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- What are the $A$-modules/representations?
- What are the simple/indecomposable $A$-modules/reps?
- What is the action of the center of $A$ ?
- What are their dimensions?
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In combinatorial representation theory, we use combinatorial
objects to index (construct a bijection to) modules and representations, and to encode information about them.
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Orthogonal and symplectic groups (and Lie algebras) acting on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)^{\otimes k}$ diagonally centralize the Brauer algebra:
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Either way:
Diagrams encoding maps $V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V^{\otimes k}$ that commute with the action of some classical algebra.
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The two-pole braid group shares a commuting action with $\mathcal{U}$ on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k} \otimes N$ :
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$M \otimes V$
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Nazarov (95): degenerate affine BMW algebras

act on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$, commuting with the action of the Lie algebras of types B, C, D.

Häring-Oldenburg (98) and Orellana-Ram (04): affine BMW algebras act on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$, commuting with the action of the quantum groups of types B, C, D.

Centralizer perspective: (D.-Ram-Virk) Use centralizer relationships to study these the affine and degenerate affine algebras simultaneously (representation theory of the quantum groups and the Lie algebras are basically the same). Some results:
(a) The center of each algebra.
(b) Difficult "admissibility conditions" handled.
(c) Powerful "intertwiner" operators.
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Two boundary algebras:
Mitra, Nienhuis, De Gier, Batchelor (2004): Studying the six-vertex model with additional integrable boundary terms, introduced the two-boundary Temperley-Lieb algebra $T L_{k}$ :
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Two boundary algebras:
Mitra, Nienhuis, De Gier, Batchelor (2004): Studying the six-vertex model with additional integrable boundary terms, introduced the two-boundary Temperley-Lieb algebra $T L_{k}$ :


De Gier, Nichols (2008): Explored representation theory of $T L_{k}$ using diagrams and established a connection to the affine Hecke algebras of type $A$ and $C$.
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T_{i} T_{i+1} T_{i}=\underbrace{3}_{6}=
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Punchline:

- For any for any complex reductive Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{q} \mathfrak{g}$ and the two-boundary braid group $B_{k}$ have commuting actions on $M \otimes(V)^{\otimes k} \otimes N$.
- When $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{n}$, for good choices of $M, N$, and $V$, the action of the two-boundary braid group factors to an action of the affine Hecke algebra of type $C$.
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Punchline:

- For any for any complex reductive Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{q} \mathfrak{g}$ and the two-boundary braid group $B_{k}$ have commuting actions on $M \otimes(V)^{\otimes k} \otimes N$.
- When $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{n}$, for good choices of $M, N$, and $V$, the action of the two-boundary braid group factors to an action of the affine Hecke algebra of type $C$.
Some consequences:
(a) A combinatorial classification and construction of irreducible representations of $H_{k}$ (type C with distinct parameters).
(b) A diagrammatic intuition for $H_{k}$.
(c) A classification of the representations of $T L_{k}$ via the action of its center.


## Universal

Type B, C, D
(orthog. \& sympl.)

sdnoィ̊ mnłuenð


Two-pole braids $\frac{9}{4} \%$

Type A
(gen. \& sp. linear)


Heck algebra
$\grave{S}=a \grave{\zeta}+!$

## Affine Heck of type A (+twists)

Affine Heck of type C (+twists)

Small Type A
$\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2} \& \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$


Two-boundary TL


