

## LECTURE 17 WORKSHEET: DETERMINANTS

**Instructions.** Work in groups of 2–4. Appoint a scribe to begin filling in the main packet as you work together; trade off scribes every 20-ish minutes. Hand in whatever you have done at the end of class.

### REVIEW

For a function  $f : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$ , we can write  $f$  as a multivariable function  $f(X) = f(\mathbf{r}_1(X), \dots, \mathbf{r}_n(X))$ , where  $\mathbf{r}_1(X), \dots, \mathbf{r}_n(X)$  are the row vectors of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.** A *determinant* is a function  $\det : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  that satisfies the following.

- (i) **Normalized.**  $\det(I_n) = \det(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$ .
- (ii) **Alternating.** Swapping any two rows toggles the sign of the function:

$$\det(\dots, \mathbf{r}_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j, \dots) = -\det(\dots, \mathbf{r}_j, \dots, \mathbf{r}_i, \dots).$$

- (iii) **Multilinear.** The determinant is a linear function with respect to every row (individually): for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{i-1}, \mathbf{r}_i + \lambda \mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{r}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) &= \det(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{i-1}, \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) \\ &\quad + \lambda \det(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{i-1}, \mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{r}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n). \end{aligned}$$

For example, we saw for  $n = 2$  that

$$\det : M_2(F) \rightarrow F \quad \text{defined by} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto ad - bc \quad (*)$$

defines a determinant for  $2 \times 2$  matrices.

Recall that the elementary row operation matrices in  $M_n(F)$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scaling: } S_i(\lambda) &= \lambda E_{i,i} + \sum_{j \neq i} E_{j,j}; & \text{permutation: } P_{i,j} &= E_{i,j} + E_{j,i} + \sum_{r \neq i,j} E_{r,r}; \\ \text{and} & & \text{combination: } C_{i,j}(\lambda) &= \lambda E_{j,i} + I_n. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\det : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  be a determinant, and let  $X \in M_n$ .

(a) We have

$$\det(S_i(\lambda)X) = \lambda \det(X), \quad \det(P_{i,j}X) = -\det(X), \quad \text{and} \quad \det(C_{i,j}(\lambda)X) = \det(X).$$

(b) If  $\mathbf{r}_i(X) = 0$  for some  $i$ , then  $\det(X) = 0$ .

(c) If  $\mathbf{r}_i(X) = \mathbf{r}_j(X)$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then  $\det(X) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.**

(a) If a determinant  $\det : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  exists, then it is unique. (In other words, if  $f : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  satisfies Definition 1, we must have  $f = \det$ .)

(b) If  $\det : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  is a determinant, then for any  $X \in M_n(F)$ , we have  $\det(X) = 0$  if and only if  $X$  is singular (non-invertible).

## YOU TRY

1. **Warm up.** Find two different ways to row reduce  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 5 & -20 \end{pmatrix}$  (two different sequences of steps—aim for something *significantly* different). Use both to compute  $\det(X)$ , and check that you get the same answer. Then check that your answer agrees with Equation (\*).

2. **Playing with the defining axioms of determinant.**

- (a) Take a moment to summarize why Theorem 3(a) and (b) both follow from Lemma 2.  
 (b) Prove that, assuming multilinearity, the condition in Lemma 2(c) is equivalent to the alternating property.

(A little more. In class, we showed that the alternating property of determinants implies Lemma 2(c). Conversely, prove that if  $f : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  instead satisfies multilinearity and the property in Lemma 2(c) (if any two rows are equal then the determinant is 0), then  $f$  is alternating.)

3. **Determinants are multiplicative.** Our goal in this problem is to show that if a determinant  $\det : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  exists, then it is multiplicative; i.e.

$$\text{Claim: } \det(XY) = \det(X)\det(Y) \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in M_n(F).$$

We will do this in two cases: when  $\det(Y) \neq 0$  and when  $\det(Y) = 0$ .

**Case 1:**  $\det(Y) \neq 0$ .

Fix some  $Y \in M_n(F)$  such that  $\det(Y) \neq 0$ . Let

$$D_Y : M_n(F) \rightarrow F \quad \text{defined by} \quad D_Y(X) = \det(XY)/\det(Y).$$

You will prove that  $D_Y(X) = \det(X)$  by showing that  $D_Y$  is *also* a determinant (by Thm. 3(a)).

**Caution!** You do not know what function  $\det$  is: if I gave you a matrix and asked you to compute  $\det$ , you don't have a closed formula for that yet. In the following exercises, the *only* things you know about  $\det$  is what you're told in Definition 1. Just rely on those properties, Lemma 2, and/or Theorem 3 applied to  $\det$  (but *not* to  $D_Y$ —you don't yet know that  $D_Y$  is a determinant).

- (a) Compute  $D_Y(I_n)$ .  
 (b) Prove that if  $\mathbf{r}_i(X) = \mathbf{r}_j(X)$ , then  $\mathbf{r}_i(XY) = \mathbf{r}_j(XY)$ , and use this to argue that  $D_Y(X) = 0$ . Conclude that  $D_Y$  is alternating. (For the first part, once you know  $\mathbf{r}_i(XY) = \mathbf{r}_j(XY)$ , you can use Lemma 2 to say something useful about  $\det(XY)$ . For the last part, use Problem 2b.)  
 (c) Prove that  $D_Y$  is multilinear on rows. That is, show that  $D_Y$  satisfies

$$D_Y(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_i + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{r}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) = D_Y(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) + \lambda D_Y(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n)$$

for all  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{r}'_i \in F^n$  and any  $\lambda \in F$ .

*Hint.* Some suggested notation to help in your proof: Let

$X$  be the matrix with rows  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$ ;

$X'$  be the matrix with rows  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$ ; and

$Z$  be the matrix with rows  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_i + \lambda \mathbf{r}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$  denote the columns of  $Y$ . Then  $(XY)_{s,t} = \mathbf{r}_s \cdot \mathbf{c}_t$ ; i.e. the  $s, t$ -entry of  $XY$  is the dot product of the  $s$ -th row of  $X$  with the  $t$ -th column of  $Y$ . You will need compare the rows of  $XY$ ,  $X'Y$  and  $ZY$  in order to prove that

$$\frac{\det(XY)}{\det(Y)} + \lambda \frac{\det(X'Y)}{\det(Y)} = \frac{\det(ZY)}{\det(Y)}.$$

- (d) Deduce that for all  $X$ , we have that  $D_Y(X) = \det(X)$ . Use this to show that  $\det(XY) = \det(X)\det(Y)$ . (Use Theorem 3(a) for the first part.)

**Case 2:**  $\det(Y) = 0$ .

Let  $Y \in M_n(F)$  satisfy  $\det(Y) = 0$ . Prove that  $\det(XY) = \det(X)\det(Y)$ .

(Hint: We have basically done this already. Explain why  $\det(Y) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{rank}(Y) < n$  (See Theorem 3(b)); and then consider  $\text{rank}(XY)$ .)

**4. Transpose.** Assume a determinant  $\det : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  exists.

- (a) Recall that matrix inversion reverses the order of multiplication: for any invertible  $X, Y \in M_n(F)$ , we have  $(XY)^{-1} = Y^{-1}X^{-1}$ . We aim to prove a similar result for transpose: for  $X \in M_{k,\ell}(F)$  and  $Y \in M_{\ell,n}(F)$ , we have

$$(XY)^t = Y^t X^t.$$

- (i) Compute  $(XY)^t$  and  $Y^t X^t$  for

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and compare. (Compute  $XY$  and take the transpose; then compute  $Y^t$  and  $X^t$  and multiply.)

- (ii) Prove using direct computation that  $(XY)^t = Y^t X^t$  for any  $X \in M_{k,\ell}(F)$  and  $Y \in M_{\ell,n}(F)$ . (Hint: If the rows and columns of  $X$  are  $\mathbf{r}_i(X)$  and  $\mathbf{c}_i(X)$ , respectively, what are the rows and columns of  $X^t$ ? Compute the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $X^t Y^t$  and of  $(XY)^t$  and compare.)

- (b) Use the previous problem to show that for any invertible  $X \in M_n(F)$ , we have

$$(X^{-1})^t = (X^t)^{-1}.$$

(Multiply  $(X^{-1})^t$  by  $X^t$  and use the previous problem.)

- (c) Check that for each of the elementary row operation matrices  $R \in M_n(F)$ , we have  $\det(R) = \det(R^t)$  by row reducing  $R^t$  to the identity.
- (d) Show that for  $X \in M_n(F)$ , we have  $\det(X^t) = \det(X)$ .

(There is a sequence of elementary row operation matrices  $R_1, \dots, R_\ell$  such that  $R_\ell \cdots R_1 X = E$ , where  $E$  is in reduced row echelon form. Then (1) compute  $\det(X)$  in terms of the  $\det(R_i)$ 's and  $\det(E)$  (which is either 0 or 1 depending on whether or not  $X$  has full rank); and (2) compute  $\det(X^t)$  by taking the transpose of  $R_\ell \cdots R_1 X = E$  and then repeating (1). Reminder: for any matrix  $Y$ ,  $\text{rank}(Y) = \text{rank}(Y^t)$ . . . why?)