



de Rham cohomology

## Wednesday quiz

Let  $M$  be an oriented  $n$ -manifold, and let  $\omega \in \Omega^n M$ . What does it mean to say  $\omega$  is *integrable*, and if it is integrable, what is the definition of  $\int_M \omega$ ?

# Functors

I.

Manifolds  $\rightarrow$  graded, differential, anticommutative,  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras

$$M \mapsto \Omega^\bullet M := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k M$$

II.

Manifolds  $\rightarrow$  chain complexes

$$M \mapsto 0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^0 M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2 M \rightarrow \dots$$

(De Rham complex)

## Contravariant

$f: M \rightarrow N$  induces a mapping of cochain complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^0 N & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1 N & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^2 N \xrightarrow{d} \dots \\ f^* \downarrow & & f^* \downarrow & & f^* \downarrow & & f^* \downarrow \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^0 M & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1 M & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^2 M \xrightarrow{d} \dots \end{array}$$

Shorthand:

$$f^*: \Omega^\bullet N \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet M.$$

The diagram commutes:  $f^* d\omega = df^*\omega$ .

## $k$ -th de Rham cohomology group

$$\dots \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}M \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} \Omega^k M \xrightarrow{d_k} \Omega^{k+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

complex:  $d^2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $d_k \circ d_{k-1} = 0$  for all  $k$ ;  $\text{im } d_{k-1} \subseteq \ker d_k$ .

closed forms = cocycles

$$H^k M := \frac{\ker \left( \Omega^k M \xrightarrow{d_k} \Omega^{k+1} M \right)}{\text{im} \left( \Omega^{k-1} M \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} \Omega^k M \right)}$$

exact forms = coboundaries

$$:= \ker d_k / \text{im } d_{k-1}$$

# Cohomology

Suppose  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  are  $k$ -cocycles. In other words  $d_k\omega = d_k\eta = 0$ . Denote their classes in  $H^k M$  by  $[\omega]$  and  $[\eta]$ .

Cocycles  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  are *cohomologous* if  $[\omega] = [\eta]$ ; in other words,

$$\omega = \eta + d\nu$$

for some  $\nu \in \Omega^{k-1} M$ .

## Exactness

A sequence of linear mappings  $U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} W$  of vector spaces is *exact* at  $V$  if  $\text{im}(f) = \ker(g)$ . Equivalently,  $\ker(g)/\text{im}(f) = 0$ .

In general, if  $\text{im}(g) \subseteq \ker(f)$ , then  $\ker(g)/\text{im}(f)$  measures how close the sequence is to being exact.

# Cohomology of $M = \mathbb{R}$

$$H^0 \mathbb{R}$$

$$0 \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d_0} \Omega^1 \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d_1} 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\Omega^0 \mathbb{R} = \{\text{functions } f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}, \quad d_0 f = f'(x) dx.$$

$$\ker d_0 = \text{constant functions } \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

$$H^0 \mathbb{R} = \ker d_0 / \operatorname{im} d_{-1} = \text{constant functions} / 0 = \text{constant functions}.$$

$$H^0 \mathbb{R} = \ker d_0 / \operatorname{im} d_{-1} = \text{constant functions} \approx \mathbb{R}$$

$$f \mapsto f(0)$$

$$\text{Thus, } H^0 \mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R}.$$



# Cohomology of $M = \mathbb{R}$

$$H^1 \mathbb{R}$$

$$0 \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d_0} \Omega^1 \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d_1} 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\Omega^1 \mathbb{R} = \{f(x) dx\}, \quad d_1(f(x) dx) = 0.$$

$$\ker d_1 = \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{im} d_0 = \{g'(x) dx : g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$H^1 \mathbb{R} = \ker d_1 / \operatorname{im} d_0 = \{f(x) dx\} / \{g'(x) dx\} = 0.$$

Reason: FTC, the fundamental theorem of calculus.

Given a function  $f$ , define  $g(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ . Then  $g' = f$ .

Thus,  $H^1 \mathbb{R} = 0$ . In sum,  $H^0 \mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R}$ , and all other cohomology for  $\mathbb{R}$  is 0. What about  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ?  $S^n$ ?, etc.

## Algebraic structure

$H^\bullet M := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^k M$  has a ring structure!

$$\begin{aligned} H^k M \times H^\ell M &\rightarrow H^{k+\ell} M \\ ([\omega], [\eta]) &\mapsto [\omega \wedge \eta]. \end{aligned}$$

Amazing fact: this mapping is well-defined.

**Assignment:** read the proof in our text.

## New contravariant functor

$f: M \rightarrow N$  induces

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\#,k}: H^k N &\rightarrow H^k M \\ [\omega] &\mapsto [f^* \omega]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a mapping  $f^{\#}: H^{\bullet} N \rightarrow H^{\bullet} M$ .

Functor:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Manifolds} &\rightarrow \text{graded anticommutative } \mathbb{R}\text{-algebras} \\ M &\mapsto H^{\bullet} M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^k M. \end{aligned}$$

## First observations

1.  $H^k M = 0$  if  $k > \dim M$ .
2.  $H^0 M \approx \mathbb{R}^{\# \text{ components of } M}$
3. If  $M$  is a *closed* (i.e., compact with  $\partial M = \emptyset$ ) and orientable  $n$ -manifold, then  $H^n M \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Pick  $\omega \in \Omega^n M$  such that  $\int_M \omega \neq 0$ . (Why is this possible?) Claim:  $\omega \neq d\eta$ . Otherwise,

$$0 \neq \int_M \omega = \int_M d\eta \underbrace{=}_{\text{Stokes'}} \int_{\partial M} \eta = \int_{\emptyset} \eta = 0.$$

(The last step follows since the measure of the empty set is 0.) □