## **ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS (WEEK 12)**

*Elliptic functions* are doubly periodic meromorphic functions. By *doubly periodic*, we mean that there are  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  such that  $f(z + \omega_1) = f(z) = f(z + \omega_2)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . If we assume that  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$ , then the set  $L = \{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a *lattice* in  $\mathbb{C}$ : a rank 2 free Abelian subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}/L$  denote the corresponding quotient group. Topologically,  $\mathbb{C}/L$  is a torus, and with its complex structure it is an *elliptic curve*.<sup>1</sup> If f is an elliptic function with period lattice L, then it extends across the quotient map  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/L$  to become a function on the elliptic curve  $\mathbb{C}/L$ . One way to understand a geometric object is by its functions, whence the importance of elliptic functions.

These notes will closely follow the development of elliptic functions in Chapter 7 of Lars Ahlfors' classic text, *Complex Analysis*; some of the later portions draw from notes by Jerry Shurman.

## **1. SINGLY PERIODIC FUNCTIONS**

We should walk before we run, so let's first consider *singly periodic functions, i.e.*, meromorphic functions f for which there exists  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(z + \omega) = f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We have seen examples before: the exponential function has period  $2\pi i$ , and sin and cos have period  $2\pi$ .

Fix  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  and suppose  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is an open set which is closed under addition and subtraction of  $\omega$ : if  $z \in \Omega$ , then  $z \pm \omega \in \Omega$ . It follows by induction that  $\Omega = \Omega + \mathbb{Z}\omega$ . Examples of such regions include  $\mathbb{C}$  and an "open strip" parallel to  $\omega$ . To better describe this open strip, transform it by dividing by  $\omega$ . This has the effect of scaling by  $1/|\omega|$  and rotating so that the strip is now parallel to the real axis. Thus the strip is determined by real numbers a < b such that  $a < \text{Im}(2\pi z/\omega) < b$ for all z in the strip. (The  $2\pi$  is a convenient normalization factor, as we shall shortly see.)

The function  $z \mapsto \zeta = e^{2\pi i z/\omega}$  is  $\omega$ -periodic. If we plug  $\Omega$  into it, we get an open set in the  $\zeta$ -plane. If  $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ , the result is  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . If  $\Omega$  is the strip given by  $a < \text{Im}(2\pi z/\omega) < b$ , the result is the annulus  $e^{-b} < |\zeta| < e^{-a}$ . (This follows because  $e^{2\pi i z/\omega} = e^{-\text{Im}(2\pi z/\omega)}e^{i\text{Re}(2\pi z/\omega)}$ .)

**Proposition 1.1.** Suppose that f is meromorphic and  $\omega$ -periodic on  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a unique function F on  $\Omega' = e^{2\pi i \Omega/\omega}$  such that

(1) 
$$f(z) = F(e^{2\pi i z/\omega}).$$

*Proof.* To determine  $F(\zeta)$ , first note that  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i z/\omega}$  for some  $z \in \Omega$ , and that z is unique up to addition of an integer-multiple of  $\omega$ . Since f is  $\omega$ -periodic, the formula  $F(\zeta) = f(z)$  is well-defined, and it is clearly meromorphic in  $\Omega'$ . Uniqueness follows from noting that when F is meromorphic on  $\Omega'$ , equation (1) defines a function f meromorphic on  $\Omega$  with period  $\omega$ .

Now suppose that  $\Omega'$  contains an annulus  $r < |\zeta| < R$  on which *F* has is analytic. On this annulus, *F* has a Laurent series

$$F(\zeta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \zeta^n,$$
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i n z/\omega}$$

whence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Curves are one-dimensional and tori are two-dimensional. What gives? The 'curve' in 'elliptic curve' indicates a single *complex* dimension.

This is the *complex Fourier series* for f in the strip  $-\log(R) < \operatorname{Im}(2\pi z/\omega) < -\log r$ .

By old formulae, we know that for r < s < R,

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=s} \frac{F(\zeta)}{\zeta^{n+1}} \, d\zeta,$$

which, by change of variables, is equivalent to

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_d^{d+\omega} f(z) e^{-2\pi i n z/\omega} \, dz.$$

Here *d* is an arbitrary point in the strip corresponding to the annulus, and the integration is along any path from *d* to  $d + \omega$  which remains in the strip. (You will verify the final details of this in your homework.) We have thus proven the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose f is meromorphic and  $\omega$ -periodic on an open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and is analytic on the strip given by  $a < \text{Im}(2\pi z/\omega) < b$ . Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i n z/\omega}$$

for z in the strip, and

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_d^{d+\omega} f(z) e^{-2\pi i n z/\omega} dz$$

for *d* in the strip and the integration along any path from *d* to  $d + \omega$  in the strip. If *f* is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , then the Fourier series is valid on  $\mathbb{C}$  as well.

## 2. DOUBLY PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

An *elliptic function* is a meromorphic function on the plane with two periods,  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$ . The significance of the final condition is that one of the periods is not a real scaling of the other. This has the effect of making  $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  a rank 2 free Abelian group inside  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ , as we shall currently show.

2.1. The period lattice. For the moment, forget the condition on  $\omega_2/\omega_1$  and just suppose that  $f(z + \omega_1) = f(z) = f(z + \omega_2)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $M := \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  denote the *period module* of f.

**Proposition 2.1.** If f is not constant with periods  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , then  $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  is discrete.

*Proof.* Since  $f(\omega) = f(0)$  for all  $\omega \in M$ , the existence of an accumulation point in M would imply that f is constant (by the Identity Theorem).

**Theorem 2.2.** A discrete subgroup A of  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$  is either

(0) rank 0:  $A = \{0\}$ , (1) rank 1:  $A = \mathbb{Z}\omega$  for some  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , or (2) rank 2:  $A = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  for some  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  with  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $A \neq \{0\}$ . Take r > 0 such that  $\overline{D}_r(0) \cap A$  contains more than just 0. Since  $\overline{D}_r(0)$  is compact and A is discrete, the intersection contains only finitely many points. Choose one with minimum nonzero modulus and call it  $\omega_1$ . (You can check that there are always exactly two, four, or six points in A closest to 0.) Then  $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \subseteq A$ .

If  $A = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ , we are in case (1) and done. Suppose there exists  $\omega \in A \setminus \mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ . Among all such  $\omega$ , there exists one,  $\omega_2$ , of smallest modulus. Suppose for contradiction that  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we could find an integer n such that  $n < \omega_2/\omega_1 < n + 1$ . It would follow that  $|n\omega_1 - \omega_2| < |\omega_1|$ , a contradiction.

We now aim to show that  $A = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ . We claim that every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  may be written as  $z = \lambda_1 \omega_1 + \lambda_2 \omega_2$  with  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . To see this, we attempt to solve the equations

$$z = \lambda_1 \omega_1 + \lambda_2 \omega_2$$
$$\overline{z} = \lambda_1 \overline{\omega}_1 + \lambda_2 \overline{\omega}_2.$$

The determinant  $\omega_1 \overline{\omega}_2 - \omega_2 \overline{\omega}_1 \neq 0$  (otherwise  $\omega_2/\omega_1$  is real) and thus the system has a unique solution  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . But clearly  $(\overline{\lambda}_1, \overline{\lambda}_2)$  is a solution as well, so  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , as desired.

Now choose integers  $m_1, m_2$  such that  $|\lambda_1 - m_1| \le 1/2$  and  $|\lambda_2 - m_2| \le 1/2$ . If  $z \in A$ , then  $z' = z - m_1\omega_1 - m_2\omega_2 \in A$  as well. Thus  $|z'| < \frac{1}{2}|\omega_1| + \frac{1}{2}|\omega_2| \le |\omega_2|$ . (The first inequality is strict since  $\omega_2$  is not a real multiple of  $\omega_1$ .) Since  $\omega_2$  has minimal modulus in  $A \setminus \mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ , we learn that  $z' \in \mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ , say  $z' = n\omega_1$ . Thus  $z = (m_1 + n)\omega_1 + m_2\omega_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ , and we conclude that  $A = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ .

2.2. The modular group. From now on, we assume that the period lattice has rank 2. Any pair  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  such that  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  is called a *basis* of *L* (and necessarily satisfies  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$ ).

Suppose that  $(\omega'_1, \omega'_2)$  is another basis of *L*. Then there exist  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\omega_1' = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$$
$$\omega_2' = c\omega_1 + d\omega_2.$$

In matrix form, this is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' \\ \omega_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The same relation is valid for the complex conjugates, so

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' & \overline{\omega}_1' \\ \omega_2' & \overline{\omega}_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \overline{\omega}_1 \\ \omega_2 & \overline{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $(\omega'_1, \omega'_2)$  is also a basis, there are also integers a', b', c', d' such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \overline{\omega}_1 \\ \omega_2 & \overline{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' & \overline{\omega}_1' \\ \omega_2' & \overline{\omega}_2' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \overline{\omega}_1 \\ \omega_2 & \overline{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \overline{\omega}_1 \\ \omega_2 & \overline{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We know that det  $\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \overline{\omega}_1 \\ \omega_2 & \overline{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$  (since  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$ ), and thus we may multiply on the right by  $(\omega_1 \quad \overline{\omega}_1)^{-1}$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 & \overline{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{to get} \\ \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Thus the integer matrices are inverses of each other, and their determinants multiply to give 1. Since both determinants are integers, we see that ad - bc and a'd' - b'c' are  $\pm 1$ . Let  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \{m \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det m = \pm 1\}$  denote the General Linear group of  $2 \times 2$  invertible integer matrices. We have proven the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  with ordered basis  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ . Then the set of all ordered bases of L is the  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ , i.e., the set of  $(\omega'_1, \omega'_2)$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' \\ \omega_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \pm 1.$$

for some integers a, b, c, d with  $ad - bc = \pm 1$ .

The group  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is called the *modular group*. That term, though, is also sometimes used for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the  $2 \times 2$  integer matrices with determinant 1. This latter group can be thought of as the transformations that change basis in an orientation-preserving fashion.

2.3. **The canonical basis.** We now single out a nearly unique basis called the *canonical basis* of a lattice *L*.

**Theorem 2.4.** Given a lattice *L*, there exists a basis  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  such that  $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$  satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $\operatorname{Im}(\tau) > 0$ , (ii)  $-1/2 < \operatorname{Re}(\tau) \le 1/2$ , (iii)  $|\tau| \ge 1$ , and (iv) if  $|\tau| = 1$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) \ge 0$ .

*The ratio*  $\tau$  *is uniquely determined by these conditions, and there is a choice of two, four, or six corresponding ordered bases.* 

*Proof.* Choose  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Then  $|\omega_1| \le |\omega_2| \le |\omega_1 \pm \omega_2|$ . In terms of  $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$ , the first inequality becomes  $|\tau| \ge 1$ . Dividing the second inequality by  $|\omega_1|$  we get  $|\tau| \le |1 \pm \tau|$ . Squaring and expanding by real and imaginary parts gives

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)^{2} + \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^{2} \le (1 \pm \operatorname{Re}(\tau))^{2} + \operatorname{Im}(\tau^{2}).$$

Canceling, expanding, and rearranging gives

$$0 \le 1 \pm 2\operatorname{Re}(\tau),$$

*i.e.*,  $|\operatorname{Re}(\tau)| \le 1/2$ .

If  $\text{Im}(\tau) < 0$ , replace  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  by  $(-\omega_1, \omega_2)$ , making  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$  without changing  $\text{Re}(\tau)$ . If  $\text{Re}(\tau) = -1/2$ , replace the basis by  $(\omega_1, \omega_1 + \omega_2)$ , and if  $|\tau| = 1$  with  $\text{Re}(\tau) < 0$ , replace it by  $(-\omega_2, \omega_1)$ . After these changes, all the conditions are satisfied. Uniqueness will be handled in Theorem 2.6.

There are always at least two bases corresponding to  $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$ , namely  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  and  $(-\omega_1, -\omega_2)$ . We handle the exceptional cases of 4 and 6 bases after the proof of 2.6.

**Definition 2.5.** The collection of  $\tau$  described by Theorem 2.4 is called the *fundamental region* of the unimodular group.

The unimodular group  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on bases  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  via matrix multiplication:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_2 \\ \omega_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\omega_2 + b\omega_1 \\ c\omega_2 + d\omega_1. \end{pmatrix}$$

(We have swapped the usual order of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  so as to more closely mirror  $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$ .) As such, it acts on the quotient  $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$  via a linear fractional transformation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \tau = \frac{a\omega_2 + b\omega_1}{c\omega_2 + d\omega_1} = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are in the fundamental region and  $\tau' = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$  where  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\tau = \tau'$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\tau' = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$  where  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\operatorname{Im}(\tau') = \frac{\pm \operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{|c\tau + d|^2}$  with sign matching that of  $ad - bc = \pm 1$ . If  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are in the fundamental region, then the sign must be positive, so ad - bc = 1. Without loss of generality,  $\text{Im}(\tau') \ge \text{Im}(\tau)$ , so  $|c\tau + d| \le 1$ .

If c = 0, then  $d = \pm 1$  or 0. Since ad - bc = 1, we have ad = 1, so either a = d = 1 or a = d = -1. Then  $\tau' = \tau \pm b$ , whence  $|b| = |\operatorname{Re}(\tau') - \operatorname{Re}(\tau)| < 1$ . Therefore b = 0 and  $\tau = \tau'$ .

We leave the  $c \neq 0$  case as a moral exercise for the reader. The arguments are somewhat intricate, but unsurprising.

Finally, we note that  $\tau$  corresponds to bases other than  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  and  $(-\omega_1, -\omega_2)$  if and only if  $\tau$  is a fixed point of some unimodular transformation. This only happens for  $\tau = i$  (which is a fixed point of  $-1/\tau$ ) and  $\tau = e^{\pi i/3}$  (which is a fixed point of  $-(\tau + 1)/\tau$  and  $-1/(\tau + 1)$ .) We leave it to the reader to check that these are the only possibilities.

2.4. General properties of elliptic functions. Let f be a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with period lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  of rank 2. (We do not assume that  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is a canonical basis, nor do we assume that L comprises all periods of f.)

Some notation to ease our upcoming work: write  $z_1 \equiv z_2 \pmod{L}$  if  $z_1 - z_2 \in L$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , write  $P_a$  for the "half open" parallelogram with vertices  $a, a + \omega_1, a + \omega_2, a + \omega_1 + \omega_2$  that includes the line segments  $[a, a + \omega_1]$  and  $[a + \omega_1, a + \omega_1 + \omega_2]$  but does not include the other two sides. Then every point in  $\mathbb{C}/L$  (the set of equivalence classes modulo L) contains a unique representative in  $P_a$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *If f is an elliptic function without poles, then f is constant.* 

*Proof.* If *f* is analytic on  $P_a$ , then it is bounded on the closure of  $P_a$ , and hence bounded and analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . By Liouville's theorem, *f* is constant.

**Proposition 2.8.** An elliptic function has finitely many poles in  $P_a$ .

*Proof.* Poles always form a discrete set, and  $P_a$  is bounded.

**Theorem 2.9.** The sum of the residues of an elliptic function at poles in a parallelogram  $P_a$  is zero.

*Proof.* We may perturb *a* so that none of the poles lie on  $\partial P_a$ . Then, by the residue theorem, the sum of the residues at poles in  $P_a$  equals

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P_a} f(z) \, dz$$

Since *f* has periods  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , the line integrals along opposite sides cancel, and we get that the sum of the residues is 0.

**Corollary 2.10.** No elliptic function has a single simple pole (and no other poles) in some  $P_a$ .

*Proof.* A simple pole has a nonzero residue, and the sum of the residues is zero.

**Theorem 2.11.** A nonzero elliptic function has equally many poles and zeros in any  $P_a$  (where poles and zeroes are counted with multiplicity).

*Proof.* Fix a nonzero elliptic function f. In the proof of Theorem 4.4.7 we saw that the *logarithmic derivative* f'/f has the zeros and poles of f as simple poles, with residues equal to their (signed) multiplicities. Since f'/f is also elliptic, the result follows from Theorem 2.9.

Note that for any constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , f(z) - c has the same poles as f(z). It follows that all values are assumed the same number of times by f.

**Definition 2.12.** The number of incongruent (mod *L*) roots of the equations f(z) = c is called the *order* of the elliptic function.

**Theorem 2.13.** The zeros  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  and poles  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  of an elliptic function satisfy  $a_1 + \cdots + a_n \equiv b_1 + \cdots + b_n \pmod{L}$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  such that none of the zeros or poles are on  $\partial P_a$ . Also choose zeros and poles inside of  $P_a$ . By calculus of residues,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P_a} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} dz = a_1 + \dots + a_n - b_1 - \dots - b_n.$$

(Check this!) It remains to prove that the left-hand side is an element of  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ . The portion of the integral contributed by the sides  $[a, a + \omega_1]$  and  $[a + \omega_2, a + \omega_1 + \omega_2]$  is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{a}^{a+\omega_{1}} - \int_{a+\omega_{2}}^{a+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}} \right) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} dz = -\frac{\omega_{2}}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{a+\omega_{1}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz$$

(Check this!) As *z* varies in  $[a, a + \omega_1]$ , the values f(z) describe a closed curve in the plane; call this curve  $\gamma$ . Then the right-hand side of the above expression is manifestly  $-\omega_2 \operatorname{Ind}_{\gamma}(0)$ , which is an integer multiple of  $\omega_2$ . A similar argument applies to the other pair of opposite sides. We conclude that

$$a_1 + \dots + a_n - b_1 - \dots - b_n = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$$

for some integers m, n, as desired.