, and suppose that p divides the product $a_1a_2\cdots a_r$, where $a_i\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then p divides at least one of the factors a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r .

Today's goal: Every positive integer has a unique prime factorization.

Today's goal: Every positive integer has a unique prime factorization.

Why is this important/special?? We've been taking this result for granted in doing many examples. But it turns out to be non-trivial.

Today's goal: Every positive integer has a unique prime factorization.

Why is this important/special?? We've been taking this result for granted in doing many examples. But it turns out to be non-trivial.

Let's look at examples where "unique factorization into primes" fails...

Let $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of positive even integers:

$$2\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{2z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

Let $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of positive even integers:

$$2\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{2z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if there is some $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ak = b.

Let $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of positive even integers:

$$2\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{2z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if there is some $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4

Let $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of positive even integers:

$$2\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{2z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if there is some $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4, but not 6 $(6/2 = 3 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$.

Let $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of positive even integers:

$$2\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{2z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if there is some $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4, but not 6
$$(6/2 = 3 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$$
.

Defining primes: $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ doesn't have any units, so we define a prime as a number p that has no other divisors in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of positive even integers:

$$2\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{2z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if there is some $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4, but not 6
$$(6/2 = 3 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$$
.

Defining primes: $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ doesn't have any units, so we define a prime as a number p that has no other divisors in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For example,

$$2, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, \dots$$

Let $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of positive even integers:

$$2\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{2z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if there is some $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4, but not 6
$$(6/2 = 3 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$$
.

Defining primes: $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ doesn't have any units, so we define a prime as a number p that has no other divisors in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For example,

$$2, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, \dots$$

But now, notice: 6, 8, 10, and 30 are all prime in $2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, but

$$6 * 30 = 180 = 8 * 10.$$

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients.

Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{ p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$$

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients.

Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{ p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{5}^0, \sqrt{5}^2, \sqrt{5}^4, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients.

Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{ p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{5}^0, \sqrt{5}^2, \sqrt{5}^4, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\sqrt{5}^1, \sqrt{5}^3, \sqrt{5}^5, \dots \in \sqrt{5}\mathbb{Z}$$
,

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients.

Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{ p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{5}^0, \sqrt{5}^2, \sqrt{5}^4, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\sqrt{5}^1, \sqrt{5}^3, \sqrt{5}^5, \dots \in \sqrt{5}\mathbb{Z}$$
,

we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients.

Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{5}^0, \sqrt{5}^2, \sqrt{5}^4, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\sqrt{5}^1,\sqrt{5}^3,\sqrt{5}^5,\dots\in\sqrt{5}\mathbb{Z}$$
,

we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ (all the numbers where m=0).

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients.

Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{ p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{5}^0, \sqrt{5}^2, \sqrt{5}^4, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\sqrt{5}^1, \sqrt{5}^3, \sqrt{5}^5, \dots \in \sqrt{5}\mathbb{Z}$$
,

we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ (all the numbers where m=0).

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ such that ak = b.

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients.

Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{ p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{5}^0, \sqrt{5}^2, \sqrt{5}^4, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\sqrt{5}^1, \sqrt{5}^3, \sqrt{5}^5, \dots \in \sqrt{5}\mathbb{Z}$$
,

we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ (all the numbers where m=0).

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4 and 6, and also $2 + 2\sqrt{5}$.

Recall $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the set of polynomials in x with integer coefficients. Now let

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{ p(\sqrt{5}) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{5}^0, \sqrt{5}^2, \sqrt{5}^4, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\sqrt{5}^1, \sqrt{5}^3, \sqrt{5}^5, \dots \in \sqrt{5}\mathbb{Z}$$
,

we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ (all the numbers where m=0).

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4 and 6, and also
$$2 + 2\sqrt{5}$$
.

Defining primes: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ has a unit, so primes are back to what we expect—a prime as a number p whose only divisors in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ are ± 1 and $\pm p$.

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4 and 6, and also $2 + 2\sqrt{5}$.

Defining primes: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ has a unit, so primes are back to what we expect—a prime as a number p whose only divisors in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ are ± 1 and $\pm p$. For example,

 $\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 11, \ldots$ and also $1+\sqrt{5}$, $1-\sqrt{5}$, $2+3\sqrt{5}$, \ldots

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4 and 6, and also $2 + 2\sqrt{5}$.

Defining primes: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ has a unit, so primes are back to what we expect—a prime as a number p whose only divisors in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ are ± 1 and $\pm p$. For example,

$$\pm 2,\pm 3,\pm 5,\pm 7,\pm 11,\ldots$$
 and also $1+\sqrt{5}$, $1-\sqrt{5}$, $2+3\sqrt{5}$, \ldots

(To check: for a supposed prime p, what integers m, n, m', n' satisfy

$$p = (n + m\sqrt{5})(n' + m'\sqrt{5})$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4 and 6, and also $2 + 2\sqrt{5}$.

Defining primes: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ has a unit, so primes are back to what we expect—a prime as a number p whose only divisors in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ are ± 1 and $\pm p$. For example,

$$\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 11, \ldots$$
 and also $1+\sqrt{5}$, $1-\sqrt{5}$, $2+3\sqrt{5}$, \ldots

(To check: for a supposed prime p, what integers m, n, m', n' satisfy

$$p = (n + m\sqrt{5})(n' + m'\sqrt{5}) = (nn' + 5mm') + (nm' + mn')\sqrt{5}?)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{n + m\sqrt{5} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Defining divisibility: We say a divides b in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ such that ak = b. For example,

2 divides 4 and 6, and also $2 + 2\sqrt{5}$.

Defining primes: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ has a unit, so primes are back to what we expect—a prime as a number p whose only divisors in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ are ± 1 and $\pm p$. For example,

$$\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 11, \ldots$$
 and also $1+\sqrt{5}$, $1-\sqrt{5}$, $2+3\sqrt{5}$, \ldots

(To check: for a supposed prime p, what integers m, n, m', n' satisfy $p = (n + m\sqrt{5})(n' + m'\sqrt{5}) = (nn' + 5mm') + (nm' + mn')\sqrt{5}$?)

$$p = (n + m\sqrt{9})(n + m\sqrt{9}) = (nn + 9mn) + (nn + mn)\sqrt{9};$$

But now, notice: ± 2 and $1 \pm \sqrt{5}$ are all prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, but

$$2(-2) = -4 = (1 + \sqrt{5})(1 - \sqrt{5}).$$

Theorem (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every integer $n\geqslant 2$ can be factored uniquely as

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$$

with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ prime.

Theorem (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every integer $n \geqslant 2$ can be factored uniquely as

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$$

with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ prime.

To prove, we show

- 1. Existence: The number n can be factored into a product of primes in some way.
- 2. Uniqueness: There is only one such factorization.

Theorem (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every integer $n \geqslant 2$ can be factored uniquely as

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$$

with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ prime.

To prove, we show

- 1. Existence: The number n can be factored into a product of primes in some way. (Strong induction)
- 2. Uniqueness: There is only one such factorization.

Theorem (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every integer $n \geqslant 2$ can be factored uniquely as

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$$

with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ prime.

To prove, we show

- 1. Existence: The number n can be factored into a product of primes in some way. (Strong induction)
- 2. Uniqueness: There is only one such factorization. (Lemma)

Recall the division algorithm says for any $a,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $n\neq 0$, there are unique integers q and r satisfying

$$a = nq + r$$
 and $0 \le r < |b|$.

Recall the division algorithm says for any $a,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $n\neq 0$, there are unique integers q and r satisfying

$$a = nq + r \qquad \text{ and } \qquad 0 \leqslant r < |b|.$$

Now, for two integers a,b, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Recall the division algorithm says for any $a,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $n\neq 0$, there are unique integers q and r satisfying

$$a = nq + r \qquad \text{ and } \qquad 0 \leqslant r < |b|.$$

Now, for two integers a,b, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n. Example: Letting n=6, since

$$100 = 16 * 6 + 4$$
 and $22 = 3 * 6 + 4$,

we have $100 \equiv 22 \pmod{6}$.

Recall the division algorithm says for any $a,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $n\neq 0$, there are unique integers q and r satisfying

$$a = nq + r \qquad \text{ and } \qquad 0 \leqslant r < |b|.$$

Now, for two integers a,b, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Example: Letting n = 6, since

$$100 = 16 * 6 + 4$$
 and $22 = 3 * 6 + 4$,

we have $100 \equiv 22 \pmod{6}$.

More:



For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0$, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0,$ we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r)$$

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0$, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0,$ we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying n|(a-b)

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0,$ we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying n|(a-b), i.e. nk=a-b for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}.$ Then writing

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
 and $b = q_b n + r_b$, $0 \leqslant r_a, r_b < n$,

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0,$ we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying n|(a-b), i.e. nk=a-b for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then writing

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
 and $b = q_b n + r_b$, $0 \leqslant r_a, r_b < n$,

we have

$$nk = a - b$$

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0,$ we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying n|(a-b), i.e. nk=a-b for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then writing

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
 and $b = q_b n + r_b$, $0 \leqslant r_a, r_b < n$,

we have

$$nk = a - b = (q_a n + r_a) - (q_b n + r_b)$$

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0$, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying n|(a-b), i.e. nk=a-b for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then writing

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
 and $b = q_b n + r_b$, $0 \leqslant r_a, r_b < n$,

we have

$$nk = a - b = (q_a n + r_a) - (q_b n + r_b) = (q_a - q_b)n + (r_a - r_b).$$

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0$, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying n|(a-b), i.e.

$$nk = a - b$$
 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then writing

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
 and $b = q_b n + r_b$, $0 \le r_a, r_b < n$,

we have

$$nk = a - b = (q_a n + r_a) - (q_b n + r_b) = (q_a - q_b)n + (r_a - r_b).$$

So $r_a - r_b$ is a multiple of n.

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0$, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying n | (a-b), i.e.

$$nk = a - b$$
 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then writing

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
 and $b = q_b n + r_b$, $0 \le r_a, r_b < n$,

we have

$$nk = a - b = (q_a n + r_a) - (q_b n + r_b) = (q_a - q_b)n + (r_a - r_b).$$

So $r_a - r_b$ is a multiple of n. But $-n < r_a - r_b < n$.

For integers a,b,n, with $n \neq 0$, we say a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$,

if a and b have the same remainders when divided by n.

Notice, if a and b both have remainder r, then

$$a = q_a n + r$$
 and $b = q_b n + r$.

So

$$a - b = (q_a n + r) - (q_b n + r) = (q_a - q_b)n.$$

Thus n|(a-b).

Similarly, suppose a and b are integers satisfying $n|(a-b)\mbox{, i.e.}$

nk = a - b for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then writing

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
 and $b = q_b n + r_b$, $0 \le r_a, r_b < n$,

we have

$$nk = a - b = (q_a n + r_a) - (q_b n + r_b) = (q_a - q_b)n + (r_a - r_b).$$

So $r_a - r_b$ is a multiple of n. But $-n < r_a - r_b < n$. So $r_a = r_b$.

Theorem

For integers a, b, n, with $n \neq 0$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. a and b have the same remainders when divided by n;
- 2. n divides a b.

Either way, we say that a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$.

Theorem

For integers a, b, n, with $n \neq 0$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. a and b have the same remainders when divided by n;
- 2. n divides a b.

Either way, we say that a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$.

Some properties: Fix $n \ge 1$.

1. Congruent is an equivalence relation

Theorem

For integers a, b, n, with $n \neq 0$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. a and b have the same remainders when divided by n;
- 2. n divides a b.

Either way, we say that a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$.

Some properties: Fix $n \ge 1$.

1. Congruent is an equivalence relation (reflexive, symmetric, transitive).

Theorem

For integers a, b, n, with $n \neq 0$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. a and b have the same remainders when divided by n;
- 2. n divides a b.

Either way, we say that a is congruent to b modulo (mod) n, written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 or $a \equiv_n b$.

Some properties: Fix $n \ge 1$.

- Congruent is an equivalence relation (reflexive, symmetric, transitive).
- 2. If $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n}$ and $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$, then
 - (a) $a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{n}$, and
 - (b) $a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{n}$.