# Math 361

March 27, 2023

Remember that we will have a quiz on Wednesday. Our cumulative topics sheet is posted.

# Today

► The norm of an ideal.

•  $\mathfrak{O}_K$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

Let K be a number field, and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Let K be a number field, and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Recall the argument showing that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}/\mathfrak{a}$  is finite.

Let K be a number field, and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{K}$ .

Recall the argument showing that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}/\mathfrak{a}$  is finite.

**Definition.** The *norm* of the nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is

 $N(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathfrak{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}|.$ 

Let K be a number field, and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Recall the argument showing that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}/\mathfrak{a}$  is finite.

**Definition.** The *norm* of the nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathfrak{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}|.$$

**Exercise.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-14})$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = (6, 1 + \sqrt{-14}) \subset \mathfrak{O}_K$ . Compute  $N(\mathfrak{a})$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and pick a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module basis  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \left| \frac{\Delta[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]}{\Delta} \right|^{1/2}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of K (i.e., the discriminant of any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ ).

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and pick a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module basis  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \left|\frac{\Delta[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n]}{\Delta}\right|^{1/2}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of K (i.e., the discriminant of any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ ).

**Proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and pick a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module basis  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \left|\frac{\Delta[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n]}{\Delta}\right|^{1/2}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of K (i.e., the discriminant of any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ ).

**Proof.** Z-basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ . Write  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)^t = C(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)^t$  for some integer matrix C.

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and pick a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module basis  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \left| \frac{\Delta[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]}{\Delta} \right|^{1/2}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of K (i.e., the discriminant of any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ ).

**Proof.** Z-basis for  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ . Write  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)^t = C(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)^t$  for some integer matrix C. Change of basis formula for the discriminant:

$$\Delta[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n] = \det(C)^2 \Delta[\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n] = \det(C)^2 \Delta.$$

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and pick a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module basis  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \left| \frac{\Delta[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]}{\Delta} \right|^{1/2}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of K (i.e., the discriminant of any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ ).

**Proof.** Z-basis for  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ . Write  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)^t = C(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)^t$  for some integer matrix C. Change of basis formula for the discriminant:

$$\Delta[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n] = \det(C)^2 \Delta[\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n] = \det(C)^2 \Delta.$$

The result then follows from the commutative diagram:



**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$$

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K.

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$$

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K. **Proof.** 

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$$

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K. **Proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_{K}$ : { $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}$ }.

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

 $N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$ 

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K.

**Proof.** Z-basis for  $\mathcal{D}_{K}$ : { $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}$ }. Z-basis for ( $\alpha$ ): { $\alpha\omega_{1}, \ldots, \alpha\omega_{n}$ }.

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$$

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K. **Proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $(\alpha)$ :  $\{\alpha\omega_1, \ldots, \alpha\omega_n\}$ .

$$\Delta[\alpha\omega_1,\ldots,\alpha\omega_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha\omega_i)^2$$

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$$

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K. **Proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $(\alpha)$ :  $\{\alpha\omega_1, \ldots, \alpha\omega_n\}$ .

$$\Delta[\alpha\omega_1,\ldots,\alpha\omega_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha\omega_i)^2$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)\right)^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\omega_i)^2\right)$$

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$$

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K. **Proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $(\alpha)$ :  $\{\alpha\omega_1, \ldots, \alpha\omega_n\}$ .

$$\Delta[\alpha\omega_1, \dots, \alpha\omega_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha\omega_i)^2$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)\right)^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\omega_i)^2\right)$$
$$= N(\alpha)^2 \Delta.$$

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , and consider the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ). Then

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)|$$

where  $N(\alpha)$  is the norm we defined previously for elements of K. **Proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ :  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $(\alpha)$ :  $\{\alpha\omega_1, \ldots, \alpha\omega_n\}$ .

$$\Delta[\alpha\omega_1,\ldots,\alpha\omega_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha\omega_i)^2$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)\right)^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\omega_i)^2\right)$$
$$= N(\alpha)^2 \Delta.$$

The result now follows from the Proposition.

**Example.** Let d be a square-free integer not equal to 0 or 1.

 $\left|\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}/\mathfrak{a}\right|$ 

$$\left|\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}/\mathfrak{a}\right| = N(\mathfrak{a}) = |N(a+b\sqrt{d})|$$

$$\left|\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}/\mathfrak{a}
ight| = N(\mathfrak{a}) = |N(a+b\sqrt{d})|$$
  
=  $|(a+b\sqrt{d})(a-b\sqrt{d})|$ 

$$ig|\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}/\mathfrak{a}ig| = N(\mathfrak{a}) = |N(a+b\sqrt{d})|$$
  
=  $|(a+b\sqrt{d})(a-b\sqrt{d})|$   
=  $|a^2 - db^2|.$ 

#### **Proposition** Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ be nonzero ideals of $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then

 $N(\mathfrak{ab}) = N(\mathfrak{a})N(\mathfrak{b}).$ 

#### **Proposition** Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ be nonzero ideals of $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then

 $N(\mathfrak{ab}) = N(\mathfrak{a})N(\mathfrak{b}).$ 

**Proof.** Isomorphism theorems from algebra. See Theorem 5.12 in our text.

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .

1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ) is contained in  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ) is contained in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{a}|(\alpha)$ ,

1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then the principal ideal ( $\alpha$ ) is contained in  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Therefore  $\mathfrak{a}|(\alpha)$ , i.e., there exists an ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ .

1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then the principal ideal  $(\alpha)$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{a}|(\alpha)$ , i.e., there exists an ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ . Taking norms yields

$$N((\alpha)) = |N(\alpha)| = N(\mathfrak{a})N(\mathfrak{b}).$$

The result follows.

2. 
$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$$
 if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ .

2. 
$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$$
 if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

**Proof.** Immediate from the definition of the norm.
3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime.

3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime. **Proof.** 

3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime. **Proof.** Factor a into primes:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{e_{i}}.$$

3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime. **Proof.** Factor a into primes:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{e_{i}}.$$

Taking norms:

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{e_i}.$$
 (1)

3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime. **Proof.** Factor a into primes:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{e_{i}}.$$

Taking norms:

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{e_i}.$$
 (1)

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, then  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ , and hence  $N(\mathfrak{p}) > 1$ .

3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime. **Proof.** Factor a into primes:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{e_{i}}.$$

Taking norms:

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{e_i}.$$
 (1)

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, then  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{O}_K$ , and hence  $N(\mathfrak{p}) > 1$ . Therefore, if  $N(\mathfrak{a})$  is prime, so is  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ .

4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ . **Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ . 4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ . **Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ .  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathfrak{O}_{K}/\mathfrak{a}|$  4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ . **Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ .  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathfrak{O}_{K}/\mathfrak{a}| \Longrightarrow N(\mathfrak{a})\alpha = 0 \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}/\mathfrak{a}$ , 4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ . **Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ .  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathfrak{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}| \Longrightarrow N(\mathfrak{a})\alpha = 0 \in \mathfrak{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}$ , i.e.,  $N(\mathfrak{a})\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ . 4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ . **Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ .  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathfrak{O}_{K}/\mathfrak{a}| \Longrightarrow N(\mathfrak{a})\alpha = 0 \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}/\mathfrak{a}$ , i.e.,  $N(\mathfrak{a})\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Letting  $\alpha = 1$  gives the result.

5. If a is prime, then a contains a unique rational prime p

5. If a is prime, then a contains a unique rational prime p and  $N(a) = p^m$  for some  $1 \le m \le n := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

5. If a is prime, then a contains a unique rational prime p and  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = p^m$  for some  $1 \le m \le n := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{e_i}$  be the prime factorization of  $N(\mathfrak{a})$ .

5. If a is prime, then a contains a unique rational prime p and  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = p^m$  for some  $1 \le m \le n := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{e_i}$  be the prime factorization of  $N(\mathfrak{a})$ . Since  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,

 $\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i)^{e_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{a},$ 

5. If a is prime, then a contains a unique rational prime p and  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = p^m$  for some  $1 \le m \le n := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{e_i}$  be the prime factorization of  $N(\mathfrak{a})$ . Since  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i)^{e_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{a},$$

and, hence,

$$\mathfrak{a}|\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i)^{e_i}.$$

5. If a is prime, then a contains a unique rational prime p and  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = p^m$  for some  $1 \le m \le n := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{e_i}$  be the prime factorization of  $N(\mathfrak{a})$ . Since  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i)^{e_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{a},$$

and, hence,

$$\mathfrak{a}|\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i)^{e_i}.$$

If a is prime, there exists *i* such that  $\mathfrak{a}|(p_i)$ , which means  $(p_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  or, equivalently,  $p_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ .

If there exists an rational prime  $q \neq p$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we would have

If there exists an rational prime  $q \neq p$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we would have

$$1\in(p,q)=(p)+(q)\subseteq\mathfrak{a}$$

If there exists an rational prime  $q \neq p$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we would have

$$1\in(p,q)=(p)+(q)\subseteq\mathfrak{a}$$

However, since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is prime, it does not contain 1. So there exists a unique rational prime  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

If there exists an rational prime  $q \neq p$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we would have

$$1\in(p,q)=(p)+(q)\subseteq\mathfrak{a}$$

However, since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is prime, it does not contain 1. So there exists a unique rational prime  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

From the first part of this problem, we have  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(p)$ .

If there exists an rational prime  $q \neq p$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we would have

$$1\in(p,q)=(p)+(q)\subseteq\mathfrak{a}$$

However, since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is prime, it does not contain 1. So there exists a unique rational prime  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

From the first part of this problem, we have  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(p)$ .

Since  $N(p) = p^n$ , the result follows.

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then

1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .

- 1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .
- 2.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

- 1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .
- 2.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .
- 3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime.

- 1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .
- 2.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .
- 3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime.
- 4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ .

- 1. If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a})|N(\alpha)$ .
- 2.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .
- 3. If N(a) is prime, a is prime.
- 4.  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$ .
- 5. If a is prime, then a contains a unique rational prime p and  $N(a) = p^m$  for some  $1 \le m \le n := [K : \mathbb{Q}].$

#### Corollary

1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_K$  containing a.

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

Proof.

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

#### Proof.

1. This is an immediate consequence of prime factorization of ideals.

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

#### Proof.

- 1. This is an immediate consequence of prime factorization of ideals.
- 2. We have  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a}|(a)$ .
#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

- 1. This is an immediate consequence of prime factorization of ideals.
- We have a ∈ a if and only if a|(a). So this result follows from the previous part of this Corollary applied to the principal ideal (a).

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

- 1. This is an immediate consequence of prime factorization of ideals.
- We have a ∈ a if and only if a|(a). So this result follows from the previous part of this Corollary applied to the principal ideal (a).
- 3. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . If a is an ideal with N(a) = a,

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

- 1. This is an immediate consequence of prime factorization of ideals.
- We have a ∈ a if and only if a|(a). So this result follows from the previous part of this Corollary applied to the principal ideal (a).
- 3. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . If a is an ideal with N(a) = a, then from the previous Proposition, we have  $a \in a$ .

#### Corollary

- 1. Let a be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then there are only a finite number of ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}$ , Equivalently, there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  containing a.
- 3. There are finitely many ideals with a given norm.

- 1. This is an immediate consequence of prime factorization of ideals.
- We have a ∈ a if and only if a|(a). So this result follows from the previous part of this Corollary applied to the principal ideal (a).
- 3. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . If a is an ideal with N(a) = a, then from the previous Proposition, we have  $a \in a$ . The result then follows from the previous part of this Corollary.

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We have  $\mathfrak{p} \ni N(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_k$  where the  $\pi_i$ s are irreducibles in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We have  $\mathfrak{p} \ni N(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_k$  where the  $\pi_i$ s are irreducibles in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, it follows that  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  from some *i*.

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We have  $\mathfrak{p} \ni N(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_k$  where the  $\pi_i$ s are irreducibles in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, it follows that  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  from some *i*. Hence,  $(\pi_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We have  $\mathfrak{p} \ni N(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_k$  where the  $\pi_i$ s are irreducibles in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, it follows that  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  from some *i*. Hence,  $(\pi_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

In a UFD every irreducible is prime.

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We have  $\mathfrak{p} \ni N(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_k$  where the  $\pi_i$ s are irreducibles in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Since p is prime, it follows that  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  from some *i*. Hence,  $(\pi_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

In a UFD every irreducible is prime. So  $(\pi_i)$  is a prime ideal. Primes are maximal in a number ring.

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We have  $\mathfrak{p} \ni N(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_k$  where the  $\pi_i$ s are irreducibles in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Since p is prime, it follows that  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  from some *i*. Hence,  $(\pi_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

In a UFD every irreducible is prime. So  $(\pi_i)$  is a prime ideal. Primes are maximal in a number ring. Hence,  $(\pi_i) = \mathfrak{p}$ .

**Proposition.** The number ring  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We already know that a PID is a UFD.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a UFD, and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We have  $\mathfrak{p} \ni N(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_k$  where the  $\pi_i$ s are irreducibles in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

Since p is prime, it follows that  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  from some *i*. Hence,  $(\pi_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

In a UFD every irreducible is prime. So  $(\pi_i)$  is a prime ideal. Primes are maximal in a number ring. Hence,  $(\pi_i) = \mathfrak{p}$ .

The result now follows since every ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a product of prime ideals.

**Proposition.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}_K$  is not a UFD, and let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{O}_K$  be irreducible but not prime.

**Proof.** For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$  from some *i* and some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ .

**Proof.** For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$  from some *i* and some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{p}_i | (\pi)$ , it follows that  $(\pi) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$  from some *i* and some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{p}_i | (\pi)$ , it follows that  $(\pi) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$ .

Hence,  $\pi = \alpha \beta$  from some  $\beta \in \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

**Proof.** For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$  from some *i* and some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{p}_i | (\pi)$ , it follows that  $(\pi) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$ .

Hence,  $\pi = \alpha \beta$  from some  $\beta \in \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, so is  $\alpha$ .

**Proof.** For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$  from some *i* and some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{p}_i | (\pi)$ , it follows that  $(\pi) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$ .

Hence,  $\pi = \alpha \beta$  from some  $\beta \in \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, so is  $\alpha$ . Since  $\pi$  is irreducible,  $\beta$  is a unit.

**Proof.** For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$  from some *i* and some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{p}_i | (\pi)$ , it follows that  $(\pi) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i = (\alpha)$ .

Hence,  $\pi = \alpha \beta$  from some  $\beta \in \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak p$  is prime, so is  $\alpha.$  Since  $\pi$  is irreducible,  $\beta$  is a unit.

Hence,  $\pi$  is prime—a contradiction.