## ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS (WEEK 12)

Elliptic functions are doubly periodic meromorphic functions. By doubly periodic, we mean that there are $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that $f\left(z+\omega_{1}\right)=f(z)=f\left(z+\omega_{2}\right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If we assume that $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \notin \mathbb{R}$, then the set $L=\left\{m \omega_{1}+n \omega_{2} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ : a rank 2 free Abelian subgroup of $(\mathbb{C},+)$. Let $\mathbb{C} / L$ denote the corresponding quotient group. Topologically, $\mathbb{C} / L$ is a torus, and with its complex structure it is an elliptic curve. ${ }_{-1}^{1}$ If $f$ is an elliptic function with period lattice $L$, then it extends across the quotient map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / L$ to become a function on the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C} / L$. One way to understand a geometric object is by its functions, whence the importance of elliptic functions.

These notes will closely follow the development of elliptic functions in Chapter 7 of Lars Ahlfors' classic text, Complex Analysis; some of the later portions draw from notes by Jerry Shurman.

## 1. Singly PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

We should walk before we run, so let's first consider singly periodic functions, i.e., meromorphic functions $f$ for which there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(z+\omega)=f(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We have seen examples before: the exponential function has period $2 \pi i$, and $\sin$ and $\cos$ have period $2 \pi$.

Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an open set which is closed under addition and subtraction of $\omega$ : if $z \in \Omega$, then $z \pm \omega \in \Omega$. It follows by induction that $\Omega=\Omega+\mathbb{Z} \omega$. Examples of such regions include $\mathbb{C}$ and an "open strip" parallel to $\omega$. To better describe this open strip, transform it by dividing by $\omega$. This has the effect of scaling by $1 /|\omega|$ and rotating so that the strip is now parallel to the real axis. Thus the strip is determined by real numbers $a<b$ such that $a<\operatorname{Im}(2 \pi z / \omega)<b$ for all $z$ in the strip. (The $2 \pi$ is a convenient normalization factor, as we shall shortly see.)

The function $z \mapsto \zeta=e^{2 \pi i z / \omega}$ is $\omega$-periodic. If we plug $\Omega$ into it, we get an open set in the $\zeta$-plane. If $\Omega=\mathbb{C}$, the result is $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. If $\Omega$ is the strip given by $a<\operatorname{Im}(2 \pi z / \omega)<b$, the result is the annulus $e^{-b}<|\zeta|<e^{-a}$. (This follows because $e^{2 \pi i z / \omega}=e^{-\operatorname{Im}(2 \pi z / \omega} e^{i \operatorname{Re}(2 \pi z / \omega)}$.)

Proposition 1.1. Suppose that $f$ is meromorphic and $\omega$-periodic on $\Omega$. Then there exists a unique function $F$ on $\Omega^{\prime}=e^{2 \pi i \Omega / \omega}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=F\left(e^{2 \pi i z / \omega}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To determine $F(\zeta)$, first note that $\zeta=e^{2 \pi i z / \omega}$ for some $z \in \Omega$, and that $z$ is unique up to addition of an integer-multiple of $\omega$. Since $f$ is $\omega$-periodic, the formula $F(\zeta)=f(z)$ is well-defined, and it is clearly meromorphic in $\Omega^{\prime}$. Uniqueness follows from noting that when $F$ is meromorphic on $\Omega^{\prime}$, equation (1) defines a function $f$ meromorphic on $\Omega$ with period $\omega$.

Now suppose that $\Omega^{\prime}$ contains an annulus $r<|\zeta|<R$ on which $F$ has is analytic. On this annulus, $F$ has a Laurent series

$$
F(\zeta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} \zeta^{n}
$$

whence

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} e^{2 \pi i n z / \omega}
$$

[^0]This is the complex Fourier series for $f$ in the strip $-\log (R)<\operatorname{Im}(2 \pi z / \omega)<-\log r$.
By old formulae, we know that for $r<s<R$,

$$
c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=s} \frac{F(\zeta)}{\zeta^{n+1}} d \zeta
$$

which, by change of variables, is equivalent to

$$
c_{n}=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{d}^{d+\omega} f(z) e^{-2 \pi i n z / \omega} d z .
$$

Here $d$ is an arbitrary point in the strip corresponding to the annulus, and the integration is along any path from $d$ to $d+\omega$ which remains in the strip. (You will verify the final details of this in your homework.) We have thus proven the following result.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $f$ is meromorphic and $\omega$-periodic on an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and is analytic on the strip given by $a<\operatorname{Im}(2 \pi z / \omega)<b$. Then

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} e^{2 \pi i n z / \omega}
$$

for $z$ in the strip, and

$$
c_{n}=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{d}^{d+\omega} f(z) e^{-2 \pi i n z / \omega} d z
$$

for $d$ in the strip and the integration along any path from $d$ to $d+\omega$ in the strip. If $f$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}$, then the Fourier series is valid on $\mathbb{C}$ as well.

## 2. Doubly periodic functions

An elliptic function is a meromorphic function on the plane with two periods, $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \notin \mathbb{R}$. The significance of the final condition is that one of the periods is not a real scaling of the other. This has the effect of making $\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ a rank 2 free Abelian group inside $(\mathbb{C},+)$, as we shall currently show.
2.1. The period lattice. For the moment, forget the condition on $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$ and just suppose that $f\left(z+\omega_{1}\right)=f(z)=f\left(z+\omega_{2}\right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $M:=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ denote the period module of $f$.
Proposition 2.1. If $f$ is not constant with periods $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, then $M=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ is discrete.
Proof. Since $f(\omega)=f(0)$ for all $\omega \in M$, the existence of an accumulation point in $M$ would imply that $f$ is constant (by the Identity Theorem).
Theorem 2.2. A discrete subgroup $A$ of $(\mathbb{C},+)$ is either
(0) $\operatorname{rank} 0: A=\{0\}$,
(1) $\operatorname{rank}$ 1: $A=\mathbb{Z} \omega$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, or
(2) rank 2: $A=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ for some $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$with $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We may assume that $A \neq\{0\}$. Take $r>0$ such that $\bar{D}_{r}(0) \cap A$ contains more than just 0 . Since $\bar{D}_{r}(0)$ is compact and $A$ is discrete, the intersection contains only finitely many points. Choose one with minimum nonzero modulus and call it $\omega_{1}$. (You can check that there are always exactly two, four, or six points in $A$ closest to 0 .) Then $\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1} \subseteq A$.

If $A=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}$, we are in case (1) and done. Suppose there exists $\omega \in A \backslash \mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}$. Among all such $\omega$, there exists one, $\omega_{2}$, of smallest modulus. Suppose for contradiction that $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we could find an integer $n$ such that $n<\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}<n+1$. It would follow that $\left|n \omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right|<\left|\omega_{1}\right|$, a contradiction.

We now aim to show that $A=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$. We claim that every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ may be written as $z=\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}$ with $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. To see this, we attempt to solve the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{2} \\
& \bar{z}=\lambda_{1} \bar{\omega}_{1}+\lambda_{2} \bar{\omega}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The determinant $\omega_{1} \bar{\omega}_{2}-\omega_{2} \bar{\omega}_{1} \neq 0$ (otherwise $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$ is real) and thus the system has a unique solution $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. But clearly $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)$ is a solution as well, so $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, as desired.

Now choose integers $m_{1}, m_{2}$ such that $\left|\lambda_{1}-m_{1}\right| \leq 1 / 2$ and $\left|\lambda_{2}-m_{2}\right| \leq 1 / 2$. If $z \in A$, then $z^{\prime}=z-m_{1} \omega_{1}-m_{2} \omega_{2} \in A$ as well. Thus $\left|z^{\prime}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left|\omega_{1}\right|+\frac{1}{2}\left|\omega_{2}\right| \leq\left|\omega_{2}\right|$. (The first inequality is strict since $\omega_{2}$ is not a real multiple of $\omega_{1}$.) Since $\omega_{2}$ has minimal modulus in $A \backslash \mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}$, we learn that $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}$, say $z^{\prime}=n \omega_{1}$. Thus $z=\left(m_{1}+n\right) \omega_{1}+m_{2} \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$, and we conclude that $A=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$.
2.2. The modular group. From now on, we assume that the period lattice has rank 2. Any pair ( $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ ) such that $L=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ is called a basis of $L$ (and necessarily satisfies $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \notin \mathbb{R}$ ).

Suppose that $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is another basis of $L$. Then there exist $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1}^{\prime} & =a \omega_{1}+b \omega_{2} \\
\omega_{2}^{\prime} & =c \omega_{1}+d \omega_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In matrix form, this is

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}} .
$$

The same relation is valid for the complex conjugates, so

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega_{1}^{\prime} & \bar{\omega}_{1}^{\prime} \\
\omega_{2}^{\prime} & \bar{\omega}_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{1} & \bar{\omega}_{1} \\
\omega_{2} & \bar{\omega}_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is also a basis, there are also integers $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{1} & \bar{\omega}_{1} \\
\omega_{2} & \bar{\omega}_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega_{1}^{\prime} & \bar{\omega}_{1}^{\prime} \\
\omega_{2}^{\prime} & \bar{\omega}_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Substituting, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{1} & \bar{\omega}_{1} \\
\omega_{2} & \bar{\omega}_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega_{1} & \bar{\omega}_{1} \\
\omega_{2} & \bar{\omega}_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We know that $\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\omega_{1} & \bar{\omega}_{1} \\ \omega_{2} & \bar{\omega}_{2}\end{array}\right) \neq 0$ (since $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \notin \mathbb{R}$ ), and thus we may multiply on the right by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{1} & \bar{\omega}_{1} \\
\omega_{2} & \bar{\omega}_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \text { to get } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus the integer matrices are inverses of each other, and their determinants multiply to give 1. Since both determinants are integers, we see that $a d-b c$ and $a^{\prime} d^{\prime}-b^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ are $\pm 1$. Let $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}):=$ $\left\{m \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \operatorname{det} m= \pm 1\right\}$ denote the General Linear group of $2 \times 2$ invertible integer matrices. We have proven the following result.
Theorem 2.3. Suppose $L=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ with ordered basis $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$. Then the set of all ordered bases of $L$ is the $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbit of $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$, i.e., the set of $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}}
$$

for some integers $a, b, c, d$ with $a d-b c= \pm 1$.

The group $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is called the modular group. That term, though, is also sometimes used for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, the $2 \times 2$ integer matrices with determinant 1 . This latter group can be thought of as the transformations that change basis in an orientation-preserving fashion.
2.3. The canonical basis. We now single out a nearly unique basis called the canonical basis of a lattice $L$.

Theorem 2.4. Given a lattice $L$, there exists a basis $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ such that $\tau=\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0$,
(ii) $-1 / 2<\operatorname{Re}(\tau) \leq 1 / 2$,
(iii) $|\tau| \geq 1$, and
(iv) if $|\tau|=1$, then $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) \geq 0$.

The ratio $\tau$ is uniquely determined by these conditions, and there is a choice of two, four, or six corresponding ordered bases.

Proof. Choose $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Then $\left|\omega_{1}\right| \leq\left|\omega_{2}\right| \leq\left|\omega_{1} \pm \omega_{2}\right|$. In terms of $\tau=\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$, the first inequality becomes $|\tau| \geq 1$. Dividing the second inequality by $\left|\omega_{1}\right|$ we get $|\tau| \leq|1 \pm \tau|$. Squaring and expanding by real and imaginary parts gives

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\tau)^{2}+\operatorname{Im}(\tau)^{2} \leq(1 \pm \operatorname{Re}(\tau))^{2}+\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau^{2}\right) .
$$

Canceling, expanding, and rearranging gives

$$
0 \leq 1 \pm 2 \operatorname{Re}(\tau)
$$

i.e., $|\operatorname{Re}(\tau)| \leq 1 / 2$.

If $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)<0$, replace $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ by $\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$, making $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0$ without changing $\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$. If $\operatorname{Re}(\tau)=-1 / 2$, replace the basis by $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)$, and if $|\tau|=1$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\tau)<0$, replace it by $\left(-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}\right)$. After these changes, all the conditions are satisfied. Uniqueness will be handled in Theorem 2.6.

There are always at least two bases corresponding to $\tau=\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$, namely $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $\left(-\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)$. We handle the exceptional cases of 4 and 6 bases after the proof of 2.6 .

Definition 2.5. The collection of $\tau$ described by Theorem 2.4 is called the fundamental region of the unimodular group.

The unimodular group $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on bases $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ via matrix multiplication:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}}=\binom{a \omega_{2}+b \omega_{1}}{c \omega_{2}+d \omega_{1} .}
$$

(We have swapped the usual order of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ so as to more closely mirror $\tau=\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}}$.) As such, it acts on the quotient $\tau=\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$ via a linear fractional transformation:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \tau=\frac{a \omega_{2}+b \omega_{1}}{c \omega_{2}+d \omega_{1}}=\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}
$$

Theorem 2.6. If $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ are in the fundamental region and $\tau^{\prime}=(a \tau+b) /(c \tau+d)$ where $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $\tau=\tau^{\prime}$.
Proof. Suppose that $\tau^{\prime}=(a \tau+b) /(c \tau+d)$ where $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=\frac{ \pm \operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{|c \tau+d|^{2}}
$$

with sign matching that of $a d-b c= \pm 1$. If $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ are in the fundamental region, then the sign must be positive, so $a d-b c=1$. Without loss of generality, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{Im}(\tau)$, so $|c \tau+d| \leq 1$.

If $c=0$, then $d= \pm 1$ or 0 . Since $a d-b c=1$, we have $a d=1$, so either $a=d=1$ or $a=d=-1$. Then $\tau^{\prime}=\tau \pm b$, whence $|b|=\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{Re}(\tau)\right|<1$. Therefore $b=0$ and $\tau=\tau^{\prime}$.

We leave the $c \neq 0$ case as a moral exercise for the reader. The arguments are somewhat intricate, but unsurprising.

Finally, we note that $\tau$ corresponds to bases other than $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $\left(-\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)$ if and only if $\tau$ is a fixed point of some unimodular transformation. This only happens for $\tau=i$ (which is a fixed point of $-1 / \tau$ ) and $\tau=e^{\pi i / 3}$ (which is a fixed point of $-(\tau+1) / \tau$ and $-1 /(\tau+1)$.) We leave it to the reader to check that these are the only possibilities.
2.4. General properties of elliptic functions. Let $f$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with period lattice $L=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ of rank 2 . (We do not assume that $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is a canonical basis, nor do we assume that $L$ comprises all periods of $f$.)

Some notation to ease our upcoming work: write $z_{1} \equiv z_{2}(\bmod L)$ if $z_{1}-z_{2} \in L$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, write $P_{a}$ for the "half open" parallelogram with vertices $a, a+\omega_{1}, a+\omega_{2}, a+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}$ that includes the line segments $\left[a, a+\omega_{1}\right]$ and $\left[a+\omega_{1}, a+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)$ but does not include the other two sides. Then every point in $\mathbb{C} / L$ (the set of equivalence classes modulo $L$ ) contains a unique representative in $P_{a}$.
Theorem 2.7. If $f$ is an elliptic function without poles, then $f$ is constant.
Proof. If $f$ is analytic on $P_{a}$, then it is bounded on the closure of $P_{a}$, and hence bounded and analytic on $\mathbb{C}$. By Liouville's theorem, $f$ is constant.

Proposition 2.8. An elliptic function has finitely many poles in $P_{a}$.
Proof. Poles always form a discrete set, and $P_{a}$ is bounded.
Theorem 2.9. The sum of the residues of an elliptic function at poles in a parallelogram $P_{a}$ is zero.
Proof. We may perturb $a$ so that none of the poles lie on $\partial P_{a}$. Then, by the residue theorem, the sum of the residues at poles in $P_{a}$ equals

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial P_{a}} f(z) d z
$$

Since $f$ has periods $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$, the line integrals along opposite sides cancel, and we get that the sum of the residues is 0 .

Corollary 2.10. No elliptic function has a single simple pole (and no other poles) in some $P_{a}$.
Proof. A simple pole has a nonzero residue, and the sum of the residues is zero.
Theorem 2.11. A nonzero elliptic function has equally many poles and zeros in any $P_{a}$ (where poles and zeroes are counted with multiplicity).

Proof. Fix a nonzero elliptic function $f$. In the proof of Theorem 4.4.7 we saw that the logarithmic derivative $f^{\prime} / f$ has the zeros and poles of $f$ as simple poles, with residues equal to their (signed) multiplicities. Since $f^{\prime} / f$ is also elliptic, the result follows from Theorem 2.9.

Note that for any constant $c \in \mathbb{C}, f(z)-c$ has the same poles as $f(z)$. It follows that all values are assumed the same number of times by $f$.

Definition 2.12. The number of incongruent $(\bmod L)$ roots of the equations $f(z)=c$ is called the order of the elliptic function.

Theorem 2.13. The zeros $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and poles $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ of an elliptic function satisfy $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} \equiv$ $b_{1}+\cdots+b_{n}(\bmod L)$.

Proof. Choose $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that none of the zeros or poles are on $\partial P_{a}$. Also choose zeros and poles inside of $P_{a}$. By calculus of residues,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial P_{a}} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}-b_{1}-\cdots-b_{n}
$$

(Check this!) It remains to prove that the left-hand side is an element of $L=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$. The portion of the integral contributed by the sides $\left[a, a+\omega_{1}\right]$ and $\left[a+\omega_{2}, a+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right]$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{a}^{a+\omega_{1}}-\int_{a+\omega_{2}}^{a+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}\right) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z=-\frac{\omega_{2}}{2 \pi i} \int_{a}^{a+\omega_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z
$$

(Check this!) As $z$ varies in $\left[a, a+\omega_{1}\right]$, the values $f(z)$ describe a closed curve in the plane; call this curve $\gamma$. Then the right-hand side of the above expression is manifestly $-\omega_{2} \operatorname{Ind}_{\gamma}(0)$, which is an integer multiple of $\omega_{2}$. A similar argument applies to the other pair of opposite sides. We conclude that

$$
a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}-b_{1}-\cdots-b_{n}=m \omega_{1}+n \omega_{2}
$$

for some integers $m, n$, as desired.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Curves are one-dimensional and tori are two-dimensional. What gives? The 'curve' in 'elliptic curve' indicates a single complex dimension.

