Today, we'll talk about two things: variations on the definition of the limit, and the intermediate value theorem (IVT).

I. VARIATIONS ON THE DEFINITION OF THE LIMIT.

Right- and left-hand limits. We'll start with an example. Consider the function



Graph of f(x).

As you might guess from the picture, $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ does not exists (for instance, you can't beat $\varepsilon = 2$ with any δ). However, only think of the right-hand side of this graph, the limit looks like it should be 1, and if you only think of the left-hand side, the limit looks like it should be -1. The following definitions make this intuition precise.

Definition. Right-hand limit: $\lim_{x\to c^+} f(x) = L$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if x satisfies $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ and c < x, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Left-hand limit $\lim_{x\to c^-} f(x) = L$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if x satisfies $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ and x < c, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Remark. Not that these definitions look very similar to the ordinary definition of the limit. The only difference is two conditions are placed on x: first, $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, just as before, and second x is restricted to lie to either the right of c (i.e., c < x) or to the left of c (i.e., x < c). There is a nice way we can combine the two conditions on x into one expression. For the case of right-hand limits, note that the condition c < x is equivalent to 0 < x - c, which is equivalent to |x - c| = x - c. So

$$c < x$$
 and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$

is equivalent to

$$0 < x - c < \delta.$$

Similarly, x < c is equivalent to 0 < c-x, which is equivalent to |x-c| = c-x. So

$$c < x$$
 and $0 < |x - c| < \delta$

is equivalent to

$$0 < x - c < \delta.$$

Here is a picture of the situation on the number line:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 < c - x & & 0 < x - c \\ c & & \\ \end{array}$$

In the ordinary definition of the limit, the x-values can be to the right or to the left of c, but for one-sided limits, they are restricted to one side of c.

The following theorem is useful in proving a function does not have a limit.

Theorem. Let f be a function defined in an interval about a point c. Then $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ exists if and only if $\lim_{x\to c^+} f(x)$ and $\lim_{x\to c^-} f(x)$ exist and are equal. In that case,

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = \lim_{x \to c^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \to c^-} f(x).$$

Example. For the function f given at the beginning of this lecture, we have

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x) = 1$$
 and $\lim_{x \to 0^-} f(x) = -1.$

Since the right- and left-hand limits are not equal, our theorem says that $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ does not exist.

Limits at ∞ . Below, we graph the function g(x) = 1/x:



Graph of g(x) = 1/x.

As x gets very large, in the positive or negative direction, the function g(x) = 1/x gets very close to 0. So it is tempting to take the limit as x goes to ∞ or to $-\infty$. The trouble with trying to use our definition of the limit to make sense of the statement $\lim_{x\to\infty} = 0$ is that the condition $0 < |x-c| < \delta$ would become $0 < |x - \infty| < \delta$, which does not make sense. What would $x - \infty$ mean? So to make our intuition precise requires a different definition, which we give below:

Definition. We say $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = L$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that if x > N, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$. We say $\lim_{x\to-\infty} f(x) = L$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that if x < N, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Example. With these definitions, we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{1}{x} = 0.$$

Infinite limits. Now consider the function $h(x) = 1/x^2$, whose graph appears below:



Graph of g(x) = 1/x.

What happens as $x \to 0$? This situation is somewhat similar to the previous one. Here is the definition we need:

Definition. We say $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = \infty$ if for all N, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - c| < \infty$ implies f(x) > N. We say $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = -\infty$ if for all N, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - c| < \infty$ implies f(x) < N.

Remark. The condition f(x) > N should be thought of as saying "f(x) is really large. Similarly, f(x) < N should be thought of a saying that "f(x) is really negative.

Example. With these definitions, we have

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{x^2} = 0$$

Intermediate Value Theorem (IVT). If f is a continuous function on a closed interval [a, b], and k is a number between f(a) and f(b), then there exists $c \in [a, b]$ such that f(c) = k.

Proof. Math 112.



Graph of f(x).

Corollary. Suppose f is continuous on [a, b]. If f(a) and f(b) have opposite signs, then there exists $c \in [a, b]$ such that f(c) = k.

Proof. If f(a) and f(b) have opposite signs, then k = 0 is between f(a)and f(b). Apply the IVT.

Example. Consider the polynomial $f(x) = x^5 + x + 1$. Then f is continuous since it's a polynomial. Since f(-1) = -1 and f(0) = 1, by the IVT, we know there is a $c \in [-1, 0]$ such that f(c) = 0. To find a more precise locate for a point where f is 0, compute f(-0.5). We find f(-0.5) = 0.46875, which is positive. We know that f(-1) is negative. Thus, by the IVT, there is a $c \in [-1, -0.5]$ such that f(c) = 0. Now evaluate f at the midpoint of [-1, -0.5] and check out its sign. This helps narrow the locate of a zero of f even further. You can repeat this process, dividing an interval in half at each step to quickly approximate a zero of the function f.