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## Lie superalgebras

A Lie superalgebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ with a super Lie bracket

$$
[,]: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}
$$

satisfying

$$
[x, y]=-(-1)^{\bar{x} \bar{y}}[y, x]
$$

and

$$
[x,[y, z]]]=[[x, y], z]+(-1)^{\bar{x} \bar{y}}[y,[x, z]]
$$

where $x, y, z$ are each homogeneous, and $\bar{x}$ means degree.
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\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, A \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{0}\right), D \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{1}\right)\right\} \\
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\end{gathered}
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Goal: Study the representation theory of $\mathfrak{p}(n)$. In particular, study the category $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ of finite-dimensional integrable representations.
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Define the thick Kac module (corresponding to $\lambda$ ) as

$$
\mathbf{K}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}}^{\mathfrak{p}(n)} V(\lambda)
$$

and the thin Kac module (corresponding to $\lambda$ ) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& \left(\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{K}(\lambda))=2^{n} \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The category $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is a highest weight category (in the sense of Cline, Parshall, and Scott), with two natural highest weight structures:

1. With standard modules $\mathbf{K}(\lambda)$, costandard modules $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$, and partial order on dominant weights given by
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(2) If $\mathbf{K}(\mu)$ appears in $P(\lambda)$, then $\mu \geq \lambda$.
(3) We have: $\mathbf{K}(\lambda) \cong P(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda$ has distinct parts. [Kac78]
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[Disclaimer: there is a parity-switching involution $\Pi$ on $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ and all of its modules. Many of these and the following results are technically " $M$ or $\Pi^{\ell} M$ " or " $\Pi^{\ell} \phi$ " in place of an operator $\phi$. See paper for details.]
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Let $\left\{v_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{i^{\prime}} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ be orthogonal bases of $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$, with $\beta\left(v_{i}, v_{j^{\prime}}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. Then
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The algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V \otimes V)$ is 3-dimensional with basis 1, $s: v \otimes w \mapsto(-1)^{p(v) p(w)} w \otimes v, \quad$ and $\quad e=\beta^{*} \circ \beta: v \otimes w \mapsto \beta(v, w) c$.
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$$
s=X \text { and } e=\text { (marked Brauer) }
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Note that $e=e \circ s=-s \circ e$. Also, $e^{2}=0$. (non-semisimple case)
Then, on $V \otimes V$, we have $\Omega=s+e$.
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Let $\Theta_{k}^{\prime}=\operatorname{proj}_{k} \Theta$, where $\operatorname{proj}_{k}$ is the projection onto the $k$ eigenspace of $\Omega$.
Theorem
$\Theta_{k}^{\prime}$ is exact, and is 0 for $k \notin \mathbb{Z}$. So $\Theta^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Theta_{k}^{\prime}$.
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Fact: $\lambda$ has distinct parts iff every pair of filled dots has at least one empty dot separating them. ( $\bar{\lambda}$ always has dist. parts) Fact: $\lambda \leq \mu$ iff the $i$-th filled circle in $\lambda$ is to the right of the $i$-th filled circle in $\mu$.
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\end{gathered}
$$

Namely, the functors $\Theta_{k}$ generate the infinite Temperley-Lieb algebra $T L_{\infty}(0)$ (the parameter is $q+q^{-1}$, where $q=i$ ).
(The maps on the Grothendieck group induced by the $\Theta_{k}^{\prime}$ 's also satisfy these relations. At the level of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$, though, it's the $\Theta_{k}$ that we want.)
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Next paper:

- Cyclotomic marked Brauer algebras.
(Moon 2003, Kujawa-Tharp 2014) The marked Brauer algebra $B_{d}(\delta, \epsilon), \epsilon= \pm 1$, is the space spanned by marked Brauer diagrams

caps get one $\diamond$ each, cups get one or $\boldsymbol{4}$ each, no two markings at same height.
with equivalence up to isotopy except for the local relations

for any adjacent markings © and (1) (meaning no markings of height between these two).
(Moon 2003, Kujawa-Tharp 2014) The marked Brauer algebra $B_{d}(\delta, \epsilon), \epsilon= \pm 1$, is the space spanned by marked Brauer diagrams

caps get one $\diamond$ each, cups get one or $\boldsymbol{4}$ each, no two markings at same height.
with equivalence up to isotopy except for the local relations

for any adjacent markings © and (2) (meaning no markings of height between these two). Multiplication is given by vertical concatenation, with relations $\bigcirc=\delta$,


-(2)-

$$
\bigcirc=\delta
$$




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \\
& \text {-(ㄴ)- }=\epsilon \text { - } \times \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\overbrace{\text {-(2)- }}=\epsilon_{\text {-(3- }}
$$

$$
\bigcirc=\delta
$$



For example,


$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\epsilon \nabla
$$

$$
\bigcirc=\delta
$$



For example,


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-(a) } \\
& \text { (3)- } \\
& \text {-(a)- }=\epsilon \text {-(증 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{S}=\epsilon \Psi
$$

$$
\overbrace{-(2)-}=\epsilon
$$

-(2)

$$
\bigcirc=\delta
$$

For example,

(Moon 2003, Kujawa-Tharp 2014) The marked Brauer algebra $B_{d}(\delta, \epsilon), \epsilon= \pm 1$, is the space spanned by marked Brauer diagrams

caps get one $\diamond$ each,
cups get one $\boldsymbol{\square}$ or each, no two markings at same height.
with equivalence up to isotopy except for the local relations

and

$$
\text { -(x)- }=\epsilon
$$

for any adjacent markings © and (4) (meaning no markings of height between these two). Multiplication is given by vertical concatenation, with relations $\bigcirc=\delta$,


Note:
(1) $B_{d}(\delta, 1)=B_{d}(\delta)$.
(2) If $\epsilon=-1$, then multiplication is well-defined exactly when $\delta=0$.

## Centralizer algebras

The marked Brauer algebra $B_{d}(\delta, \epsilon)$ is generated by

$$
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## Centralizer algebras

The marked Brauer algebra $B_{d}(\delta, \epsilon)$ is generated by

$$
\left.s_{i}=\right\rceil \cdots \sum^{i+1} \cdots \quad \text { and } e_{i}=!\cdots \underbrace{i+1}_{\infty} \cdots
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$.
Back in our more general setting $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}(V)^{\beta}$ with $V=V_{0} \oplus V_{1}$ (for $\beta$ non-deg, homog, super symmetric, bilinear). If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{*}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V \otimes V \quad \text { and } \quad s: V \otimes V & \rightarrow V \otimes V \\
u \otimes v & \mapsto(-1)^{\bar{u} \bar{v}} v \otimes u,
\end{aligned}
$$

then the map

$$
e_{i} \mapsto 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \beta^{*} \beta \otimes 1^{k-i-1}, \quad s_{i} \mapsto 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes s \otimes 1^{k-i-1}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$, gives

$$
B_{d}(\delta, \epsilon) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(V^{\otimes d}\right)
$$

when $\delta=\operatorname{dim} V_{0}-\operatorname{dim} V_{1}$ and $\epsilon=(-1)^{\bar{\beta}}$ [KT14].
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For $i<j$, let


The Brauer algebra $B_{d}(\delta)=B_{d}(\delta, 1)$ has Jucys-Murphy elements

$$
x_{j}=c+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} s_{i, j}-e_{i, j}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}, j=1, \ldots, k
$$

that pairwise commute (Nazarov 1996). Define the degenerate affine version $\mathcal{B}_{d}(\delta)$ by

$$
\mathcal{B}_{d}(\delta)=\mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right] \otimes B_{d}(\delta) /\left\langle y_{i}-B_{d} \text { relations }\right\rangle
$$

Action on tensor space: In the classical case, $y_{i}$ acts on $M \otimes V^{\otimes d}$ via the split Casimir as $\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \gamma_{i, j}$. Now we have the analogous tool for the $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{p}(V)$ case! Goal: Define degenerate affine marked Brauer and cyclotomic Brauer algebras, and classify their representation theory.
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