

## Lecture 4:

### Properties of vector spaces

### Subspaces

### Linear combinations

### Span

Unless otherwise stated:

Assume  $F$  is a field with more than one element (so that  $0 \neq 1$ ).

**Last time:** A **field**  $F$ , much like  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , is a set with addition and multiplication, with lots of familiar properties (commutative, associative, and distributive properties, identity elements 0 and 1, inverses).

**More examples:**  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

A **vector space**  $V$  (over a field  $F$ ), much like  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is a set with addition and scaling from  $F$ , with properties like distributivity, associativity of scaling, and  $1 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . **More examples:**  $F^n$ ,  $F[x]$ ,  $\{f \in F[x] \mid \deg(f) \leq n\}$ ,  $M_{m,n}(F)$ . The **trivial** vector space (over any field  $F$ ) is  $\mathbf{0} = \{0\}$  (with  $0 + 0 = 0$  and  $c \cdot 0 = 0$  for all  $c \in F$ ).

We proved (in a specific case) that for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , we have

$$V = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x + y + z = 0\}$$

1.  $0 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ ; and

**Specific case:** For any  $(x, y, z) \in V$ , we have

$$0 \cdot (x, y, z) = (0 \cdot x, 0 \cdot y, 0 \cdot z) = (0, 0, 0);$$

and  $(0, 0, 0)$  is the additive identity in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and hence is the additive identity in  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ .

2.  $\mathbf{v} + (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Specific case:** For any  $(x, y, z) \in V$ , we have

$$(-1) \cdot (x, y, z) = ((-1)x, (-1) \cdot y, (-1) \cdot z) = (-x, -y, -z);$$

and  $(-x, -y, -z)$  is the additive inverse of  $(x, y, z)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and hence is the additive inverse of  $(x, y, z)$  in  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ .

More generally, let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ . Then for any  $v \in V$ , we have...

1.  $0 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ ; and

**General proof.** Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Since  $0 + 0 = 0$  in  $F$ , we have

$$0 \cdot \mathbf{v} = (0 + 0) \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{v} + 0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (*)$$

by the distributive property. Whatever  $0 \cdot \mathbf{v}$  is, it has an additive inverse  $-(0 \cdot \mathbf{v})$ ; adding that to both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= 0 \cdot \mathbf{v} + (-(0 \cdot \mathbf{v})) && \text{by the definition of } -(0 \cdot \mathbf{v}), \\ &= (0 \cdot \mathbf{v} + 0 \cdot \mathbf{v}) + (-(0 \cdot \mathbf{v})) && \text{by } (*), \\ &= 0 \cdot \mathbf{v} + (0 \cdot \mathbf{v} + (-(0 \cdot \mathbf{v}))) && \text{by associativity of } +, \\ &= 0 \cdot \mathbf{v}, && \text{by the definition of } -(0 \cdot \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathbf{0} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{v}$ , as desired. □

2.  $\mathbf{v} + (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**General proof.** Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} + (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= 1 \cdot \mathbf{v} + (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v}, && \text{since } 1 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}, \\ &= (1 - 1) \cdot \mathbf{v} && \text{by the distributive property,} \\ &= 0 \cdot \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $0 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  by the previous part. And hence,  $\mathbf{v} + (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , as desired. □

### Lemma

Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$ .

1. The additive identity is unique (i.e. if  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , then  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ ).
2. For any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ ,
  - (a) the additive inverse of  $\mathbf{v}$  is unique (i.e. if  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ );
  - (b)  $0 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ ; and ✓
  - (c)  $-\mathbf{v} = (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v}$ . ✓
3. For any  $c \in F$ , we have  $c \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ .

## Subspaces

Let  $V$  be a vector space (over a field  $F$ ), and let  $W \subseteq V$  be a nonempty subset of  $V$ . We say  $W$  is a **subspace** of  $V$  if it is also a vector space under the same addition and scaling as  $V$ .

Necessary conditions:

- ▶  $\mathbf{0} \in W$
- ▶  $W$  is **closed** under addition and scaling: for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \in W$  and  $c \in F$ , we have

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \in W \quad \text{and} \quad c \cdot \mathbf{u} \in W.$$

For any  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \in W$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ , we call

$$a_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + a_n \cdot \mathbf{u}_n$$

a **linear combination** of  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in W$ .

[Think: the sorts of expressions you'll get via iteratively applying scalars and sums.]

**Prop.** A subset  $W \subseteq V$  is a subspace if and only if (1) it's non-empty, and (2)  $W$  is closed under linear combinations of any pair of elements (and hence under linear combinations in general).

**Proof.**

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $W$  is a subspace. . .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $W \neq \emptyset$  and is closed under linear combinations. . .

□

**Cor. (Subspace critereon)** A subset  $W \subseteq V$  is a subspace if and only if

(1) it's non-empty, and

(2) for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \in W$  and  $c \in F$  we have  $\mathbf{u} + c \cdot \mathbf{w} \in W$ .

## You try

Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider  $W = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid ax + by = c\}$ .

- (a) Under what circumstances could  $W$  be empty?
- (b) Under what circumstances could  $\mathbf{0} \in W$ ?
- (c) Under what circumstances could  $W$  be closed under addition?  
[Hint: Assume  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in W$ , so that  $ax_1 + by_1 = c$  and  $ax_2 + by_2 = c$ . Now, take  $(x, y) = (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)$  and plug it into  $ax + by$  and compute.]
- (d) Under what circumstances would  $W$  be closed under scaling?



Let  $V$  be a vector space (over  $F$ ), and let  $S \subseteq V$  be a subset of  $V$ . The **closure** of  $S$  (under linear combinations) is the set

$$[S] = \{a_1 s_1 + \cdots + a_n s_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a_i \in F, s_i \in S\}.$$

We also call  $[S]$  the **span** of  $S$ , which is also denoted  $FS$  or  $\text{span}_F(S)$ .

**Convention:** If  $S = \emptyset$ , we set  $[S] = \{0\}$ , then **empty linear combination**.

**Example:** Let  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \mathbf{0}$  and let  $S = \{\mathbf{v}\}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{R}S = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v} = \{t\mathbf{v} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

*Geometrically:*  $[S]$  is a line through  $\mathbf{0}$  in the direction of  $\mathbf{v}$ .

**Example:** Let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \mathbf{0}$  (not parallel) and let  $S = \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{R}S = \mathbb{R}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\} = \{s\mathbf{u} + t\mathbf{v} \mid s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

*Geometrically:*  $\mathbb{R}S$  is a plane through  $\mathbf{0}$  with direction vectors  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ .

---

**Lemma.** (Two.I.2.15)

Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$ , and let  $S \subseteq V$ .

Then  $FS$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

**Proof.** Use the subspace criterion.

**Remark.** The span  $FS$  is also the smallest vector space in  $V$  that contains  $S$ . (Uniqueness!)

Let  $V$  be a v.s. over  $F$ , and let  $S \subseteq V$ .

**Question:** For a given  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , how do we know if  $\mathbf{v} \in FS$ ?

**Example:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}[x]$ ,  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $S = \{p, q\}$ , where

$$p = x^2 + 3x - 2 \text{ and } q = 2x^2 + 5x - 3.$$

Is  $f = -x^2 - 4x + 1$  in  $FS$ ?

Namely, do there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = ap + bq$ ? i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} -x^2 - 4x + 1 &= a(x^2 + 3x - 2) + b(2x^2 + 5x - 3) \\ &= (a + 2b)x^2 + (3a + 5b)x + (-2a - 3b)? \end{aligned}$$

Two polynomials are equal exactly when the coefficients match; so comparing coefficients produces the three equations

$$\begin{cases} a + 2b = -1 \\ 3a + 5b = -4 \\ -2a - 3b = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{which encodes as} \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 5 & -4 \\ -2 & -3 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

To finish up: Put into reduced echelon form. If there are solutions, then yes,  $f \in FS$ . If not, then no,  $f \notin FS$ .