## Exercise 23.

(a) Solve the following congruences:

(i)  $x^{101} \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ 

Answer. We have

 $\phi(12) = \#\{1, 5, 7, 11\}.$ Since gcd(7, 12) = 1, we must have gcd(x, 12) = 1. So  $1 \equiv_{12} x^{\phi(12)} = x^4.$ 

Therefore

$$7 \equiv_{12} x^{101} = (x^4)^{25} x \equiv_{12} 1 * x = x.$$

So x = 7 is a solution.

(ii)  $10^x \equiv 1 \pmod{27}$ 

Answer. Since gcd(10, 27) = 1, this has a solution of  $x = \phi(27) = \phi(3^3) = 3^2(3-1) = 18$ . (There are other solutions as well: e.g.  $10^3 = 27 * 37 + 1$ .)

(b) The number 3750 satisfies  $\phi(3750) = 1000$ . Find an integer  $1 \le a \le 5000$  that is not a multiple of 7, that satisfies  $a \equiv 7^{3003} \pmod{3750}$  [This integer need not be reduced modulo 3750].

Answer. We have

$$a \equiv 7^{3003} \pmod{3750} = (7^{1000})^3 7^3 \equiv 1 * 7^3 \pmod{3750} = 343.$$

This is a multiple of 7, but adding 3750 (which is not a multiple of 7) preserves its residue. So  $7^3 + 3750 = 4093$  is one such answer.

(c) Show that if  $m = 561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ , then  $a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  for all *a* relatively prime to *m*. [Hint: There may be 320 values of *a* between 1 and *m* that are relatively prime to *m*, but it is not necessary (nor called for) to actually compute  $a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  for all those values. Instead, use Fermats Little Theorem to check that  $a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for each prime *p* dividing *m*, and then explain why this implies that  $a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .]

Answer. If a is relatively prime to  $3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ , then it is also relatively prime to 3, 11, and 17. So Fermat's little theorem tells us

 $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \qquad a^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}, \quad \text{and} \quad a^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}.$ 

But 560 is a multiple of all 2, 10, and 16:

$$560 = 2 * 280 = 10 * 56 = 16 * 35$$

 $\mathbf{So}$ 

 $a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \qquad a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}, \quad \text{and} \quad a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}.$ 

But this means  $a^{560} - 1$  is a multiple of 3, 11, and 17. So  $a^{560} - 1$  is a multiple of lcm $(3, 11, 17) = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 = 561$ . Therefore  $a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ , as desired.

See exercise 10.3 in the book.

**Exercise 24.** Let  $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_{\phi(n)}$  be the integers  $1 \le b_i < n$  that are relatively prime to n, and let  $B = b_1 b_2 b_3 \cdots b_{\phi(n)}$  be their product. [This number came up during the proof of Euler's formula.]

(a) Compute B for n = 4, 5, 6, and 8, modulo n. Note that in each case,  $B \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  or  $B \equiv n-1 \pmod{n}$ , which, together, is the same as  $B \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Answer. As in class, let

$$\Phi(n) = \{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{\phi(n)}\}.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{n=4}:\\ \text{Here, } \Phi(4)=\{1,3\}. \text{ But } 3\equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \text{ so } 1*3\equiv_4 1(-1)=-1.\\ \underline{n=5}:\\ \text{Here, } \Phi(5)=\{1,2,3,4\}. \text{ But } 4\equiv -1 \pmod{5} \text{ and } 2*3\equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \text{ so }\\ 1*2*3*4\equiv_5 1*1*(-1)=-1.\\\\ \underline{n=6}:\\ \text{Here, } \Phi(5)=\{1,5\}. \text{ But } 5\equiv -1 \pmod{6}, \text{ so } 1*5\equiv_6 1(-1)=-1.\\\\ \underline{n=8}:\\ \text{Here, } \Phi(5)=\{1,3,5,7\}. \text{ But } 7\equiv -1 \pmod{8} \text{ and } 3*5\equiv -1 \pmod{8}, \text{ so }\\ 1*3*5*7\equiv_5 1*(-1)*(-1)=1. \end{array}$ 

(b) Prove that  $B \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$  in general. [Hint: Think about multiplicative inverses – when does an integer *a* have an inverse? How many are there modulo *n*?]

*Proof.* = Since a number  $1 \le b < n$  has an inverse modulo n if and only if gcd(b, n) = 1, we have

$$\Phi = \{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{\phi(n)}\} = \{1 \le b < n \mid b \text{ has an inverse mod } n \}$$

Now, break  $\Phi$  into two parts, based on the numbers that are their own inverses and those that are not:

$$\Phi_1 = \{ b \in \Phi \mid b^2 \equiv_n 1 \} \qquad \Phi_2 = \{ b \in \Phi \mid b^2 \neq 1 \}$$

(since b is its own inverse if and only if  $1 \equiv_n b \cdot b = b^2$ ). Thus

$$B = \prod_{b \in \Phi} b = \left(\prod_{\substack{b \in \Phi_1 \\ B_1}} b\right) \left(\prod_{\substack{b \in \Phi_2 \\ B_2}} b\right).$$

Of course, if  $b \in \Phi_2$ , then its unique inverse is in  $\Phi_2$  as well:

$$bb' \equiv_n 1$$
 if and only if  $b'b \equiv_n 1$ .

So  $B_2 = \prod_{b \in \Phi_2} b = 1$  (each element of  $\Phi_2$  has a unique counterpart that it cancels with).

Now what about  $\Phi_1$ ? Well, it turns out that the elements of  $\Phi_1$  pair up nicely as well: If  $b \in \Phi_1$ , then  $b^1 = 1$ , then

(i) 
$$n-b \in \Phi_1$$
:

This follows since

$$(n-b)^2 = n^2 - 2bn + b^2 \equiv_n 0 - 0 + 1 = 1.$$

(ii)  $b \neq n - b$ : If b = n - b, then 2b = n, so that b|n, which contradicts gcd(b, n) = 1. (iii)  $b(n-b) \equiv_n -1$ : This follows since

$$b(n-b) = bn - b^2 \equiv_n 0 - 1 = -1.$$

So the elements of  $\Phi_1$  break into

$$\Phi_1^{(1)} = \{ b \in \Phi \mid b < n/2 \} \text{ and } \Phi_1^{(2)} = \{ b \in \Phi \mid b > n/2 \} = \{ n - b \mid b \in \Phi_1^{(1)} \}.$$

Thus

$$B_1 = \prod_{b \in \Phi_1} b = \prod_{\substack{b \in \Phi_1 \\ b < n/2}} b(n-b) \equiv (-1)^{|\Phi_1|/2} \pmod{n}.$$

So, finally,

 $B = B_1 B_2 \equiv (-1)^{|\Phi_1|/2} \cdot 1 \pmod{n} = \pm 1.$ 

(c) Try to find a pattern for when B is equivalent to  $+1 \pmod{n}$  and when it is equivalent to  $-1 \pmod{n}$ . Can you prove your conjecture?

Answer. If n = 2, then  $B = 1 \equiv_2 -1$ . Otherwise, for n > 2, it turns out that  $B \equiv_n -1$  if and only if there exists a *primitive root* modulo n, which is a number a such that every  $b \in \Phi(n)$ can be written as  $a^k$  for some k (in group theory, this is what it means for  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  to be cyclic). Note that happens exactly when

$$\{a, a^2, \dots, a^{\phi(n)}\} \equiv_n \Phi(n) \text{ for some } a \in \Phi(n).$$

In particularly, since  $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv_n 1$ , and all of the other powers must be distinct, we know (a)  $a^k \equiv_n 1$  if and only if  $\phi(n)|k$ ;

(b) for  $1 \le \ell \le \phi(n)$ , if  $a^{\ell} \ne_n 1$  but  $a^{2\ell} \equiv_n 1$ , then  $a^{\ell} \ne_n -1$ :

We have  $\phi(n)|2\ell$ . But since  $1 \leq \ell \leq \phi(n)$ , this means that we must have  $\ell = \phi(n)/2$ ; namely there are only two k for which  $a^k$  is it's own inverse. Since 1 and n-1 are both in  $\Phi(n)$  and are their own inverses,  $a^{\ell}$  must be the one that's not 1, namely n-1, i.e. -1(mod n).

For example, when n = 5, take a = 2:

$$a^1 = 2$$
,  $a^2 = 4$ ,  $a^3 = 8 \equiv_5 3$ ,  $a^4 = 16 \equiv_5 1$ ;

and since  $a^{2*2} \equiv_5 1$ , we have  $a^2 \equiv_5 -1$ .

Now, if we're in this case, then

$$B \equiv_n a \cdot a^2 \cdots a^{\phi}(n) = a^{1+2+\dots+\phi(n)}.$$

But we showed that

$$1 + 2 + \dots + \phi(n) = \phi(n)(\phi(n) + 1)/2.$$

So  $B^2 = a^{\phi(n)+1(\phi(n)+1)} = (a^{\phi(n)})^{\phi(n)+1} \equiv 1^{\phi(n)+1} = 1$ . Further, since  $\phi(n)$  is even (so that  $\phi(n)(\phi(n)+1)/2$  factors into integers as  $\phi(n)/2$  and  $\phi(n)+1$ —see problem 25(b) below) and  $\gcd(\phi(n), \phi(n)+1) = 1$ , we have  $\phi(n) \nmid \phi(n)(\phi(n)+1)/2$ . So  $B \not\equiv_n 1$ . Therefore  $B \equiv_n -1$ .

Otherwise, one can show that  $B \equiv_n 1$  (I'll spare you the proof).

So when is there a primitive root modulo n? We prove in modern algebra that this happens exactly when

$$n=2, 4, p^k, \text{ or } 2p^k$$

for any odd prime p.

## Exercise 25.

(a) Compute  $\phi(97)$  and  $\phi(8800)$ .

Answer. Since 97 is prime and  $8800 = 2^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11$ , we have

$$\phi(97) = 96$$
 and  $\phi(8800) = 2^4(2-1) \cdot 5(5-1) \cdot 10.$ 

(b) For  $n \ge 3$ , show  $\phi(n)$  is even.

Answer. Factor n into prime powers:

$$n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_\ell^{r_\ell}, \quad p_1 < \cdots < p_\ell.$$

If  $n = 2^r$  for some  $r \ge 2$ , then

$$\phi(n) = 2^{r-1}(2-1) = 2^{r-1},$$

which is even since r-1 > 0. Otherwise,  $p_{\ell}$  is odd, so that  $p_{\ell} - 1$  is even. Thus

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{r_1}) \cdots \phi(p_\ell)^{r_\ell} = p_1^{r_1 - 1}(p_1 - 1) \cdots p_\ell^{r_\ell - 1}(p_\ell - 1)$$

is even as well.

(c) Fill in the blank and prove:  $\phi(n)$  is a multiple of 4 if and only if \_\_\_\_\_.

Answer. As in the previous part, if n has two odd prime divisors, then  $\phi(n)$  will have at least two even factors in  $\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{r_1}) \cdots \phi(p_\ell)^{r_\ell}$ , so is a multiple of 4.

Otherwise,  $n = 2^r$  or  $2^r p^s$  for some odd prime p.

If  $n = 2^r$ , then  $\phi(n) = 2^{r-1}$ , which is a multiple of 4 if and only if  $r \ge 3$ .

If  $n = 2^r p^s$  with  $r \ge 2$ , then  $\phi(n) = 2^{r-1} p^{s-1} (p-1)$ , which is a multiple of 4 since  $2^{r-1}$  and p-1 are both even.

Finally, if  $n = 2p^s$  or  $p^s$ , then  $\phi(n) = p^{s-1}(p-1)$ , which is a multiple of 4 if and only if  $p \equiv_4 1$ .

In summary,  $\phi(n)$  is a multiple of 4 if and only if (1) n has two odd prime divisors, (2) n has a prime divisor  $p \equiv_4 1$ , or (3) n is a multiple of 4 and has at least one odd prime divisor.

(d) Suppose that  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$  are the distinct primes that divide n (for example, if n = 7000, then this list is 2, 5, and 7). Use what we already know about  $\phi(n)$  to prove that

$$\phi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right).$$

Use this formula to double check the value of  $\phi(7000)$  (calculated in class), and to compute 1000000. Compare your answer to the other formula for  $\phi(n)$ .

Answer. We have

$$n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r},$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\begin{split} n\left(1-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{1}{p_r}\right) \\ &= p_1^{k_1}\cdots p_r^{k_r}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{1}{p_r}\right) \\ &= p_1^{k_1}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)p_2^{k_2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots p_r^{k_r}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_r}\right) \\ &= (p_1^{k_1}-p_1^{k_1-1})(p_2^{k_2}-p_2^{k_2-1})\cdots(p_r^{k_r}-p_r^{k_r-1}) \\ &= \phi(p_1^{k_1}\cdots p_r^{k_r}) = \phi(n), \end{split}$$

as desired. So

$$\phi(7000) = 7000(1 - 1/2)(1 - 1/5)(1 - 1/7) = 7000(1/2)(4/5)(6/7) = 7000(24/70) = 2400.$$

-		
	_	

(e) Find at least one solution to  $x^{8644} = 16 \pmod{2025}$ .

Answer. The two prime factors of 2025 are 3 and 5, so

$$\phi(2025) = 2025(2/3)(4/5) = 2025(8/15) = 1080.$$

So since gcd(16, 2025) = 1, we must have gcd(x, 2025) = 1. Therefore, since  $8644 \equiv_{1080} 4$ , we have

$$16 \equiv_{2025} x^{8644} \equiv_{2025} x^4.$$

One solution to this is x = 2.

## Exercise 26.

(a) Find an x that satisfies both  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$  and  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$ .

Answer. If 
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$$
, then  $x = 3 + 7y$  for some  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So

$$5 \equiv_9 x \equiv_9 3 + 7y$$
, i.e.  $7y \equiv_9 2$ .

Since gcd(9,7) = 1, this has a unique solution. In particular, since

 $4 * 7 = 28 = 3 * 9 + 1 \equiv_9 1,$ 

we have

 $\mathbf{So}$ 

$$y \equiv_9 4 * 7 * y \equiv_9 4 * 2 = 8.$$
  
 $x = 3 + 7 * 8 = 59$ .

(b) Find an x that satisfies both  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{37}$  and  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{87}$ .

Answer. If  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{37}$ , then x = 3 + 37y for some  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So

$$1 \equiv_{87} x \equiv_{87} 3 + 37y$$
, i.e.  $37y \equiv_{87} -2$ .

Since gcd(87, 37) = 1, this has a unique solution. In particular, y = 7 is a solution (7 \* 37 = 259 = 3 \* 87 - 2). So

$$x = 3 + 37 * 7 = \lfloor 262 \rfloor.$$