

Recall $M_{m \times n}(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{L}(F^n, F^m)$
 $\xrightarrow{\cong} \{f_A : x \mapsto Ax\}$

$$A_f := (f(e_1) \cdots f(e_n)) \longleftarrow f$$

Image For $f: F^n \rightarrow F^m$, $\text{im}(f) = \{f(x) \mid x \in F^n\} \subseteq F^m$.
 $= \text{span}\{f(e_1), \dots, f(e_n)\}$

Second equality b/c $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n$
 $\mapsto f(x) = x_1 f(e_1) + \dots + x_n f(e_n) \in \text{span}\{f(e_1), \dots, f(e_n)\}$,
 giving $\text{im}(f) \subseteq \text{span}\{f(e_1), \dots, f(e_n)\}$. The other inclusion
 follows b/c each $f(e_j) \in \text{im}(f)$ & $\text{im}(f)$ is a subspace.

Prop $\text{im}(f) = \text{column space of } A_f$
 $\text{rank}(f) = \text{rank}(A_f)$. \square

e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ then $\text{im}(f_A) = \text{span}\{(1, 0, -3), (1, 1, 2)\}$

Indeed, $f_A(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x+y \\ y \\ -3x+2y \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} + y \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Composition

Thm $A \in M_{m \times p}(F)$, $B \in M_{p \times n}(F)$ with associated lin trans
 $f_A: F^p \rightarrow F^m$, $f_B: F^n \rightarrow F^p$. Then

$$f_A \circ f_B = \text{~~A~~ } f_{AB}$$

Pf For $x \in F^n$, $(f_A \circ f_B)(x) = f_A(f_B(x)) = A(Bx) = (AB)x$
 $= f_{AB}(x)$. \square

Note Matrix multn was invented so that this would happen.

Inverses Suppose $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$, $AB = I_n$.

TPS What ~~is~~ is f_{I_n} ?

Get $f_A \circ f_B = \text{id}_{F^n}$. ~~It follows that f_B is injective~~
~~so has kernel $\{0\}$ and thus $\text{rank}(f_B) = \text{rank}$~~

It follows that f_A is surjective $\Rightarrow \text{rank}(f_A) = \text{rank}(A) = n$.

In particular, $\text{rank}(A) < n \Rightarrow A$ doesn't have a right inverse.

Similarly, f_B injective $\Rightarrow \text{nullity}(f_B) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{rank}(f_B) = \text{rank}(B) = n$

so $\text{rank}(B) < n \Rightarrow B$ doesn't have a left inverse.

This completes our argument about matrix inversion! \square

Time allowing how do kernels fit into this picture?