**Exercise 13.** Consider positive integers a, b, and c.

(a) Suppose gcd(a, b) = 1.

(i) Show that if a divides the product bc, then a must divide c.

I give two proofs here, to illustrate the different methods.

*Proof 1: Using only ch. 6 results.* Since gcd(a, b) = 1, we have

ax + by = 1 for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Multiplying both sides by c gives

acx + bcy = c.

Since a|acx (by observation) and a|bcy (because a|bc), we must have that a|c.

*Proof 2: using ch. 7 results.* If  $a \nmid c$ , then there is some prime p and positive integer n with

$$p^n | a$$
 and  $p^n \nmid c$ 

Let m be the largest integer such that  $p^m | c$ , so that  $c = c'p^m$  and  $p \nmid c'$ . Since m < n, we also have

$$a' = a/p^m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

<u>Claim 1:</u>  $a' \nmid c'$ .

This is because otherwise, there would be some k such that a'k = c'. So  $ak = p^m a'k = p^m c' = c$ , a contradiction.

<u>Claim 2:</u> a'|bc'.

Since a|bc, there is some integer  $\ell$  satisfying  $a\ell = bc$ . Dividing both sides by  $p^m$  gives  $a'\ell = c'b$ , verifying our claim.//

<u>Claim 3:</u> gcd(a', b) = 1

Since a'|a, any common divisor to a' and b would have to be a common divisor of a and b. So our claim follows from gcd(a, b) = 1. //

Putting these all together, we have

p|a' and a'|bc', so p|bc'.

Therefore, either p|c' (which it doesn't) or p|b (implying that a' and b have a non-trivial common factor, which they don't). This is a contradiction, implying that a|c after all.  $\Box$ 

(ii) Show that if a and b both divide c, then ab must also divide c.

Again, I give multiple proofs here, to illustrate the different methods.

*Proof 1: Using only ch. 6 results.* Since gcd(a,b) = 1, we have lcm(a,b) = ab/1 = ab. So since c is a common multiple of a and b, we have ab = lcm(a,b)|c.

Proof 2: Using only ch. 6 results. Since a|c and b|c there are  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying ak = c and  $b\ell = c$ . And since gcd(a, b) = 1, we have an integer solution to ax + by = 1. Multiplying both sides by k, we get

k = akx + bky = cx + bky  $= b\ell x + bky$   $= b(\ell x + ky).$  $c = ak = ab(\ell x + ky).$ 

Therefore, since  $\ell x + ky \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have ab|c.

So

*Proof 3: using ch. 7 results.* If  $ab \nmid c$ , then there is some prime p and positive integer n such that  $p^n$  that divides ab but not c. Since gcd(a, b) = 1, using the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, we must have  $p^n$  divides a or b (otherwise, p would divide both). Without loss of generality, suppose  $p^n|a$ . But then, since a|c, we have  $p^n|c$ , a contradiction.

(b) Give examples of a, b, and c where  $gcd(a, b) \neq 1$  and...

(i) a divides the product bc, but a does not divide c:

Answer. Let a = 6, b = 3, c = 2.

(ii) a and b both divide c, but ab does not divide c:

Answer. Let a = 6, b = 9, c = lcm(a, b) = 6 \* 9/3 = 18.

**Exercise 14.** Let s and t be odd integers with  $s > t \ge 1$  and gcd(s,t) = 1. Prove that the three numbers

$$st, \qquad \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2}$$
 (\*)

are pairwise relatively prime (i.e. each pair of them is relatively prime). This fact was needed to complete the proof of the Pythagorean triples theorem (Theorem 2.1 on page 17). [Hint. Assume that there is a common prime factor and use the fact (Lemma 7.1) that if a prime divides a product, then it divides one of the factors.]

Answer. We showed that a Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) is primitive if and only if gcd(a, b) = 1 (i.e. there is no need to check the pairs a and c or b and c, since any pairwise common divisor will imply the others). So since (??) forms a Pythagorean triple, we analyze  $gcd(st, (s^2 - t^2)/2)$ .

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $gcd(st, (s^2 - t^2)/2) > 1$ . Then consider a prime divisor p that they have in common (since  $gcd(st, (s^2 - t^2)/2)$  has a prime factorization, such a p exists). Then since p|st, we have p|s or p|t.

Now using 
$$p|(s^2 - t^2)/2$$
 to write  $(s^2 - t^2)/2 = pb'$  with  $b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $2pb' = s^2 - t^2 = (s+t)(s-t).$ 

So p|(s+t)(s-t), which implies p|(s+t) or p|(s-t). Either way, since p|s, this implies that p|t. But that implies that p is a common divisor of s and t, contradicting gcd(s,t) = 1.

A similar argument follows for p|t. Thus (??) forms a PPT.

**Exercise 15.** Group the numbers  $-10 \le i \le 10$  into sets according to which numbers are pairwise congruent modulo 4. [You should have 4 sets of roughly the same size.]

Answer. Denoting

$$[r] = \{i \in \{-10, \dots, 9, 10\} \mid i \equiv_4 r\},\$$

we have

$$0] = \{-8, -4, 0, 4, 8\},\$$
  

$$1] = \{-7, -3, 1, 5, 9\},\$$
  

$$2] = \{-10, -6, -2, 2, 6, 10\},\$$
  

$$3] = \{-9, -5, 3, 7\}.$$

## **Exercise 16.** Fix $n \ge 1$ .

(a) Prove that congruence is an equivalence relation by showing

- (i) reflexivity:  $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (ii) symmetry: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ ; and
- (iii) transitivity: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ , then  $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if and only if n|(a-b), i.e. there is some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying nk = a - b.

- (i) Reflexivity:  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $a a = 0 = 0 \cdot n$ . So  $a \equiv_n a$ .
- (ii) Symmetry: If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then there is a  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying nk = a-b. So n(-k) = b-a. Thus, since  $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ .
- (iii) Transitivity: If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ , then there are  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$nk = a - b$$
 and  $n\ell = b - c$ .

Therefore

$$a - c = (a - b) + (b - c) = nk + n\ell = n(k + \ell)$$

So since  $k + \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$ .

| _ |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| _ |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

- (b) Suppose  $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n}$  and  $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$ .
  - (i) Show that  $a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{n}$  and  $a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{n}$
  - (ii) Show that  $a_1a_2 \equiv b_1b_2 \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n}$  and  $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$ , we have  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$nk_1 = a_1 - b_1$$
 and  $nk_2 = a_2 - b_2$ . (\*\*)

(i) Using (??), we have

$$(a_1 + a_2) - (b_1 + b_2) = (a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2)$$
  
=  $nk_1 + nk_2 = n(k_1 + k_2)$ , and  $(a_1 - a_2) - (b_1 - b_2) = (a_1 - b_1) - (a_2 - b_2)$   
=  $nk_1 - nk_2 = n(k_1 - k_2)$ .

So since  $k_1 \pm k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{n}$  and  $a_1 - a_2 \equiv b_1 - b_2 \pmod{n}$ (ii) Rearranging (??), we have

$$a_1 = nk_1 + b_1$$
 and  $a_2 = nk_2 + b_2$ .

So

$$a_1a_2 = (nk_1 + b_1)(nk_2 + b_2)$$
  
=  $n^2k_1k_2 + n(k_1b_2 + k_2b_1) + b_1b_2$   
=  $n(nk_1k_2 + k_1b_2 + k_2b_1) + b_1b_2$ ,

giving

 $a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 = n(nk_1k_2 + k_1b_2 + k_2b_1).$ 

Therefore, since  $(nk_1k_2 + k_1b_2 + k_2b_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $a_1a_2 \equiv b_1b_2 \pmod{n}$ .

(c) Division.

(i) Give an example of a, b, c, and n, with  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , where

 $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$ , but  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{n}$ . *Example.* Let a = c = 2, b = 7, and n = 10: we have  $2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{10}$ , but  $2 * 2 = 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ , and  $2 * 7 = 14 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ .

(ii) Show that if gcd(c, n) = 1, then

 $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

**Proof.** If  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$ , then n|ac - bc = (a - b)c. So, since gcd(c, n) = 1, by Exercise 13(a)(i), we have n|(a - b). Thus  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .