## Mathematics 361: Number Theory Assignment C

**Reading:** Ireland and Rosen, Chapter 3 (including the exercises) and into Chapter 4

## **Problems:**

The pigeonhole principle and congruences.

1. Let m be a positive integer and  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  be any integers, possibly repeating. Show that for some nonempty subset S of the indices  $\{1,\ldots,m\}$ ,  $\sum_{i\in S} a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . (Hint: pigeonhole the partial sums.)

The fifth Fermat number is composite.

2. Fermat defined the numbers  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Thus

$$F_0 = 3$$
,  $F_1 = 5$ ,  $F_2 = 17$ ,  $F_3 = 257$ ,  $F_4 = 65537$ ,  $F_5 = 4294967297$ , etc.

He conjectured that all the  $F_n$  are prime, as indeed  $F_0$  through  $F_4$  are. Euler showed that  $F_5$  is composite, using techniques that were actually available to Fermat and applied by him in similar situations. André Weil, in his book **Number Theory:** An Approach Through History, conjectures that Fermat tried these techniques on  $F_5$ , made an arithmetic error (as he apparently often did), and never rechecked them. Following Euler, investigate whether  $F_5$  is composite. To search for candidate prime factors p of  $F_5$ , reason as follows:  $p \mid 2^{32} + 1$  is equivalent to  $2^{32} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , showing that 2 has order 64 in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ . It follows that  $64 \mid \phi(p) = p - 1$ , so p must take the form p = 64k + 1. Thus candidates for p are

Testing whether each of these primes p divides  $F_5$  is easy. As above, we need to check whether  $2^{32} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , so simply compute  $2, 2^2, 2^4, 2^8$ , etc. modulo p up to  $2^{32}$ . Use this method to show that 193 does not divide  $F_5$ . Neither do 257, 449 or 577, but don't bother showing this. Use this method to show that 641 does divide  $F_5$ .

Note that this shows  $F_5$  to be composite without ever computing it.

Using algebra rather than arithmetic.

3. The Fibonacci numbers are  $u_0 = 0$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-2}$  for  $n \ge 2$  (this is slightly different indexing from earlier). Read through

the following method to compute a closed form expression for  $u_n$  via linear algebra:

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Induction quickly shows that  $A^n = \begin{bmatrix} u_{n+1} & u_n \\ u_n & u_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ for  $n \geq 1$ . So to find  $u_n$  in closed form it suffices to compute either off-diagonal entry of  $A^n$ .

To diagonalize A with no mess, one easily computes that its characteristic polynomial is  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \operatorname{tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$ . We let  $\tau$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  denote the roots of  $\chi_A$  but we don't compute them yet—the numerical values only muddy the calculation. The coefficients of the characteristic polynomial show that

(1) 
$$\tau + \tilde{\tau} = 1, \qquad \tau \tilde{\tau} = -1.$$

Note that the second relation in (1) tells us that one root—say,  $\tau$  is positive and the other negative. Thus the roots are distinct and each corresponding eigenspace of A has dimension 1. In particular, the matrix

$$A - \tau I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \tau & 1 \\ 1 & -\tau \end{bmatrix}$$

must have nullity 1 and therefore rank 1, meaning its two rows are linearly dependent so that any vector orthogonal to the second row spans the matrix's nullspace. For example,  $\begin{vmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$  works. Continuing this argument shows that

$$A^n = PJ^nP^{-1} \quad \text{where } J = \begin{bmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\tau} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } P = \begin{bmatrix} \tau & \tilde{\tau} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 so  $P^{-1} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau} - \tau} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\tau} \\ -1 & \tau \end{bmatrix}.$ 

To obtain a closed form expression for  $u_n$ , compute that  $(\tilde{\tau} - \tau)A^n$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau & \tilde{\tau} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau^n & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\tau}^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\tau} \\ -1 & \tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau^n & * \\ -\tilde{\tau}^n & * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ \tau^n - \tilde{\tau}^n & * \end{bmatrix},$$
 and so

(2) 
$$u_n = \frac{\tau^n - \tilde{\tau}^n}{\tau - \tilde{\tau}}.$$

Finally, since  $\tau, \tilde{\tau} = (1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$ , we have Binet's formula

$$u_n = \frac{((1+\sqrt{5})/2)^n - ((1-\sqrt{5})/2)^n}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Note how clean the calculation is when one ignores the numerical value of  $\tau$  until the end.

- (a) Use relations (1) and the convention  $\tau > 0$  to show that  $|\tilde{\tau}| < \tau$ .
- (b) Now use (2) to show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(u_{n+1}/u_n)=\tau$ . (None of (a) or (b) requires the numerical value of  $\tau$ .)
- 4. Ireland and Rosen exercises 3.24, 3.25, 3.26. Note: 3.25 is technical; roughly  $\lambda$  is a square root of 3 and therefore a fourth root of 9, and so the condition  $\alpha = 1$  ( $\lambda$ ) suggests that  $\alpha^3 = 1$  ( $\lambda^3$ ), but the issue is to finagle one more power of  $\lambda$  to get to  $\alpha^3 = 1$  ( $\lambda^4$ ); and problem 3.24 can tell us that one of three elements must be a multiple of  $\lambda$ .
- 5. Work a selection from Ireland and Rosen exercises 3.1, 3.4, 3.8–3.10, 3.12–3.13, 3.16, 3.17, 3.18, 3.23.

Optional alternate problems.

6. Use Hensel's Lemma to show that for distinct odd primes p and q, the 2-adic equation

$$px^2 + qy^2 = z^2, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

has a nonzero solution if at least one of p and q is 1 modulo 4 but not if both are 3 modulo 4.

7. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  be nonzero. Show that the inhomogeneous condition

$$aX^2 + bY^2 = 1$$
 has a solution in  $\mathbb{Q}^2$ 

and the homogeneous condition

$$aX^2 + bY^2 = Z^2$$
 has a nonzero solution in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ 

are equivalent.