

MATH 113: DISCRETE STRUCTURES
WEDNESDAY WEEK 12 HANDOUT

Suppose $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$ for positive integers a_i and distinct primes p_i . Recall that $\phi(n)$ is the number of positive integers smaller than n and relatively prime to n . We claim that

$$\phi(n) = n(1 - 1/p_1)(1 - 1/p_2) \cdots (1 - 1/p_k).$$

To prove this, we count the number of positive integers which are at most n and are not relatively prime to n . This is the case if and only if one of the p_i divides n . Of course, there are n/p_i positive integers $\leq n$ and divisible by p_i , so it is tempting to guess that $\phi(n) = n - (n/p_1 + n/p_2 + \cdots + n/p_k)$, but inclusion-exclusion tells us we need to be more careful with numbers which are divisible by multiple primes. The correct formula is

$$\phi(n) = n - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{n}{p_i} + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq k} \frac{n}{p_{i_1} p_{i_2}} - \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq k} \frac{n}{p_{i_1} p_{i_2} p_{i_3}} + \cdots \pm \frac{n}{p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_k}}$$

where the signs alternate and the final sign is $+$ if k is even and $-$ if k is odd. Factoring out an n and thinking deeply about the distributive law, we see that this is the same as

$$\phi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) = n \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right).$$

What a remarkable formula! For instance, if $n = 6160 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$, then

$$\phi(6160) = 6160(1 - 1/2)(1 - 1/3)(1 - 1/5)(1 - 1/7)(1 - 1/11) = 1280.$$

Also note that there is a probabilistic interpretation of this formula. The probability that an integer between 1 and n is relatively prime to n is

$$\frac{\phi(n)}{n} = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right).$$

Fascinatingly, the probability only depends on the primes dividing n , and it suggests an alternate proof of our formula.

Problem 1. Let \underline{n} be our sample space with uniform distribution. Define the event ND_i to be the set of $r \in \underline{n}$ such that $p_i \nmid r$.

- (a) What is $P(ND_i)$?
- (b) Let RP be the collection of $r \in \underline{n}$ which are relatively prime to n . Check that $RP = ND_1 \cap ND_2 \cap \cdots \cap ND_k$.
- (c) Argue that the events ND_i are independent and thus $P(RP) = P(ND_1) \cdots P(ND_k)$. Note that this is equivalent to the above formula for $\phi(n)$.