## MATH 113: DISCRETE STRUCTURES SUNZI'S THEOREM

The Chinese mathematician Sunzi Suanjing considered the following problem in the 3-rd century C.E. A general arrays his soldiers on the parade grounds. He first organizes them into columns of 3, but there are only 2 soldiers in the final column. He then organizes them into columns of 5, but there are only 3 soldiers in the final column. Finally, he organizes them into columns of 7, and again there are only 2 soldiers in the final column. How many soldiers does the general command?

Using the language of congruences, we can phrase the general's observations as

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$
$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}.$$

What (if any) integers x simultaneously satisfy these congruences?

Let us begin by solving the first two congruences,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ . By guessand-check, we quickly see that x = 8 is a solution. In fact, if  $x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$ , we solve both congruences. Indeed, such x are equal to 15k + 8 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $15 \equiv 0$  modulo both 3 and 5.

We now need to solve the congruences  $x \equiv 8 \pmod{15} \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ . A little thought reveals that x = 23 works, and the same logic as before shows that  $x \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$  gives all solutions (because  $105 = 15 \cdot 7$ ).

This brief exploration indicates the following theorem and its proof.

**Theorem 1** (Sunzi's Theorem [née Chinese Remainder Theorem]). Suppose  $N = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k$  and that the  $n_i$  are pairwise relatively prime integers (so  $gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ ). Then for any integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}$$
$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$$

has precisely one solution  $x = x_0$  with  $0 \le x_0 < N$  and all solutions are of the form  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{N}$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on k. If k = 1, then we may take x to be the remainder of  $a_1$  divided by  $n_1$  and clearly all solutions are of the form  $x + n_1r = x + Nr$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Fix  $s \ge 1$  and suppose that all such systems with k = s terms have solutions as described. Now consider a system of s + 1 congruences

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}$$
$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x \equiv a_s \pmod{n_s}$$
$$x \equiv a_{s+1} \pmod{n_{s+1}}.$$

where the  $n_i$  are pairwise relatively prime. Let us first endeavor to solve the first two congruences. Since  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are relatively prime, there are integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $1 = m_1n_1 + m_2n_2$ . Construct the number  $a_{1,2} = a_2m_1n_1 + a_1m_2n_2$ . Since  $m_1n_1 = 1 - m_2n_2$ , we have  $a_{1,2} = a_2(1 - m_2n_2) + a_1m_2n_2 = a_2 + n_2(a_1m_2 - a_2m_2)$ . Reducing mod  $n_2$ , we get  $a_{1,2} \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}$ . If we begin with the substitution  $m_2n_2 = 1 - m_1n_1$ , we similarly get  $a_{1,2} \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}$ . Thus  $a_{1,2}$  is a simultaneous solution of the first two congruences. We get all such solutions by considering  $x \equiv a_{1,2} \pmod{n_1n_2}$ . (The diligent reader should check this.) Thus we can solve the original s + 1 congruences by solving the system

$$x \equiv a_{1,2} \pmod{n_1 n_2}$$
$$x \equiv a_3 \pmod{n_3}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x \equiv a_{s+1} \pmod{n_{s+1}}$$

with only *s* congruences. Note that all the moduli are relatively prime, so we may invoke the inductive hypothesis, and we are done.  $\Box$ 

This method of proof is constructive, in that it provides us with a method via which we can solve our system of congruences. By repeated application of the extended Euclidean algorithm, we can eliminate congruences one at a time until we get to a final congruence  $x \equiv a_{1,2,...,k} \pmod{N}$ , where  $a_{1,2,...,k}$  is our solution.

In practice, this is not the fastest way to find a solution. (It requires k - 1 applications of the extended Euclidean algorithm.) Instead, suppose that  $n_k$  is the largest of the moduli. There are  $N/n_k = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_{k-1}$  numbers x such that  $0 \le x < N$  and  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$ . If  $N/n_k$  is relatively small, we (or a computer) can simply check if each of these numbers satisfies all k congruences.

As an example, consider the system of congruences  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ . The solutions to  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$  with  $0 \le x < 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 210$  are  $x = 3, 10, 17, \dots, 206$ . Eliminating odd x we are left with x = 10, 24, 38, 52, 66, 80, 94, 108, 122, 136, 150, 164, 178, 192, 206 as possible solutions. It is easy to see that only x = 52, 122, 192 are congruent to 2 (mod 5), and then that only x = 52 is 1 (mod 3). We conclude that the only solutions to this system of congruences are integers  $x \equiv 52 \pmod{210}$ .

There is a direct way to construct solutions as well. Let  $N_i = N/n_i$  for i = 1, ..., k. Observe that  $N_i$  and  $n_i$  are relatively prime, so we can find  $M_i$  and  $m_i$  such that

$$1 = M_i N_i + m_i n_i.$$

The reader may check that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i M_i N_i$$

is a solution to the system of congruences, and thus all solutions are of the form

$$x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i M_i N_i \pmod{N}.$$

This recipe gives us a function

$$f: \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n_2\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/n_k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$$
$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i N_i$$

(We have engaged in the standard subterfuge of conflating integers and their congruence classes.) There is another natural function  $g : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/n_k\mathbb{Z}$  sending x to the k-tuple consisting of the reductions of x modulo each  $n_i$ . The interested reader may check that these functions are inverse to each other, and thus these sets are in bijection. In fact, these assignment also respect addition and thus are *isomorphisms of abelian groups*, a topic one can explore more fully in Math 332!

*Problem* 1. Find all solutions to the system of congruences

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$$
$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{13}.$$

*Problem* 2. Does Sunzi's theorem still hold if we drop the requirement that the  $n_i$  are relatively prime? Prove your assertion or provide a counterexample.