Math 361

March 1, 2023

- 1. Let *R* be a ring, and let *M* be an *R*-module. What does it mean to say the *M* is *Noetherian*.
- 2. Suppose that $0 \to M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \to 0$ is a short exact sequence of *R*-modules. What can we say about the Noetherian condition in this setting?
- 3. State the Hilbert basis theorem.

Today



- Euclidean domains
- ► Application.

Let R be a ring.

► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.

- ► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is

- ▶ u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero,

- ▶ u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit,

- ▶ u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- ▶ $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and $r = st \Rightarrow s$ or t is a unit.

- ► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- ▶ $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and $r = st \Rightarrow s$ or t is a unit.
- ▶ $p \in R$ is prime if it is

- ► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- ▶ $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and $r = st \Rightarrow s$ or t is a unit.
- ▶ $p \in R$ is *prime* if it is nonzero,

- ► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- ▶ $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and $r = st \Rightarrow s$ or t is a unit.
- $p \in R$ is prime if it is nonzero, not a unit,

- ► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- ▶ $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and $r = st \Rightarrow s$ or t is a unit.
- ▶ $p \in R$ is prime if it is nonzero, not a unit, and whenever p|(ab),

- ► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- ▶ $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and $r = st \Rightarrow s$ or t is a unit.
- ▶ $p \in R$ is prime if it is nonzero, not a unit, and whenever p|(ab), either p|a or p|b.
- ln a domain, prime \Rightarrow irreducible.

- ► u ∈ R is a unit if it divides 1, i.e., if it has a multiplicative inverse.
- ▶ $r \in R$ is *irreducible* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and $r = st \Rightarrow s$ or t is a unit.
- ▶ $p \in R$ is *prime* if it is nonzero, not a unit, and whenever p|(ab), either p|a or p|b.
- ► In a domain, prime ⇒ irreducible. In a PID, the converse holds.

 $r \in R$ has a factorization into irreducibles if there exists a unit u and irreducibles p_1, \ldots, p_k such that

 $r = up_1 \cdots p_k$.

 $r \in R$ has a *factorization into irreducibles* if there exists a unit u and irreducibles p_1, \ldots, p_k such that

 $r = up_1 \cdots p_k$.

The factorization of r is *unique* if whenever

 $r = vq_1 \cdots q_\ell$

with v a unit and q_1, \ldots, q_ℓ irreducible,

 $r \in R$ has a factorization into irreducibles if there exists a unit u and irreducibles p_1, \ldots, p_k such that

 $r = up_1 \cdots p_k$.

The factorization of r is *unique* if whenever

 $r = vq_1 \cdots q_\ell$

with v a unit and q_1, \ldots, q_ℓ irreducible, then $k = \ell$ and up to a permutation of the indices $p_i = u_i q_i$ for some unit u_i for all *i*.

 $r \in R$ has a factorization into irreducibles if there exists a unit u and irreducibles p_1, \ldots, p_k such that

 $r = up_1 \cdots p_k$.

The factorization of r is *unique* if whenever

 $r = vq_1 \cdots q_\ell$

with v a unit and q_1, \ldots, q_ℓ irreducible, then $k = \ell$ and up to a permutation of the indices $p_i = u_i q_i$ for some unit u_i for all *i*.

The ring R is a *factorization domain* (FD) is each nonzero element has a factorization into irreducibles.

 $r \in R$ has a factorization into irreducibles if there exists a unit u and irreducibles p_1, \ldots, p_k such that

 $r = up_1 \cdots p_k$.

The factorization of r is *unique* if whenever

 $r = vq_1 \cdots q_\ell$

with v a unit and q_1, \ldots, q_ℓ irreducible, then $k = \ell$ and up to a permutation of the indices $p_i = u_i q_i$ for some unit u_i for all *i*.

The ring R is a *factorization domain* (FD) is each nonzero element has a factorization into irreducibles.

The ring R is a *unique factorization domain* (UFD) if each nonzero element $r \in R$ has a unique factorization into irreducibles.

We have seen that every Noetherian domain is an FD.

We have seen that every Noetherian domain is an FD. In particular, the ring of integers in a number field is an FD.

We have seen that every Noetherian domain is an FD. In particular, the ring of integers in a number field is an FD.

Theorem. Let R be an FD.

We have seen that every Noetherian domain is an FD. In particular, the ring of integers in a number field is an FD.

Theorem. Let R be an FD. Then R is a UFD if and only if every irreducible in R is prime.

We have seen that every Noetherian domain is an FD. In particular, the ring of integers in a number field is an FD.

Theorem. Let R be an FD. Then R is a UFD if and only if every irreducible in R is prime.

Proof. See Theorems 4.14 in our text.

We have seen that every Noetherian domain is an FD. In particular, the ring of integers in a number field is an FD.

Theorem. Let R be an FD. Then R is a UFD if and only if every irreducible in R is prime.

Proof. See Theorems 4.14 in our text.

Example. In $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, we have

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}).$$

We have seen that every Noetherian domain is an FD. In particular, the ring of integers in a number field is an FD.

Theorem. Let R be an FD. Then R is a UFD if and only if every irreducible in R is prime.

Proof. See Theorems 4.14 in our text.

Example. In $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, we have

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}).$$

 $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is an FD but not a UFD.

Euclidean domains. A domain R is a Euclidean domain if

Euclidean domains. A domain R is a *Euclidean domain* if there exists a function

$$d: R \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$$

such that for all $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$,

Euclidean domains. A domain R is a *Euclidean domain* if there exists a function

$$d \colon R \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$$

such that for all $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$,

1. a|b implies $d(a) \leq d(b)$, and

Euclidean domains. A domain R is a *Euclidean domain* if there exists a function

$$d \colon R \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$$

such that for all $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$,

- 1. a|b implies $d(a) \leq d(b)$, and
- 2. there exist $q, r \in R$ such that

$$a = qb + r$$

with r = 0 or d(r) < d(b).

Euclidean domains. A domain R is a *Euclidean domain* if there exists a function

$$d \colon R \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$$

such that for all $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$,

- 1. a|b implies $d(a) \leq d(b)$, and
- 2. there exist $q, r \in R$ such that

$$a = qb + r$$

with r = 0 or d(r) < d(b).

Examples. \mathbb{Z} with d(n) = |n|,

Euclidean domains. A domain R is a *Euclidean domain* if there exists a function

$$d \colon R \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$$

such that for all $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$,

- 1. a|b implies $d(a) \leq d(b)$, and
- 2. there exist $q, r \in R$ such that

$$a = qb + r$$

with r = 0 or d(r) < d(b).

Examples. \mathbb{Z} with d(n) = |n|, and K[x] for a field K with $d(f) = \deg(f)$.

Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal.

Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If I = (0), there is nothing to prove. So suppose $I \neq (0)$.
Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If I = (0), there is nothing to prove. So suppose $I \neq (0)$. Among the nonzero elements of I choose one, a, with minimal value d(a). Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If I = (0), there is nothing to prove. So suppose $I \neq (0)$. Among the nonzero elements of I choose one, a, with minimal value d(a). We now show that I = (a).

Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If I = (0), there is nothing to prove. So suppose $I \neq (0)$. Among the nonzero elements of I choose one, a, with minimal value d(a). We now show that I = (a).

Given $b \in I$ we write

$$b = qa + r$$

with either r = 0 or d(r) < d(a).

Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If I = (0), there is nothing to prove. So suppose $I \neq (0)$. Among the nonzero elements of I choose one, a, with minimal value d(a). We now show that I = (a).

Given $b \in I$ we write

$$b = qa + r$$

with either r = 0 or d(r) < d(a). Note that $r = b - qa \in I$.

Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If I = (0), there is nothing to prove. So suppose $I \neq (0)$. Among the nonzero elements of I choose one, a, with minimal value d(a). We now show that I = (a).

Given $b \in I$ we write

$$b = qa + r$$

with either r = 0 or d(r) < d(a). Note that $r = b - qa \in I$. Therefore, by minimality of d(a), it cannot be the case that d(r) < d(a).

Proposition. Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Let R, d be a Euclidean domain, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If I = (0), there is nothing to prove. So suppose $I \neq (0)$. Among the nonzero elements of I choose one, a, with minimal value d(a). We now show that I = (a).

Given $b \in I$ we write

$$b = qa + r$$

with either r = 0 or d(r) < d(a). Note that $r = b - qa \in I$. Therefore, by minimality of d(a), it cannot be the case that d(r) < d(a). Therefore, r = 0 and b = qa. Hence, $b \in (a)$. \Box

Theorem. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ be a negative integer, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Then $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is Euclidean exactly when

Theorem. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ be a negative integer, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Then $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is Euclidean exactly when

$$d = -1, -2, -3, -7, -11.$$

Theorem. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ be a negative integer, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Then $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is Euclidean exactly when

$$d = -1, -2, -3, -7, -11.$$

In these cases, one may use the norm as the Euclidean function $(d(\alpha) := N(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K)$.

Theorem. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ be a negative integer, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Then $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is Euclidean exactly when

$$d = -1, -2, -3, -7, -11.$$

In these cases, one may use the norm as the Euclidean function $(d(\alpha) := N(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K)$.

Theorem. The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, for positive *m*, is Euclidean with respect to the (absolute value of the) norm function if and only if

m = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 21, 29, 33, 37, 41, 55, 73.

Theorem. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ be a negative integer, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Then $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is Euclidean exactly when

$$d = -1, -2, -3, -7, -11.$$

In these cases, one may use the norm as the Euclidean function $(d(\alpha) := N(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K)$.

Theorem. The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, for positive *m*, is Euclidean with respect to the (absolute value of the) norm function if and only if

m = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 21, 29, 33, 37, 41, 55, 73.

Result from 2000: $\mathbb{Z}[14]$ is Euclidean (but not with respect to the norm function).

Theorem. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ be a negative integer, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Then $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is Euclidean exactly when

$$d = -1, -2, -3, -7, -11.$$

In these cases, one may use the norm as the Euclidean function $(d(\alpha) := N(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_K)$.

Theorem. The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, for positive *m*, is Euclidean with respect to the (absolute value of the) norm function if and only if

m = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 21, 29, 33, 37, 41, 55, 73.

Result from 2000: $\mathbb{Z}[14]$ is Euclidean (but not with respect to the norm function). Full list is an open problem.

Theorem. The only integer solutions to

$$y^2 + 4 = z^3$$

are $(y, z) = (\pm 11, 5)$ and $(y, z) = (\pm 2, 2)$.

Theorem. The only integer solutions to

$$y^2 + 4 = z^3$$

are $(y, z) = (\pm 11, 5)$ and $(y, z) = (\pm 2, 2)$. **Proof.** See Theorem 4.22 in our text.

Theorem. The only integer solutions to

$$y^2 + 4 = z^3$$

are $(y, z) = (\pm 11, 5)$ and $(y, z) = (\pm 2, 2)$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.22 in our text. We will do the case where y is odd.

Theorem. The only integer solutions to

$$y^2 + 4 = z^3$$

are $(y, z) = (\pm 11, 5)$ and $(y, z) = (\pm 2, 2)$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.22 in our text. We will do the case where y is odd.

Factor the equation in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

$$(2+iy)(2-iy)=z^3$$

Theorem. The only integer solutions to

$$y^2 + 4 = z^3$$

are $(y, z) = (\pm 11, 5)$ and $(y, z) = (\pm 2, 2)$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.22 in our text. We will do the case where y is odd.

Factor the equation in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

$$(2+iy)(2-iy)=z^3$$

The proof proceeds in two steps:

Theorem. The only integer solutions to

$$y^2 + 4 = z^3$$

are $(y, z) = (\pm 11, 5)$ and $(y, z) = (\pm 2, 2)$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.22 in our text. We will do the case where y is odd.

Factor the equation in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

$$(2+iy)(2-iy)=z^3$$

The proof proceeds in two steps:

First show that 2 + iy and 2 - yi are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Theorem. The only integer solutions to

$$y^2 + 4 = z^3$$

are $(y, z) = (\pm 11, 5)$ and $(y, z) = (\pm 2, 2)$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.22 in our text. We will do the case where y is odd.

Factor the equation in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

$$(2+iy)(2-iy)=z^3$$

The proof proceeds in two steps:

First show that 2 + iy and 2 - yi are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

If a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then it divides their sum and difference:

If a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then it divides their sum and difference:

$$4 = (a + bi)\gamma$$
 and $2iy = (a + bi)\mu$,

for some $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

If a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then it divides their sum and difference:

$$4 = (a + bi)\gamma$$
 and $2iy = (a + bi)\mu$,

for some $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Take norms:

If a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then it divides their sum and difference:

$$4 = (a + bi)\gamma$$
 and $2iy = (a + bi)\mu$,

for some $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Take norms:

 $16 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\gamma)$

If a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then it divides their sum and difference:

$$4 = (a + bi)\gamma$$
 and $2iy = (a + bi)\mu$,

for some $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Take norms:

$$16 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\gamma)$$
 and $4y^2 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\mu)$

where $N(\gamma), N(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then it divides their sum and difference:

$$4 = (a + bi)\gamma$$
 and $2iy = (a + bi)\mu$,

for some $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Take norms:

$$16 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\gamma)$$
 and $4y^2 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\mu)$

where $N(\gamma), N(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$16 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\gamma) \Rightarrow a^2 + b^2$$
 is a power of 2.

If a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then it divides their sum and difference:

$$4 = (a + bi)\gamma$$
 and $2iy = (a + bi)\mu$,

for some $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Take norms:

$$16 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\gamma)$$
 and $4y^2 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\mu)$

where $N(\gamma), N(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$16 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\gamma) \Rightarrow a^2 + b^2 \text{ is a power of } 2.$$

Then $4y^2 = (a^2 + b^2)N(\mu) \Rightarrow a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}.$

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1$

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$.

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 = 2$

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 = 2 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm (1 \pm i)$.

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 = 2 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm (1 \pm i)$. These four solution differ by a unit factor: $\pm 1, \pm i$.

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 = 2 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm(1 \pm i)$. These four solution differ by a unit factor: $\pm 1, \pm i$. So it suffices to consider the case a + bi = 1 + i.

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 = 2 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm(1 \pm i)$. These four solution differ by a unit factor: $\pm 1, \pm i$. So it suffices to consider the case a + bi = 1 + i. Then

$$2 + iy = (1 + i)(s + ti) = (s - t) + (s + t)i$$

We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 = 2 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm(1 \pm i)$. These four solution differ by a unit factor: $\pm 1, \pm i$. So it suffices to consider the case a + bi = 1 + i. Then

$$2 + iy = (1 + i)(s + ti) = (s - t) + (s + t)i,$$

implies s - t = 2 and s + t = y.
We have seen: if a + bi divides both 2 + iy and 2 - iy, then $a^2 + b^2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 1, \pm i$. So in this case a + bi is a unit, hence not prime.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 = 2 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm(1 \pm i)$. These four solution differ by a unit factor: $\pm 1, \pm i$. So it suffices to consider the case a + bi = 1 + i. Then

$$2 + iy = (1 + i)(s + ti) = (s - t) + (s + t)i,$$

implies s - t = 2 and s + t = y. That's not possible since y is odd.

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4$

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$.

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$. Again, these solutions all differ by a factor of a unit.

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$. Again, these solutions all differ by a factor of a unit. Consider the case a + bi = 2.

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$. Again, these solutions all differ by a factor of a unit. Consider the case a + bi = 2. However, 2 is not prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$. Again, these solutions all differ by a factor of a unit. Consider the case a + bi = 2. However, 2 is not prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

2 = (1 + i)(1 - i).

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$. Again, these solutions all differ by a factor of a unit. Consider the case a + bi = 2. However, 2 is not prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

$$2 = (1 + i)(1 - i).$$

So 2 divides the product of 1 + i and 1 - i.

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$. Again, these solutions all differ by a factor of a unit. Consider the case a + bi = 2. However, 2 is not prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

$$2 = (1 + i)(1 - i).$$

So 2 divides the product of 1 + i and 1 - i. But 2 does not divide $1 \pm i$ since N(2) = 4 does not divide $N(1 \pm i) = 2$.

Case 3. $a^2 + b^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a + bi = \pm 2, \pm 2i$. Again, these solutions all differ by a factor of a unit. Consider the case a + bi = 2. However, 2 is not prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:

$$2 = (1 + i)(1 - i).$$

So 2 divides the product of 1 + i and 1 - i. But 2 does not divide $1 \pm i$ since N(2) = 4 does not divide $N(1 \pm i) = 2$.

Thus, we have shown that 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

We have $(2 + yi)(2 - iy) = z^3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime.

We have $(2 + yi)(2 - iy) = z^3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime.

Consider the factorization of z in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

We have $(2 + yi)(2 - iy) = z^3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime.

Consider the factorization of z in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We must be able to group the factors so that $z = \alpha\beta$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ where α is relatively prime to 2 - iy and β is relatively prime to 2 + iy.

We have $(2 + yi)(2 - iy) = z^3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime.

Consider the factorization of z in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We must be able to group the factors so that $z = \alpha\beta$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ where α is relatively prime to 2 - iy and β is relatively prime to 2 + iy. Therefore,

$$2 + iy = u\alpha^3$$
 and $2 - iy = v\beta^3$

for some units u and v.

We have $(2 + yi)(2 - iy) = z^3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime.

Consider the factorization of z in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We must be able to group the factors so that $z = \alpha\beta$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ where α is relatively prime to 2 - iy and β is relatively prime to 2 + iy. Therefore,

$$2 + iy = u\alpha^3$$
 and $2 - iy = v\beta^3$

for some units u and v.

Every unit in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a cube. (Check.)

We have $(2 + yi)(2 - iy) = z^3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime.

Consider the factorization of z in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We must be able to group the factors so that $z = \alpha\beta$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ where α is relatively prime to 2 - iy and β is relatively prime to 2 + iy. Therefore,

$$2 + iy = u\alpha^3$$
 and $2 - iy = v\beta^3$

for some units u and v.

Every unit in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a cube. (Check.) Therefore, we have

$$2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have $(2 + yi)(2 - iy) = z^3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where 2 + iy and 2 - iy are relatively prime.

Consider the factorization of z in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We must be able to group the factors so that $z = \alpha\beta$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ where α is relatively prime to 2 - iy and β is relatively prime to 2 + iy. Therefore,

$$2 + iy = u\alpha^3$$
 and $2 - iy = v\beta^3$

for some units u and v.

Every unit in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a cube. (Check.) Therefore, we have

$$2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Take conjugates to get

$$2-iy=(a-bi)^3.$$

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3$$

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Hence, $2 = a(a^2 - 3b^2)$.

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Hence, $2 = a(a^2 - 3b^2)$.

Possible solutions:

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Hence, $2 = a(a^2 - 3b^2)$.

Possible solutions: $a = 1, b = \pm 1$, and $a = 2, b = \pm 1$.

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Hence, $2 = a(a^2 - 3b^2)$.

Possible solutions: $a = 1, b = \pm 1$, and $a = 2, b = \pm 1$.

Next, note that

$$z^{3} = (2+iy)(2-iy) = (a+bi)^{3}(a-bi)^{3} = ((a+bi)(a-bi))^{3} = (a^{2}+b^{2})^{3}.$$

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Hence, $2 = a(a^2 - 3b^2)$.

Possible solutions: $a = 1, b = \pm 1$, and $a = 2, b = \pm 1$.

Next, note that

$$z^{3} = (2+iy)(2-iy) = (a+bi)^{3}(a-bi)^{3} = ((a+bi)(a-bi))^{3} = (a^{2}+b^{2})^{3}.$$

So $z = a^{2} + b^{2}.$

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Hence, $2 = a(a^2 - 3b^2)$.

Possible solutions: $a = 1, b = \pm 1$, and $a = 2, b = \pm 1$.

Next, note that

$$z^{3} = (2+iy)(2-iy) = (a+bi)^{3}(a-bi)^{3} = ((a+bi)(a-bi))^{3} = (a^{2}+b^{2})^{3}.$$

So $z = a^2 + b^2$. Plugging in possible values for *a* and *b* give z = 2, 5.

Add the equations $2 + iy = (a + bi)^3$ and $2 - iy = (a - bi)^3$:

$$4 = (a + bi)^3 + (a - bi)^3 = 2a(a^2 - 3b^2).$$

Hence, $2 = a(a^2 - 3b^2)$.

Possible solutions: $a = 1, b = \pm 1$, and $a = 2, b = \pm 1$.

Next, note that

$$z^{3} = (2+iy)(2-iy) = (a+bi)^{3}(a-bi)^{3} = ((a+bi)(a-bi))^{3} = (a^{2}+b^{2})^{3}.$$

So $z = a^2 + b^2$. Plugging in possible values for *a* and *b* give z = 2, 5.

To finish the case where y is odd, solve $y^2 + 4 = z^3$ in these cases.