

Irreducible Polynomials

Gauss's Lemma Suppose $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ nonconstant and $f = gh$ where $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ st. $\tilde{g} = \delta g, \tilde{h} = \delta^{-1} h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ (and thus $f = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$).

Pf p. 529 \square

Cor If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ has positive degree and is reducible over \mathbb{Q} , then $f = gh$ where $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ have degrees $< \deg(f)$. \square

Algorithm for irreducibility of $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$:

- WLOG, assume $f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n-1) \neq 0$.
- Fix integer $0 < d < n$.
- Fix divisors $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $f(0), \dots, f(d) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Construct $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree $\leq d$ st. $g(i) = a_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$ (Lagrange interpolation)
- Accept g if it has degree d and integer coeffs; reject it o/w.
- ~~Set~~ Do this for all $0 < d < n, a_i | f(i), \dots, a_d | f(d)$ to get a set of "accepted" $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Prop This set is finite, and f is irred/ \mathbb{Q} iff it is not divisible by any of the polynomials in this set.

Pf Each $f(i)$ has fin many divisors, and g is uniquely determined by a_0, \dots, a_d , so we get only finitely many g this way.

Remains to show f reducible iff some accepted g divides f .

(\Leftarrow) \checkmark .

(\Rightarrow) By the corollary, $f = gh$ where $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, g has degree $d, 0 < d < n$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, set $a_i = g(i) | f(i)$. Lagrange interpolation gives $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $\deg(\tilde{g}) \leq d, \tilde{g}(i) = a_i$. Then $\deg(g - \tilde{g}) \leq d$ and $(g - \tilde{g})(i) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ ($d+1$ roots) so $g - \tilde{g} = 0 \Rightarrow g = \tilde{g}$ is in our list. \square

Thm [Eisenstein criterion] Let $f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $a_n \neq 0$, $n > 0$.

If there is a prime p s.t. $p \mid a_n, p \mid a_{n-1}, \dots, p \mid a_0$, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$, then f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Pf Suppose for \mathbb{Q} f is of the above form & reducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Then $f = gh$ for $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $< n$. Write $(\bar{\cdot}) : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[x]$

for the mod p reduction map. Then $\bar{a}_n x^n = \bar{g} \bar{h}$
 $\Rightarrow \bar{g} = \bar{a} x^r, \bar{h} = \bar{b} x^s$ for $\bar{a} \bar{b} = \bar{a}_n, r+s=n$.

TPS ~~to show~~ Why does $p \mid a_n$ imply $r > 0, s > 0$?

Then $\bar{g} = \bar{a} x^r$ for $r > 0 \Rightarrow p$ divides constant term of g ,
 and similarly for $h \Rightarrow p^2 \mid a_0 \quad \square$

e.g. $x^n + px + p, n \geq 2, p$ prime irred / \mathbb{Q}

Prop $\Phi_p := x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \dots + 1, p$ prime is irred / \mathbb{Q} .

Pf $\Phi_p(x+1) = \frac{(x+1)^p - 1}{x}$ and $(x+1)^p = x^p + \binom{p}{1} x^{p-1} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} x + 1$

so $\Phi_p(x+1) = x^{p-1} + \binom{p}{1} x^{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1}$. By prime divisibility property of binomial coeffs, this satisfies the Eisenstein criterion, so $\Phi_p(x+1)$ is irred. Then reducibility of $\Phi_p(x)$ would contradict this. \square

Prop For p prime, $f = x^p - a \in F[x]$ is irred / F iff f has no roots in F .

Pf (\Rightarrow) \checkmark .

(\Leftarrow) Assume f reducible. Take L/F for which f splits completely

$f = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_p), \alpha_i \in L$. WLOG, $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Set $\zeta_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1}$,

$1 \leq i \leq p$. Then $\alpha_i^p \Rightarrow \zeta_i^p = 1$, so $\alpha_i = \zeta_i \alpha_1$ with ζ_i a p th

root of unity: $f = (x - \zeta_1 \alpha_1)(x - \zeta_2 \alpha_1) \dots (x - \zeta_p \alpha_1)$.

Suppose $f = gh, g, h \in F[x]$ monic with degrees $r, s < p$.

By unique fact'n + relabeling, $g = (x - \zeta_1 \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \zeta_r \alpha_r)$.

Since the constant term of g is in F , $\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_r \alpha_i^r \in F$.

ζ Note $\zeta^p = 1$.

Since $0 < r < p$, p prime, $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ st. $mr + np = 1$. Then

$$\zeta^m \alpha_i = \zeta^m \alpha_i^{mr + np} = \underbrace{(\zeta \alpha_i^r)^m}_{\in F} \underbrace{(\alpha_i^p)^n}_{\in F} \in F. \quad \text{Thus } (\zeta^m \alpha_i)^p = (\zeta^p)^m \alpha_i^p$$

$= \alpha_i^p \Rightarrow \zeta^m \alpha_i$ is a root of $f = x^p - \alpha_i^p$ lying in F . \square