Recall proof by induction:

1. Show a base case: show that $P(n_0)$ is true.

2. Induction step: show $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Then since \Rightarrow is "transitive", we have proven P(k) for all $k \ge n_0$.

Recall proof by induction:

- 1. Show a base case: show that $P(n_0)$ is true.
- 2. Induction step: show $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Then since \Rightarrow is "transitive", we have proven P(k) for all $k \ge n_0$.

For example, we proved

$$P(n):$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

by checking

$$P(1):$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{1} i = 1 = \frac{1(2)}{2} \checkmark,$

and $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\right) + (n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} \checkmark.$$

Recall proof by induction:

1. Show a base case: show that $P(n_0)$ is true.

2. Induction step: show
$$P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$$
 for all $n \ge n_0$.

Then since \Rightarrow is "transitive", we have proven P(k) for all $k \ge n_0$.

For example, we proved

$$P(n):$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

by checking

$$P(1):$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{1} i = 1 = \frac{1(2)}{2} \checkmark,$

and $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\right) + (n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} \checkmark.$$

So for any $k \ge 1$, we have P(1) is true and

 $P(1) \Rightarrow P(2) \Rightarrow P(3) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow P(k-1) \Rightarrow P(k),$

so P(k) is true too!

Proof by induction:

- 1. Show a base case: show that $P(n_0)$ is true.
- 2. Induction step: show $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n \ge n_0$. "Fix $n \ge n_0$ and assume P(n). \leftarrow Induction hypothesis Then So P(n+1) is true." \leftarrow Induction step

Proof by induction:

- 1. Show a base case: show that $P(n_0)$ is true.
- 2. Induction step: show $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n \ge n_0$. "Fix $n \ge n_0$ and assume P(n). \leftarrow Induction hypothesis Then So P(n+1) is true." \leftarrow Induction step

A variant on induction: Strong Induction

- 1. Show a base case: show that $P(n_0)$ is true.
- 2. Induction step: show

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i=n_0}^n P(i)\right) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$$

for all $n \ge n_0$.

"Fix $n \ge n_0$ and assume P(k) for all $n_0 \le k \le n$.

(Strong) induction hypothesisThen So P(n + 1) is true." \leftarrow Induction step

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$$

with p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r prime (not necessarily distinct).

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$$

with p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r prime (not necessarily distinct).

Proof by strong induction (1st draft). Let P(n) be the thm statement. Base case: 2 is prime, so the statement holds for n = 2. \checkmark Goal: Assume P(k) for all $2 \le k \le n$, and show n + 1 has a factorization into primes.

 $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$

with p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r prime (not necessarily distinct).

Proof by strong induction (1st draft). Let P(n) be the thm statement. Base case: 2 is prime, so the statement holds for n = 2. \checkmark Goal: Assume P(k) for all $2 \le k \le n$, and show n + 1 has a factorization into primes.

Induction step: Fix $n \ge 2$ and assume that k has a prime factorization for all $2 \le k \le n$. Now consider n + 1. Either n + 1 is prime, or n + 1 = xy for some integers $2 \le x, y \le n$. By the induction hypothesis, there are primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_s$ so that

$$x = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$$
 and $y = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$.

So

$$n+1 = xy = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s.\checkmark$$

Conclusion. Since P(2) holds, and $\left(\bigwedge_{k=n_0}^n P(i)\right) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n \ge 2$, we have $P(\ell)$ for all $\ell \ge 2$.

 $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$

with p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r prime (not necessarily distinct).

Proof by strong induction (1st draft). Basically, we just showed

$$P(2)$$

$$P(2) \Rightarrow P(3)$$

$$(P(2) \land P(3)) \Rightarrow P(4)$$

$$(P(2) \land P(3) \land P(4)) \Rightarrow P(5)$$

$$(P(2) \land P(3) \land P(4) \land P(5)) \Rightarrow P(6)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(P(2) \land P(3) \land \dots \land P(n)) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$$

 $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$

with p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r prime (not necessarily distinct).

Proof by strong induction (Final draft). First, 2 is prime, so the statement holds for n = 2. Next, fix $n \ge 2$ and assume k has a prime factorization for all $2 \le k \le n$. Now, considering n + 1, either n + 1 is prime, or n + 1 = xy for some integers $2 \le x, y \le n$. By the induction hypothesis, there are primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_s$ so that

 $x = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$ and $y = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$.

So

$$n+1 = xy = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s.\checkmark$$

Thus, by strong induction, the claim holds for all $n \ge 2$.

Claim: A chocolate bar consists of unit squares arranged in an $n \times m$ rectangular grid. There's a way to split the bar into individual unit squares by breaking along the lines, in exactly mn - 1 breaks.

Proof. Let P(m,n) be the statement that an $n \times m$ bar can be broken into 1×1 pieces in mn - 1 breaks.

Base case: If m = n = 1, then no breaks are required, and $1 \cdot 1 - 1 = 0$.

Inductive step: Fix $m, n \ge 1$, and assume that $P(k, \ell)$ holds for all $1 \le k \le m$ and $1 \le \ell \le n$. We will show P(m + 1, n) and P(m, n + 1) individually.

To show P(m+1,n), first make a break along a column into two pieces—am $m_1 \times n$ and an $m_2 \times n$ piece, with $1 \leq m_1, m_2 \geq m$ and $m_1 + m_2 = m + 1$. So, by the (strong) induction hypothesis, $P(m_1,n)$ and $P(m_2,n)$ both hold. So we can break the two pieces in $m_1n - 1$ and $m_2n - 1$ breaks, respectively, totaling

 $m_1n - 1 + m_2n - 1 + 1 = (m_1 + m_2)n - 1 = (m + 1)n - 1$ moves in total. Showing P(m, n + 1) follows similarly. So by strong induction, P(m, n) holds for all $m, n \ge 1$. You try: Use strong induction to show the following claims.

- 1. Every amount of postage that is at least 12 cents can be made from 4-cent and 5-cent stamps.
- 2. In the game Nim, there are two players and two piles of matches. At each turn, a player removes some (non-zero) number of matches from one of the piles. The player who removes the last match wins.

Claim: If the two piles contain the same number of matches at the start of the game, then the second player can always win.

An integer a divides the integer b, written as a|b, if there exists an integer k such that b = ka:

 $(a|b) \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(b = ka).$

An integer a divides the integer b, written as a|b, if there exists an integer k such that b = ka:

$$(a|b) \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(b=ka).$$

If a|b, we call a = divisor of b. If a is not a divisor of b, we write $a \nmid b$ (LATEX: \nmid). Examples:

1. Since $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, we have 2|6 and 3|6.

An integer a divides the integer b, written as a|b, if there exists an integer k such that b = ka:

$$(a|b) \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(b=ka).$$

- 1. Since $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, we have 2|6 and 3|6.
- 2. The divisors of 4 are 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4:

$$4 = 1 \cdot 4 = (-1)(-4) = 2 \cdot 2 = (-2)(-2).$$

An integer a divides the integer b, written as a|b, if there exists an integer k such that b = ka:

$$(a|b) \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(b=ka).$$

If a|b, we call a = divisor of b. If a is not a divisor of b, we write $a \nmid b$ (LATEX: \nmid). Examples:

- 1. Since $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, we have 2|6 and 3|6.
- 2. The divisors of 4 are 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4:

$$4 = 1 \cdot 4 = (-1)(-4) = 2 \cdot 2 = (-2)(-2).$$

3. The divisors of -4 are also 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4: 4 = 1(-4) = (-1)4 = 2(-2).

An integer a divides the integer b, written as a|b, if there exists an integer k such that b = ka:

$$(a|b) \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(b=ka).$$

- 1. Since $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, we have 2|6 and 3|6.
- 2. The divisors of 4 are 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4:

$$4 = 1 \cdot 4 = (-1)(-4) = 2 \cdot 2 = (-2)(-2).$$

- 3. The divisors of -4 are also 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4: 4 = 1(-4) = (-1)4 = 2(-2).
- 4. The divisors of 1 are 1 and -1.

An integer a divides the integer b, written as a|b, if there exists an integer k such that b = ka:

$$(a|b) \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(b=ka).$$

- 1. Since $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, we have 2|6 and 3|6.
- 2. The divisors of 4 are 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4:

$$4 = 1 \cdot 4 = (-1)(-4) = 2 \cdot 2 = (-2)(-2).$$

- 3. The divisors of -4 are also 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4: 4 = 1(-4) = (-1)4 = 2(-2).
- 4. The divisors of 1 are 1 and -1.
- 5. Every integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a divisor of 0 since $k \cdot 0 = 0$.

An integer a divides the integer b, written as a|b, if there exists an integer k such that b = ka:

$$(a|b) \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(b=ka).$$

- 1. Since $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, we have 2|6 and 3|6.
- 2. The divisors of 4 are 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4:

$$4 = 1 \cdot 4 = (-1)(-4) = 2 \cdot 2 = (-2)(-2).$$

- 3. The divisors of -4 are also 1, 2, 4, -1, -2, and -4: 4 = 1(-4) = (-1)4 = 2(-2).
- 4. The divisors of 1 are 1 and -1.
- 5. Every integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a divisor of 0 since $k \cdot 0 = 0$.
- 6. Zero is only a divisor of itself, i.e. $0 \nmid k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$.

Proof. If a|b and a|c, then there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

b = ka and $c = \ell a$.

Proof. If a|b and a|c, then there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$b = ka$$
 and $c = \ell a$.

So

$$mb + nc = m(ka) + n(\ell a) = (mk + n\ell)a.$$

Proof. If a|b and a|c, then there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$b = ka$$
 and $c = \ell a$.

So

$$mb + nc = m(ka) + n(\ell a) = (mk + n\ell)a.$$

So since $mk + n\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a|(mb + nc), as desired.

Proof. If a|b and a|c, then there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$b = ka$$
 and $c = \ell a$.

So

$$mb + nc = m(ka) + n(\ell a) = (mk + n\ell)a.$$

So since $mk + n\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a|(mb + nc), as desired.

Proof. If a|b and a|c, then there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$b=ka$$
 and $c=\ell a.$

So

$$mb + nc = m(ka) + n(\ell a) = (mk + n\ell)a.$$

So since $mk + n\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a|(mb + nc), as desired.

You try: Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove the following two claims. Claim 1: If a divides b, then a divides b^2 . Claim 2: If a|b and b|c, then a|c.

Hint: for each, if x|y, the first thing you want to try is writing "there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that xz = y."

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

Examples:

gcd(12, 18) =

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$\gcd(12,18)=6,$$

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6$$
, $gcd(12, -18) =$

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6$$
, $gcd(12, -18) = 6$,

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6$$
, $gcd(12, -18) = 6$,
 $gcd(-12, -19) =$

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6, \quad gcd(12, -18) = 6,$$

 $gcd(-12, -19) = 6,$

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6$$
, $gcd(12, -18) = 6$,
 $gcd(-12, -19) = 6$, $gcd(12, 35) =$

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6, \quad gcd(12, -18) = 6,$$

 $gcd(-12, -19) = 6, \quad gcd(12, 35) = 1.$

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

Examples:

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6$$
, $gcd(12, -18) = 6$,
 $gcd(-12, -19) = 6$, $gcd(12, 35) = 1$.

Note, for all non-zero integers a and b, we have gcd(a, b) > 0.

$$\left(\gcd(a,b)=D\right)\Leftrightarrow\left(\left(d|a\wedge d|b\right)\Rightarrow d\leqslant D\right).$$

Examples:

$$gcd(12, 18) = 6$$
, $gcd(12, -18) = 6$,
 $gcd(-12, -19) = 6$, $gcd(12, 35) = 1$.

Note, for all non-zero integers a and b, we have gcd(a, b) > 0.

If gcd(a, b) = 1, we say a and b are relatively prime.