# Math 361

February 22, 2023

- 1. What does Gauss's lemma say about factorization of polynomials with integer coefficients?
- 2. Why is it the case that if  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}_{K}$ , then  $N(\alpha), T(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? (Appeal to known properties of the field polynomial  $f_{\alpha}$ .)





▶ Review some of last lecture.

# Today

- Review some of last lecture.
- ▶ State and prove the Hilbert basis theorem.

# Today

- Review some of last lecture.
- ▶ State and prove the Hilbert basis theorem.
- Finish the last lecture.

An R-module M is *Noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

An R-module M is *Noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

**Proposition.** The following are equivalent for an *R*-module *M*:

An R-module M is *Noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

**Proposition.** The following are equivalent for an *R*-module *M*:

1. *M* is Noetherian.

An R-module M is *Noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

**Proposition.** The following are equivalent for an *R*-module *M*:

- 1. *M* is Noetherian.
- 2. *M* satisfies the ascending chain condition on submodules:

An R-module M is *Noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

**Proposition.** The following are equivalent for an *R*-module *M*:

- 1. *M* is Noetherian.
- 2. *M* satisfies the ascending chain condition on submodules: every chain of submodules of *M*,

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots,$$

eventually stabilizes.

An R-module M is *Noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

**Proposition.** The following are equivalent for an *R*-module *M*:

- 1. *M* is Noetherian.
- 2. *M* satisfies the ascending chain condition on submodules: every chain of submodules of *M*,

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots,$$

eventually stabilizes. In other words, there exists k such that  $N_k = N_{k+1} = \cdots$ .

An R-module M is *Noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

**Proposition.** The following are equivalent for an *R*-module *M*:

- 1. *M* is Noetherian.
- 2. *M* satisfies the ascending chain condition on submodules: every chain of submodules of *M*,

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots,$$

eventually *stabilizes*. In other words, there exists k such that  $N_k = N_{k+1} = \cdots$ .

3. Every nonempty collection of submodules of M has a maximal element under inclusion.

#### $\mathsf{Review}+$

Proposition. Let

$$0 \to M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of *R*-modules.

Proposition. Let

$$0 \to M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of R-modules. Then M is Noetherian if and only if M' and M'' are Noetherian.

Proposition. Let

$$0 \to M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of R-modules. Then M is Noetherian if and only if M' and M'' are Noetherian.

**Corollary.** The image of a Noetherian module (under an *R*-linear mapping) is Noetherian.

Proposition. Let

$$0 \to M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of R-modules. Then M is Noetherian if and only if M' and M'' are Noetherian.

**Corollary.** The image of a Noetherian module (under an *R*-linear mapping) is Noetherian.

**Corollary.** If *R* is a Noetherian ring, then so is  $R^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proposition. Let

$$0 \to M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of R-modules. Then M is Noetherian if and only if M' and M'' are Noetherian.

**Corollary.** The image of a Noetherian module (under an *R*-linear mapping) is Noetherian.

**Corollary.** If *R* is a Noetherian ring, then so is  $R^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary.** If R is Noetherian and M is an R-module, then M is Noetherian if and only if M is finitely generated.

Proposition. Let

$$0 \to M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of R-modules. Then M is Noetherian if and only if M' and M'' are Noetherian.

**Corollary.** The image of a Noetherian module (under an *R*-linear mapping) is Noetherian.

**Corollary.** If *R* is a Noetherian ring, then so is  $R^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary.** If R is Noetherian and M is an R-module, then M is Noetherian if and only if M is finitely generated. In other words, a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian.

Consider the parametrized curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$c(t)=(t,t^2,t^3).$$

Consider the parametrized curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$c(t)=(t,t^2,t^3).$$

Which polynomials  $f(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$  vanish on the image of *c*?

Consider the parametrized curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$c(t)=(t,t^2,t^3).$$

Which polynomials  $f(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$  vanish on the image of c?

$$x=t, \quad y=t^2, \quad z=t^3.$$

Consider the parametrized curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$c(t)=(t,t^2,t^3).$$

Which polynomials  $f(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$  vanish on the image of c?

$$x = t, \quad y = t^2, \quad z = t^3.$$

We need  $f(t, t^2, t^3) = 0$  for all t.

Consider the parametrized curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$c(t)=(t,t^2,t^3).$$

Which polynomials  $f(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$  vanish on the image of c?

$$x = t, \quad y = t^2, \quad z = t^3.$$

We need  $f(t, t^2, t^3) = 0$  for all t.

Let t be an indeterminate, and define the ideal

$$I = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z] : f(t, t^2, t^3) = 0 \}.$$

Consider the parametrized curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$c(t)=(t,t^2,t^3).$$

Which polynomials  $f(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$  vanish on the image of c?

$$x = t$$
,  $y = t^2$ ,  $z = t^3$ .

We need  $f(t, t^2, t^3) = 0$  for all t.

Let t be an indeterminate, and define the ideal

$$I = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z] : f(t, t^2, t^3) = 0 \}.$$

Is *I* finitely generated?

**Theorem.** Let *R* and *S* be rings with  $R \subseteq S$ . Suppose that *S* is finitely generated as a ring over *R* and *R* is Noetherian. Then *S* is a Noetherian ring.

**Theorem.** Let *R* and *S* be rings with  $R \subseteq S$ . Suppose that *S* is finitely generated as a ring over *R* and *R* is Noetherian. Then *S* is a Noetherian ring.

#### Remarks.

For S to be *finitely generated as a ring* over R, we mean that there exist s<sub>1</sub>,..., s<sub>n</sub> ∈ S such that  $S = \{f(s_1,...,s_n) : f ∈ R[x_1,...,x_n]\}.$ 

**Theorem.** Let *R* and *S* be rings with  $R \subseteq S$ . Suppose that *S* is finitely generated as a ring over *R* and *R* is Noetherian. Then *S* is a Noetherian ring.

#### Remarks.

- For S to be *finitely generated as a ring* over R, we mean that there exist s<sub>1</sub>,..., s<sub>n</sub> ∈ S such that S = {f(s<sub>1</sub>,...,s<sub>n</sub>) : f ∈ R[x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>]}.
- Recall that a ring R is Noetherian, if and only if all of its ideals are finitely generated.

**Theorem.** Let *R* and *S* be rings with  $R \subseteq S$ . Suppose that *S* is finitely generated as a ring over *R* and *R* is Noetherian. Then *S* is a Noetherian ring.

#### Remarks.

- For S to be *finitely generated as a ring* over R, we mean that there exist s<sub>1</sub>,..., s<sub>n</sub> ∈ S such that S = {f(s<sub>1</sub>,..., s<sub>n</sub>) : f ∈ R[x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>]}.
- Recall that a ring R is Noetherian, if and only if all of its ideals are finitely generated.
- ▶ Letting R = K be a field and S = K[x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>], the Hilbert basis theorem says

**Theorem.** Let *R* and *S* be rings with  $R \subseteq S$ . Suppose that *S* is finitely generated as a ring over *R* and *R* is Noetherian. Then *S* is a Noetherian ring.

#### Remarks.

- For S to be *finitely generated as a ring* over R, we mean that there exist s<sub>1</sub>,..., s<sub>n</sub> ∈ S such that S = {f(s<sub>1</sub>,..., s<sub>n</sub>) : f ∈ R[x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>]}.
- Recall that a ring R is Noetherian, if and only if all of its ideals are finitely generated.
- ▶ Letting R = K be a field and  $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , the Hilbert basis theorem says that every ideal in S finitely generated.

# Proof of Hilbert basis theorem

Suppose that  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$  generate S as a ring over R.

Suppose that  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$  generate S as a ring over R. We need to show that S is Noetherian. Suppose that  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$  generate S as a ring over R.

We need to show that S is Noetherian. Then there exists an R-module surjection

$$R[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \to S$$
  
$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mapsto f(s_1,\ldots,s_n).$$

Suppose that  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$  generate S as a ring over R.

We need to show that S is Noetherian. Then there exists an R-module surjection

$$R[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \to S$$
  
  $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mapsto f(s_1,\ldots,s_n).$ 

Since the image of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian, it suffices to prove that the polynomial ring  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is Noetherian.

# Proof of the Hilbert basis theorem

To show: R Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  Noetherian.

# Proof of the Hilbert basis theorem

To show: R Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  Noetherian. We do this by induction on n.

# Proof of the Hilbert basis theorem

To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  Noetherian.

We do this by induction on *n*. The base case is n = 0, which is just *R*, itself, which is Noetherian.
To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  Noetherian.

We do this by induction on *n*. The base case is n = 0, which is just *R*, itself, which is Noetherian.

Note that  $R[x_1, ..., x_n] = R[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}][x_n].$ 

To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  Noetherian.

We do this by induction on *n*. The base case is n = 0, which is just *R*, itself, which is Noetherian.

Note that  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = R[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ . For instance,

 $\mathbb{Z}[x, y] \ni xy^3 + 5xy^2 + 7x^5y^3 + 9x^6y^2 + 15 =$ 

To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  Noetherian.

We do this by induction on *n*. The base case is n = 0, which is just *R*, itself, which is Noetherian.

Note that  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = R[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ . For instance,

$$\mathbb{Z}[x, y] \ni xy^3 + 5xy^2 + 7x^5y^3 + 9x^6y^2 + 15 = (x + 7x^5)y^3 + (5x + 9x^6)y^2 + 15 \in \mathbb{Z}[x][y].$$

To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  Noetherian.

We do this by induction on *n*. The base case is n = 0, which is just *R*, itself, which is Noetherian.

Note that  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = R[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ . For instance,

$$\mathbb{Z}[x,y] \ni xy^3 + 5xy^2 + 7x^5y^3 + 9x^6y^2 + 15 = (x+7x^5)y^3 + (5x+9x^6)y^2 + 15 \in \mathbb{Z}[x][y].$$

By induction, it suffices to show that R Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x]$ Noetherian.

To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x]$  Noetherian.

To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x]$  Noetherian.

Let  $I \subseteq R[x]$  be an ideal.

To show: R Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x]$  Noetherian.

Let  $I \subseteq R[x]$  be an ideal.

We must show that

$$I=(f_1,\ldots,f_k)$$

for some  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in I$ .

To show: R Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x]$  Noetherian.

Let  $I \subseteq R[x]$  be an ideal.

We must show that

$$I=(f_1,\ldots,f_k)$$

for some  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in I$ .

What is wrong with the following argument?

To show: R Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x]$  Noetherian.

Let  $I \subseteq R[x]$  be an ideal.

We must show that

$$I=(f_1,\ldots,f_k)$$

for some  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in I$ .

What is wrong with the following argument? In fact, I = (f) for some f since R[x] is a PID (from the division algorithm).

To show: *R* Noetherian  $\Rightarrow R[x]$  Noetherian.

Let  $I \subseteq R[x]$  be an ideal.

We must show that

$$I=(f_1,\ldots,f_k)$$

for some  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in I$ .

What is wrong with the following argument? In fact, I = (f) for some f since R[x] is a PID (from the division algorithm).

Answer: The division algorithm assumes R is a field.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R. **Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ ,

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R. **Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R. **Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ .

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ .

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ . Let  $d = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ . Then  $x^d f + g \in I$ ,

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ . Let  $d = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ . Then  $x^d f + g \in I$ , so its leading coefficient, a + b is in A.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ . Let  $d = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ . Then  $x^d f + g \in I$ , so its leading coefficient, a + b is in A. So A is closed under addition.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ . Let  $d = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ . Then  $x^d f + g \in I$ , so its leading coefficient, a + b is in A. So A is closed under addition.

Finally, given a, as above, and  $r \in R$ ,

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ . Let  $d = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ . Then  $x^d f + g \in I$ , so its leading coefficient, a + b is in A. So A is closed under addition.

Finally, given *a*, as above, and  $r \in R$ , we have  $rf \in I$ 

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ . Let  $d = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ . Then  $x^d f + g \in I$ , so its leading coefficient, a + b is in A. So A is closed under addition.

Finally, given *a*, as above, and  $r \in R$ , we have  $rf \in I$  so its leading term, *ra*, is in *A*.

If  $f = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ , then call  $a_d$  the *leading coefficient* of f.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the set of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Is *A* and ideal of *R*?

**Claim.** A is an ideal of R.

**Proof.** First,  $0 \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Next suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Say they are leading coefficients of f, g, respectively. Without loss of generality  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$ . Let  $d = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ . Then  $x^d f + g \in I$ , so its leading coefficient, a + b is in A. So A is closed under addition.

Finally, given *a*, as above, and  $r \in R$ , we have  $rf \in I$  so its leading term, *ra*, is in *A*. Hence, *A* is closed under "outside-in" multiplication.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*. Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d. Define

$$I_{< d} = \{f \in I : \deg(f) < d\} \subseteq I.$$

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d. Define

$$I_{< d} = \{f \in I : \deg(f) < d\} \subseteq I.$$

Then  $I_{\leq d}$  is an *R*-submodule of  $M := \operatorname{Span}_{R}\{1, x, x^{2}, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ .

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d. Define

$$I_{< d} = \{f \in I : \deg(f) < d\} \subseteq I.$$

Then  $I_{<d}$  is an *R*-submodule of  $M := \text{Span}_R\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ . Since *R* is Noetherian, and *M* is a finitely generated *R*-module,

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d. Define

$$I_{< d} = \{f \in I : \deg(f) < d\} \subseteq I.$$

Then  $I_{\leq d}$  is an *R*-submodule of  $M := \operatorname{Span}_R\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ .

Since R is Noetherian, and M is a finitely generated R-module, M is Noetherian.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d. Define

$$I_{< d} = \{f \in I : \deg(f) < d\} \subseteq I.$$

Then  $I_{\leq d}$  is an *R*-submodule of  $M := \operatorname{Span}_R\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ .

Since *R* is Noetherian, and *M* is a finitely generated *R*-module, *M* is Noetherian. Hence,  $I_{< d}$  is a finitely generated *R*-module.

Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d. Define

$$I_{< d} = \{f \in I : \deg(f) < d\} \subseteq I.$$

Then  $I_{\leq d}$  is an *R*-submodule of  $M := \operatorname{Span}_R\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ . Since *R* is Noetherian, and *M* is a finitely generated *R*-module, *M* 

is Noetherian. Hence,  $I_{< d}$  is a finitely generated *R*-module. Say

$$I_{< d} = \operatorname{Span}_R\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\}.$$
Let  $A \subseteq R$  be the ideal of leading coefficients of elements of *I*.

Since *R* is Noetherian,  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$  for some *s*. Pick  $g_i \in R[x]$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$  for each *i*.

Scaling by appropriate powers of x, we may assume all  $g_i$  have the same degree d. Define

$$I_{< d} = \{f \in I : \deg(f) < d\} \subseteq I.$$

Then  $I_{\leq d}$  is an *R*-submodule of  $M := \text{Span}_R\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ . Since *R* is Noetherian, and *M* is a finitely generated *R*-module, *M* 

is Noetherian. Hence,  $I_{< d}$  is a finitely generated *R*-module. Say

$$I_{< d} = \operatorname{Span}_R\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\}.$$

Claim:  $I = (g_1, ..., g_s, h_1, ..., h_t).$ 

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of I.

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .

$$\begin{split} &A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R \text{, ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of } I.\\ &g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I \text{ polys of degree } d \text{ with leading coeffs. } a_1, \ldots, a_s.\\ &I_{< d} = \operatorname{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{ all elements of } I \text{ of degree } < d. \end{split}$$

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{<d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I$  of degree < d. **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ .

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{<d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I$  of degree < d. **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ . **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ .

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{<d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I$  of degree < d. **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ . **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?)

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{<d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I$  of degree < d. **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ . **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg $(f) = e \ge d$ ,

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{<d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I$  of degree < d. **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ . **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg $(f) = e \ge d$ , and let the leading coefficient of fbe a

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{<d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I$  of degree < d. **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ . **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg $(f) = e \ge d$ , and let the leading coefficient of fbe  $a \in A$ .

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree *d* with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{<d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I$  of degree < d. **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ . **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg $(f) = e \ge d$ , and let the leading coefficient of fbe  $a \in A$ . We have  $a = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i a_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ .

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1,\ldots,g_s\in I$  polys of degree d with leading coeffs.  $a_1,\ldots,a_s.$  $I_{< d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \dots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I \text{ of degree} < d.$ **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s).$ **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg(f) =  $e \ge d$ , and let the leading coefficient of f be  $a \in A$ . We have  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i a_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ . Then  $f - \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i x^{e-d} g_i \in I$ ,

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree d with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{< d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \dots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I \text{ of degree} < d.$ **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s).$ **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg(f) =  $e \ge d$ , and let the leading coefficient of f be  $a \in A$ . We have  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i a_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ . Then  $f - \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i x^{e-d} g_i \in I$ , and has degree < e.

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree d with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{< d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \dots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I \text{ of degree} < d.$ **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s).$ **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg(f) =  $e \ge d$ , and let the leading coefficient of f be  $a \in A$ . We have  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i a_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ . Then  $f - \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i x^{e-d} g_i \in I$ , and has degree < e. We are done by induction on the degree of f. (Why?)

 $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subseteq R$ , ideal of leading coeffs. of elements of *I*.  $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I$  polys of degree d with leading coeffs.  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ .  $I_{< d} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \dots, h_t\} = \text{all elements of } I \text{ of degree} < d.$ **Claim:**  $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_s, \ldots, h_1, \ldots, h_s).$ **Proof.** Let  $f \in I$ . If deg(f) < d, no problem. (Why?) Suppose deg(f) =  $e \ge d$ , and let the leading coefficient of f be  $a \in A$ . We have  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i a_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ . Then  $f - \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i x^{e-d} g_i \in I$ , and has degree < e. We are done by induction on the degree of f. (Why?)

# Previous lecture

If time, finish the last lecture.