

Math 361

March 10, 2023

Today

- ▶ Review some Noetherian stuff.

Today

- ▶ Review some Noetherian stuff.
- ▶ Review and finish proof that \mathfrak{O}_K is Dedekind.

Today

- ▶ Review some Noetherian stuff.
- ▶ Review and finish proof that \mathfrak{O}_K is Dedekind.
- ▶ Fractional ideals.

Today

- ▶ Review some Noetherian stuff.
- ▶ Review and finish proof that \mathfrak{O}_K is Dedekind.
- ▶ Fractional ideals.
- ▶ Every nonzero ideal in \mathfrak{O}_K is uniquely expressible as a product of prime ideals.

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem.



Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT).

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S .

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Let I be an ideal of S ,

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Let I be an ideal of S , i.e., an S -submodule of S .

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Let I be an ideal of S , i.e., an S -submodule of S . Then I is an R -submodule of S .

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Let I be an ideal of S , i.e., an S -submodule of S . Then I is an R -submodule of S . Since S is a Noetherian R -module, I is finitely generated as an R -module.

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Let I be an ideal of S , i.e., an S -submodule of S . Then I is an R -submodule of S . Since S is a Noetherian R -module, I is finitely generated as an R -module. Say $I = \text{Span}_R\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ with the $s_j \in S$.

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Let I be an ideal of S , i.e., an S -submodule of S . Then I is an R -submodule of S . Since S is a Noetherian R -module, I is finitely generated as an R -module. Say $I = \text{Span}_R\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ with the $s_j \in S$. Then $I = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$

Noetherian stuff

Suppose that $R \subseteq S$ is an extension of rings and that R is Noetherian.

Theorem. If S is finitely generated as a ring over R , then S is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem. □

Prop. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is Noetherian.

Proof (not using the HBT). Let I be an ideal of S . Since a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, S is a Noetherian R -module.

Let I be an ideal of S , i.e., an S -submodule of S . Then I is an R -submodule of S . Since S is a Noetherian R -module, I is finitely generated as an R -module. Say $I = \text{Span}_R\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ with the $s_i \in S$. Then $I = (s_1, \dots, s_k) = \text{Span}_S\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$. □

Finish result from last time

See slides from last time.

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

The product of two fractional ideals I, J in \mathfrak{O}_K is the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K

$$IJ = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K} \{ij : i \in I, j \in J\}.$$

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

The product of two fractional ideals I, J in \mathfrak{O}_K is the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K

$$IJ = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K} \{ij : i \in I, j \in J\}.$$

Question: Is every (ordinary) ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ a fractional ideal?

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

The product of two fractional ideals I, J in \mathfrak{O}_K is the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K

$$IJ = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K} \{ij : i \in I, j \in J\}.$$

Question: Is every (ordinary) ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ a fractional ideal?

Answer: Yes. For instance, $1 \cdot \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

The product of two fractional ideals I, J in \mathfrak{O}_K is the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K

$$IJ = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K} \{ij : i \in I, j \in J\}.$$

Question: Is every (ordinary) ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ a fractional ideal?

Answer: Yes. For instance, $1 \cdot \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

Question: In the definition, could the condition be " $c \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $cI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ "?

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

The product of two fractional ideals I, J in \mathfrak{O}_K is the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K

$$IJ = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K} \{ij : i \in I, j \in J\}.$$

Question: Is every (ordinary) ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ a fractional ideal?

Answer: Yes. For instance, $1 \cdot \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

Question: In the definition, could the condition be " $c \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $cI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ "? Answer: Yes.

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

The product of two fractional ideals I, J in \mathfrak{O}_K is the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K

$$IJ = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K} \{ij : i \in I, j \in J\}.$$

Question: Is every (ordinary) ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ a fractional ideal?

Answer: Yes. For instance, $1 \cdot \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

Question: In the definition, could the condition be " $c \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $cI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ "? Answer: Yes. We can write $c = \alpha/\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{O}_K$.

Fractional ideals

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_K .

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule I is a *fractional ideal* of \mathfrak{O}_K if there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$

The product of two fractional ideals I, J in \mathfrak{O}_K is the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K

$$IJ = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K} \{ij : i \in I, j \in J\}.$$

Question: Is every (ordinary) ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ a fractional ideal?

Answer: Yes. For instance, $1 \cdot \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

Question: In the definition, could the condition be " $c \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $cI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ "? Answer: Yes. We can write $c = \alpha/\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then $\beta c \in \mathfrak{O}_K$, and $\beta cI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$.

Fractional ideals

- Suppose $I \subset K$ is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K .

Fractional ideals

- Suppose $I \subset K$ is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K . Take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$.

Fractional ideals

- Suppose $I \subset K$ is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K . Take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then αI is an \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of \mathfrak{O}_K ,

Fractional ideals

- Suppose $I \subset K$ is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K . Take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then αI is an \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of \mathfrak{O}_K , i.e., an ideal.

Fractional ideals

- ▶ Suppose $I \subset K$ is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K . Take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then αI is an \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of \mathfrak{O}_K , i.e., an ideal.
- ▶ The fractional ideals are exactly the \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K of the form $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ for some ideal \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{O}_K and nonzero $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K$.

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) First, suppose that I is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K ,

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) First, suppose that I is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , and take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$.

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) First, suppose that I is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , and take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then αI is an ideal of the Noetherian ring \mathfrak{O}_K .

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) First, suppose that I is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , and take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then αI is an ideal of the Noetherian ring \mathfrak{O}_K . Hence, αI is finitely generated as an \mathfrak{O}_K -module.

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) First, suppose that I is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , and take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then αI is an ideal of the Noetherian ring \mathfrak{O}_K . Hence, αI is finitely generated as an \mathfrak{O}_K -module. We have an isomorphism of \mathfrak{O}_K -modules:

$$I \rightarrow \alpha I$$

$$x \mapsto \alpha x.$$

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) First, suppose that I is a fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , and take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. Then αI is an ideal of the Noetherian ring \mathfrak{O}_K . Hence, αI is finitely generated as an \mathfrak{O}_K -module. We have an isomorphism of \mathfrak{O}_K -modules:

$$I \rightarrow \alpha I$$

$$x \mapsto \alpha x.$$

Hence, I is a finitely generated as an \mathfrak{O}_K -module (just multiply the generators of αI by α^{-1} to get generators for I).

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Conversely, suppose that $I = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a finitely-generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K .

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Conversely, suppose that $I = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a finitely-generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K . Since K is the quotient field of \mathfrak{O}_K , we can write $x_i = \alpha_i/\beta_i$ with $\beta_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Fractional ideals

Proposition. Fractional ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K are exactly finitely generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodules of K .

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Conversely, suppose that $I = \text{Span}_{\mathfrak{O}_K}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a finitely-generated \mathfrak{O}_K -submodule of K . Since K is the quotient field of \mathfrak{O}_K , we can write $x_i = \alpha_i/\beta_i$ with $\beta_i \neq 0$ for all i . Define $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^m \beta_i$. Then $\alpha I \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$. So I is finitely generated. \square

Fractional ideals

Proposition. The set of nonzero fractional ideals in a number field K forms an abelian group under multiplication.

Fractional ideals

Proposition. The set of nonzero fractional ideals in a number field K forms an abelian group under multiplication. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , then its inverse is

$$I^{-1} = \{x \in K : xI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K\}.$$

Fractional ideals

Proposition. The set of nonzero fractional ideals in a number field K forms an abelian group under multiplication. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , then its inverse is

$$I^{-1} = \{x \in K : xI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K\}.$$

Proof. The only difficult property to prove is that I^{-1} is the inverse of I .

Fractional ideals

Proposition. The set of nonzero fractional ideals in a number field K forms an abelian group under multiplication. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , then its inverse is

$$I^{-1} = \{x \in K : xI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K\}.$$

Proof. The only difficult property to prove is that I^{-1} is the inverse of I . We do that in the proof of the upcoming theorem. \square

Fractional ideals

Proposition. The set of nonzero fractional ideals in a number field K forms an abelian group under multiplication. If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K , then its inverse is

$$I^{-1} = \{x \in K : xI \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K\}.$$

Proof. The only difficult property to prove is that I^{-1} is the inverse of I . We do that in the proof of the upcoming theorem. \square

Note: $I \subseteq J \Rightarrow J^{-1} \subseteq I^{-1}$.

In \mathfrak{D}_K , to contain is to divide

Definition. If I, J are ideals in a ring R , then I divides J , denoted $I|J$ if

In \mathfrak{D}_K , to contain is to divide

Definition. If I, J are ideals in a ring R , then I divides J , denoted $I|J$ if there exists an ideal H such that $J = IH$.

In \mathfrak{D}_K , to contain is to divide

Definition. If I, J are ideals in a ring R , then I divides J , denoted $I|J$ if there exists an ideal H such that $J = IH$.

Proposition. (*To contain is to divide.*)

In \mathfrak{D}_K , to contain is to divide

Definition. If I, J are ideals in a ring R , then I divides J , denoted $I|J$ if there exists an ideal H such that $J = IH$.

Proposition. (*To contain is to divide.*) Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals in \mathfrak{D}_K . Then $\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

In \mathfrak{D}_K , to contain is to divide

Definition. If I, J are ideals in a ring R , then I divides J , denoted $I|J$ if there exists an ideal H such that $J = IH$.

Proposition. (*To contain is to divide.*) Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals in \mathfrak{D}_K . Then $\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. On board.



Prime factorization of ideals

Theorem. Let K be a number field. Every nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K can be factored into a product of prime ideals, uniquely up to the order of factors.

Prime factorization of ideals

Theorem. Let K be a number field. Every nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K can be factored into a product of prime ideals, uniquely up to the order of factors.

Outline of proof.

Prime factorization of ideals

Theorem. Let K be a number field. Every nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K can be factored into a product of prime ideals, uniquely up to the order of factors.

Outline of proof.

Step 1. $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ an ideal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for some nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i .

Prime factorization of ideals

Theorem. Let K be a number field. Every nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K can be factored into a product of prime ideals, uniquely up to the order of factors.

Outline of proof.

Step 1. $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ an ideal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for some nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i .

Step 2. $I \cdot I^{-1} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_K$ for any nonzero fractional ideal I .

Prime factorization of ideals

Theorem. Let K be a number field. Every nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K can be factored into a product of prime ideals, uniquely up to the order of factors.

Outline of proof.

Step 1. $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ an ideal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for some nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i .

Step 2. $I \cdot I^{-1} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_K$ for any nonzero fractional ideal I .

Step 3. Every nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ is a product of prime ideals.

Prime factorization of ideals

Theorem. Let K be a number field. Every nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K can be factored into a product of prime ideals, uniquely up to the order of factors.

Outline of proof.

- Step 1. $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ an ideal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for some nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i .
- Step 2. $I \cdot I^{-1} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_K$ for any nonzero fractional ideal I .
- Step 3. Every nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ is a product of prime ideals.
- Step 4. Prime factorization of ideals in \mathfrak{O}_K is unique.

Prime factorization of ideals

Theorem. Let K be a number field. Every nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{O}_K can be factored into a product of prime ideals, uniquely up to the order of factors.

Outline of proof.

Step 1. $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ an ideal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for some nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i .

Step 2. $I \cdot I^{-1} = (1) = \mathfrak{O}_K$ for any nonzero fractional ideal I .

Step 3. Every nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ is a product of prime ideals.

Step 4. Prime factorization of ideals in \mathfrak{O}_K is unique.

We will prove Steps 3 and 4 on the board, assuming Steps 1 and 2.