POL 422: Nuclear Politics

Draft Syllabus updated 2012-01-27. Total pages 2614
Prof. Alex Montgomery
ahm@reed.edu
(503) 517-7395

Class	Office
W 6:10-9:00	TuTh 2:30-4:00 or by appointment
Vollum 234	Vollum 241
https://moodle.reed.edu/course/view.php?id=1191	http://www.reed.edu/~ahm/

Course Description and Goals

Full course for one semester. This course investigates the origins and effects of the spread of nuclear weapons and power at international and domestic levels. It begins with a discussion of the morality of nuclear technology, the motives different states have for obtaining them, and the problems with intelligence on states' progress. It continues with asking what nuclear strategies have been and should be used, then moves to sociological critiques of conventional understandings of nuclear weapons as well as debates over the safety of such weapons. The latter half of the class concentrates on case studies of a variety of programs, including proliferation networks and terrorism. Conference.

Requirements

Class Participation

Students are required to actively participate in the class; they will have the opportunity to do so both during and outside of classroom hours. Beginning the third week of class, each student will be assigned to three days during the semester in which they will author a short reaction memo (300-400 words) expressing an opinion about that day's readings. These are NOT summaries; rather, they should attempt to agree or disagree with or compare and contrast the readings. Both should be posted in the forums on the course website by 8 PM the day before the readings are to be discussed. Students are required to read the memos and be prepared to respond to them the next day in class. Students are encouraged to respond to the postings online as part of their participation. If you miss a day of class for any reason whatsoever, you may make it up by posting a summary of each of the readings to Moodle. In order to make up missed days from the first half of the semester, these must be posted before the first day of classes after the break; from the second half, by the end of reading period.

Readings

Readings for the course are drawn from four books and E-Readings, which can be downloaded directly from the links on the syllabus. Students may also download every E-Reading in the syllabus from the Moodle link using Endnote, which is provided by CIS through a site-license at Reed. Students are expected to bring a copy of the readings to class every day for reference. Readings marked "Further" on the syllabus are other relevant articles or books; they are not required for class. Students who have a particular interest in the topics in question are encouraged to read these pieces and to incorporate them into their assignments. Four books are available at the bookstore; two of them are available as e-books at the library, and all except Hymans are available from Amazon and other booksellers. Hymans will be in the bookstore in mid- to late-February.

Required Books

- Charles D Ferguson (2011) *Nuclear Energy*. New York, New York: Oxford University Press http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/721999095, ISBN 9780199759460[Library eBook][Amazon]
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions: Scientists, Politicians and Proliferation*. Cambridge University Press, ISBN 0521132258
- John E Mueller (2010) *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/313665231, ISBN 019538136X[Library eBook][Amazon]
- Scott D. Sagan and Kenneth N. Waltz (2003) *The Spread of Nuclear Weapons: A Debate Renewed*. 2nd edition. New York, NY: W.W. Norton http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/49692365, ISBN 0393977471[Library][Amazon]

Course Website

Discussion and collaboration is available to you through the website; supplemental and core readings will be made available there; and assignments will be turned in electronically using the site.

Assignments

There is one assignment for this course, a lengthy (2500-5000 word) essay analyzing anything related to nuclear politics; it is due on Friday, April 27 at 11:59 PM.

Citation and Plagiarism

A major goal of this course is to encourage good reading, research, and citation habits. Good research requires good documentation of sources and the ability to put one's own analysis and thoughts into a paper rather than relying on others. When in doubt as to whether you should cite something, always do it. Citations are required for ideas as well as facts, and are imperative even if you are not directly quoting authors. Make sure that you provide as specific a citation as possible; if an author discusses an idea in one section or one page, cite the specific section or page instead of the full article or book. I usually recommend that students use in-text author-date citation with full Chicago Manual of Style citations; see their Citation Quick Guide: http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide.html>.

However, style is less important than the cites being present. If you use an idea or a fact without attribution, you are plagiarizing someone else's work. Plagiarism and cheating are violations of academic integrity and thus violations of Reed's Honor Principle. As specified by Reed's academic conduct policy, such violations will result in disciplinary actions, including suspension or permanent dismissal from the College. Plagiarism is submitting a piece of work which in part or in whole is not entirely the student's own work without attributing those same portions to their correct source. For examples of plagiarism and how to avoid it, see http://www.reed.edu/academic/gbook/comm_pol/acad_conduct.html.

Plagiarism often comes as the result of a student being up against a deadline without being able to meet it. If you are having trouble meeting a deadline for whatever reason, please contact me. Because every assignment is a paper that will be handed out well in advance, I have no problem giving extensions. It is always better to ask for more time than to plagiarize. When you ask for an extension, you should a)explain what events are causing you to miss the deadline and b)request an amount of time proportional to the interfering events. You may ask for an extension up to, but not exceeding, the amount of time remaining for the assignment, except for cases of emergencies.

Accommodations

If you'd like to request academic accommodations due to a disability, please contact Learning Resources Director Rebecca Cohen, Director of Disability Support Services, 503-517-7921, cohenr@reed.edu. If you have a letter from Student Services, please let me know so we can discuss those accommodations.

25-Jan: 01.2. The Bomb, Dread, + Eternity: The Atomic Cafe (film) (43 Pages)

- John E Mueller (2010) Apocalyptic Visions, Worst-Case Preoccupations, Massive Expenditures. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 5, 55–72
- Michael Mandelbaum (1980) The Bomb, Dread, and Eternity. *International Security*. 5(2)Autumn, 3–23 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2538442
- Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists (2012) Doomsday Clock Moves 1 Minute Closer to Midnight. January 10
 http://www.thebulletin.org/content/media-center/announcements/2012/01/10/doomsday-clock-moves-1-minute-closer-to-midnight http://www.thebulletin.org/content/media-center/announcements/2012/01/10/doomsday-clock-moves-1-minute-closer-to-midnight>

1-Feb: 02.1. Technology: How do you make a nuclear weapon? What can they do? (144 Pages)

- John E Mueller (2010) Effects. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 1, 3–15
- John E Mueller (2010) Overstating the Effects. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 2, 17–28
- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Fundamentals. In Ferguson *Nuclear Energy*, chapter 1, 3–52
- Dietrich Schroeer (1984) Chap. 2-3 in Science, technology, and the nuclear arms race. New York, NY: Wiley, 14–71, ISBN 0471881414
- Lynn Eden (2004) City on Fire. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 60(1)January/February, 33–43 http://dx.doi.org/10.2968/060001011

Further

- Lynn Eden (2003) *Whole World on Fire*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press http://www.loc.gov/catdir/toc/ecip045/2003012695.html, ISBN 0801435781
- Lynn Eden (2003) Chap. 1 In Eden Whole World on Fire
- Lynn Eden (2003) Complete Ruin. In Eden Whole World on Fire. chapter 1, 15–36
- Samuel Glasstone and Philip J. Dolan (1977) Chap. 12 in The Effects of Nuclear Weapons. United States Government Printing, 541–643, ISBN 0160020360
- E. R. McConnell, G. O. Sampson, and J. M. Sharf (1956) *The Effect of Nuclear Explosions on Commercially Packaged Beverages*. Food and Drug Administration Technical report WT-1213 http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/nuclearcan1.html
- Robert Serber (1943) *The Los Alamos primer: the first lectures on how to build an atomic bomb.* Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos Report LA-1 http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/doe/lanl/docs1/00349710.pdf
- Herbert F. York (1976) The GAC Report of October 30, 1949. In *The Advisors: Oppenheimer, Teller, and the Superbomb*. San Francisco, CA: W. H. Freeman http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/1531933, ISBN 0716707187, 150–159

1-Feb: 02.2. Hiroshima: Why did we use the bomb? Should we have? (81 Pages)

- Gar Alperovitz (1995) Hiroshima: Historians Reassess. *Foreign Policy*.(99)Summer, 15–34 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1149003, ISSN 00157228
- Barton J. Bernstein (1995) The Atomic Bombings Reconsidered. *Foreign Affairs*. 74(1)January/February, 135–152 http://www.jstor.org/stable/20047025, ISSN 00157120
- Hugh Gusterson (2004) Hiroshima, the Gulf War, and the Disappearing Body. In Gusterson *People of the Bomb*. chapter 4, 63–81
- J. Samuel Walker (2005) Recent Literature on Truman's Atomic Bomb Decision: A Search for Middle Ground. Diplomatic History. 29(2)April, 311–334 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7709.2005.00476.x Further
 - Barton J. Bernstein (1991) Eclipsed by Hiroshima and Nagasaki: Early Thinking about Tactical Nuclear Weapons.
 International Security. 15(4)Spring, 149–173 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2539014, ISSN 01622889
 - Rufus E. Miles, Jr. (1985) Hiroshima: The Strange Myth of Half a Million American Lives Saved. *International Security*. 10(2)Autumn, 121–140 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2538830, ISSN 01622889

8-Feb: 03.1. Nuclear Power: What are the Benefits? (56 Pages)

- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Energy Security and Costs of Building Power Plants. In Ferguson *Nuclear Energy*. chapter 2, 53–85
- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Climate Change. In Ferguson Nuclear Energy. chapter 3, 86–102
- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Sustainable Energy. In Ferguson *Nuclear Energy*. chapter 8, 203–208

8-Feb: 03.2. Nuclear Power: What are the Risks? (207 Pages)

- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Safety. In Ferguson Nuclear Energy. chapter 5, 137–172
- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Physical Security. In Ferguson Nuclear Energy. chapter 6, 173–188
- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Radioactive Waste Management. In Ferguson Nuclear Energy. chapter 7, 189–202
- Paul R. Schulman (1993) The Negotiated Order of Organizational Reliability. *Administration & Society*. 25(3)November, 353–372 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/009539979302500305
- George W. Hinman et al. (1993) Perceptions of Nuclear and Other Risks in Japan and the United States. *Risk Analysis*. 13(4)August, 449–455 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1993.tb00745.x
- John F. Ahearne et al. (1997) Special Issue: Radioactive Waste. *Physics Today*. 50(6)June, 22–62 http://www.physicstoday.org/resource/1/PHTOAD/v50/i6?ver=pdfcov
- Charles Perrow (1999) Chap. 1-2 in Normal Accidents: Living with High-Risk Technologies. New York, NY: Basic Books, 15–61, ISBN 046505143X
- M. V. Ramana (2011) *Beyond Our Imagination: Fukushima and the Problem of Assessing Risk*. April 19 Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists website http://thebulletin.org/web-edition/features/beyond-our-imagination-fukushima-and-the-problem-of-assessing-risk
- Frank N. von Hippel (2011) The Radiological and Psychological Consequences of the Fukushima Daiichi Accident. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 67(5)September, 27 –36 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0096340211421588
- Allison Macfarlane (2011) It's 2050: Do You Know where Your Nuclear Waste is? *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 67(4)July, 30–36 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0096340211413357>
- Charles Perrow (2011) *Fukushima and the Inevitability of Accidents*. December 1 Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists website http://thebulletin.org/web-edition/features/fukushima-and-the-inevitability-of-accidents

Further

• Jonathan Koomey and Nate Hultman (2011) *No, the Three Mile Island Accident in 1979 Was Not a Major Cause of US Nuclear Power's Woes — Thinkprogress.* June 25 ThinkProgress website http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2011/06/25/244122/three-mile-island-accident-nuclear-power/

15-Feb: 04.1. Motives: Why do states seek the bomb? (93 Pages)

- John E Mueller (2010) Proliferation: Slow and Substantially Inconsequential. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 7, 89–102
- John E Mueller (2010) The Limited Appeal and Value of Nuclear Weapons. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 8, 103–114
- Ariel E. Levite (2002/2003) Never Say Never Again: Nuclear Reversal Revisited. *International Security*. 27(3)Winter, 59–88 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/01622880260553633
- Itty Abraham (2006) The Ambivalence of Nuclear Histories. *Osiris*. 21(1)February, 49–65 http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/507135>
- Scott D. Sagan (2011) The Causes of Nuclear Weapons Proliferation. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 14(1), 225–244 http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-052209-131042, ISSN 1094–2939

- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2006) Theories of Nuclear Proliferation: The State of the Field. *Nonproliferation Review*. 13(3)November, 455–465 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10736700601071397
- Tanya Ogilvie-White (1996) Is There a Theory of Nuclear Proliferation? An Analysis of the Contemporary Debate. *Nonproliferation Review*. 4(1)Fall, 43–60

- Ogilvie-White, 43–60
- Scott D. Sagan (1996/97) Why Do States Build Nuclear Weapons? Three Models in Search of a Bomb. *International Security*. 21(3)Winter, 54–86 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2539273
- Scott D. Sagan (2010) Nuclear Latency and Nuclear Proliferation. In Potter and Mukhatzhanova *Forecasting Nuclear Proliferation Volume 1*. chapter 5, 80–101

15-Feb: 04.2. Motives: How do we know? (104 Pages)

- Sonali Singh and Christopher R. Way (2004) The Correlates of Nuclear Proliferation: A Quantitative Test. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 48(6)December, 859–885 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002704269655>
- Dong-Joon Jo and Erik Gartzke (2007) Determinants of Nuclear Weapons Proliferation: A Quantitative Model. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 51(1)February, 167–194 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002706296158>
- Alexander H. Montgomery and Scott D. Sagan (2009) The Perils of Predicting Proliferation. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 53(2)April, 302–328 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002708330581
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2010) When Does a State Become a "Nuclear Weapons State"? An Exercise in Measurement Validation. In Potter and Mukhatzhanova *Forecasting Nuclear Proliferation Volume 1*. chapter 6, 102–123

22-Feb: 05.1. Intel: Can we really know who is seeking the bomb? (102 Pages)

- Defence Intelligence Agency (1974) *PRC Strategic Forces: How Much is Enough?* Department of Defense Defense Intelligence Estimate DIE FE 7-74
- Jeffrey T. Richelson (1994) Can the Intelligence Community Keep Pace with the Threat? In Mitchell Reiss and Robert S. Litwak, editors *Nuclear Proliferation after the Cold War*. Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson Center Press, Woodrow Wilson Center special studies, ISBN 0943875579. chapter 13, 291–308
- David Albright (2003) *Iraq's Aluminum Tubes: Separating Fact from Fiction*. December 5 http://www.isis-online.org/publications/iraq/IraqAluminumTubes12-5-03.pdf
- National Intelligence Council (2007) *Iran: Nuclear Intentions and Capabilities*. Office of the Director of National Intelligence National Intelligence Estimate, 9 pages
- Alexander H. Montgomery and Adam J. Mount (2011) *Misoverestimation: Explaining US Failures to Predict Nuclear Weapons Programs*. January 10 Intelligence and Nuclear Proliferation, King's College London
- William Wan (2011) Georgetown Students Shed Light on China's Tunnel System for Nuclear Weapons. *The Washington Post*. November 29, 5 http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/georgetown-students-shed-light-on-chinas-tunnel-system-for-nuclear-weapons/2011/11/16/gIQA6AmKAO_story.html, ISSN 0190–8286
- Hui Zhang (2012) *The Defensive Nature of China's "Underground Great Wall"*. January 16 Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists website http://www.thebulletin.org/web-edition/features/the-defensive-nature-of-chinas-underground-great-wall

22-Feb: 05.2. Strategy: What should we do with it? (134 Pages)

- Bernard Brodie (1959) Chap. 8 in Strategy in the missile age. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 264-304
- Richard Pipes (1977) Why the Soviet-Union Thinks It Could Fight and Win a Nuclear War. Commentary. 64, 21–34
- Carol Cohn (1987) Sex and Death in the Rational World of Defense Intellectuals. *Signs*. 12(4)Summer, 687–718 http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/494362
- Scott D. Sagan (2000) The Commitment Trap: Why the United States Should Not Use Nuclear Threats to Deter Biological and Chemical Weapons Attacks. *International Security*. 24(4)Spring, 85–115 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/016228800560318>
- Department of Defense (2010) Nuclear Posture Review Report (Front Matter).

Further

 J. D. Crouch (2002) Special Briefing on the Nuclear Posture Review. January 9 DoD News Briefing by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Policy
 http://www.defenselink.mil/transcripts/transcript.aspx?transcriptid=1108

- Department of Defense (2001) Nuclear Posture Review (Excerpts).
 http://www.globalsecurity.org/wmd/library/policy/dod/npr.htm
- Department of Defense (2010) Nuclear Posture Review Report.
- Herman Kahn (1970) Issues of Thermonuclear War Termination. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 392November, 133–172 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/000271627039200113, ISSN 00027162
- National Security Council (2006) National Security Strategy. March
- Lawrence Freedman (1986) The First Two Generations of Nuclear Strategists. In Peter Paret, Gordon Alexander Craig and Felix Gilbert, editors *Makers of Modern Strategy: From Machiavelli to the Nuclear Age*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, ISBN 0691027641. chapter 25, 735–778
- Roger Speed and Michael May (2005) Dangerous Doctrine. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 61(2)March/April, 38–49 http://dx.doi.org/10.2968/061002012>
- Marc Trachtenberg (1988-1989) A "Wasting Asset": American Strategy and the Shifting Nuclear Balance, 1949-1954. *International Security*. 13(3)Winter, 5–49 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2538735

29-Feb: 06.1. Impact: What has the spread of nuclear weapons led to? (132 Pages)

- Michael Horowitz (2009) The Spread of Nuclear Weapons and International Conflict: Does Experience Matter? Journal of Conflict Resolution. 53(2), 234–257 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002708330388
- Robert Rauchhaus (2009) Evaluating the Nuclear Peace Hypothesis: A Quantitative Approach. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 53(2), 258–277 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002708330387
- Kyle Beardsley and Victor Asal (2009) Winning with the Bomb. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 53(2), 278–301 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002708330386>
- Erik Gartzke and Dong-Joon Jo (2009) Bargaining, Nuclear Proliferation, and Interstate Disputes. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 53(2), 209–233 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002708330289
- Erik Gartzke and Matthew Kroenig (2009) A Strategic Approach to Nuclear Proliferation. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 53(2), 151–160 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002708330039
- Samuel Black (2010) *The Changing Political Utility of Nuclear Weapons: Nuclear Threats from 1970 to 2010.*August Stimson Center

Review

• Montgomery and Sagan, 302–328

29-Feb: 06.2. Impact: Are we sure about that? (112 Pages)

- John E Mueller (2010) Deterring World War III: Essential Irrelevance. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 3, 29–42
- John E Mueller (2010) Modest Influence on History. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 4, 43–54
- T. V. Paul (1995) Nuclear Taboo and War Initiation in Regional Conflicts. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 39(4)December, 696–717 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002795039004005>
- Jutta Weldes (1999) The Cultural Production of Crises: U.S. Identity and Missiles in Cuba. In Jutta Weldes, editor Cultures of Insecurity: States, Communities, and the Production of Danger. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, ISBN 081663307X, 35–62
- Nina Tannenwald (1999) The Nuclear Taboo: The United States and the Normative Basis of Nuclear Non-Use. *International Organization*. 53(3)Summer, 433–468 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/002081899550959

Review

• Graham T. Allison (1969) Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *American Political Science Review*. 63(3)September, 689–718 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1954423

7-Mar: 07.1. Sociology of Science: What social configurations aid or prevent weapons? (186 Pages)

- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) A Theory of Nuclear Weapons Project Efficiency and Inefficiency. In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 2, 41–78
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) The Puzzle of Declining Nuclear Weapons Project Efficiency. In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 1, 3–37
- Steven Flank (1993-1994) Exploding the Black Box: The Historical Sociology of Nuclear Proliferation. *Security Studies*. 3(2)Winter, 259–294 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09636419309347549>
- Donald MacKenzie and Graham Spinardi (1995) Tacit Knowledge, Weapons Design, and the Uninvention of Nuclear Weapons. *American Journal of Sociology*. 101(1), 44–99 http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/230699>
- Hugh Gusterson (2001) The Virtual Nuclear Weapons Laboratory in the New World Order. *American Ethnologist*. 28(2)May, 417–437 http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/ae.2001.28.2.417

Further

- Geoffrey Forden (2009) *PCS: The How of Proliferation*. August 6 Armscontrolwonk website http://www.armscontrolwonk.com/2410/pcs-the-how-of-proliferation>
- Geoffrey Forden (2009) *PCS: The How of Proliferation, Part 2*. August 6 Armscontrolwonk website http://www.armscontrolwonk.com/2413/pcs-the-how-of-proliferation-part-2>
- Hugh Gusterson (2004) Nuclear Weapons Testing as Scientific Ritual. In Gusterson *People of the Bomb*. chapter 8, 147–164
- Hugh Gusterson (2011) The Assault on Los Alamos National Laboratory: A Drama in Three Acts. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 67(6)November, 9 –18 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0096340211426631
- Donald A. MacKenzie (1999) Theories of Technology and the Abolition of Nuclear Weapons. In Donald A. MacKenzie and Judy Wajcman, editors *The Social Shaping of Technology*. Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press, ISBN 0335199143. chapter 30, 419–442

7-Mar: 07.2. Sociology of Science: How did China succeed and Iraq fail? (78 Pages)

- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) Spinning in place: Iraq's fruitless quest for nuclear weapons. In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 3, 79–123
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) How did China's nuclear weapons project succeed? In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 4, 124–156

Spring Break: No Class

21-Mar: 09.1. Sensitive Nuclear Cooperation: Does it work? (90 Pages)

- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) Empirical extensions: Libya, Pakistan, North Korea, Iran. In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 7, 239–261
- Alexander H. Montgomery (2005) Ringing in Proliferation: How to Dismantle an Atomic Bomb Network. *International Security*. 30(2)Fall, 153–187 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/016228805775124543
- Matthew Kroenig (2009) Importing the Bomb: Security Threats, Sensitive Nuclear Assistance, and Nuclear Proliferation. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 53(2)April, 161–180 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002708330287
- Alexander H. Montgomery (2010) Stop Helping Me: When Nuclear Assistance Impedes Nuclear Programs. In Nuclear Renaissance and International Security workshop. Center for International Strategy, Technology, and Policy, Sam Nunn School of International Affairs, Georgia Institute of Technology
- Joshua Pollack (2012) The Secret Treachery of A.Q. Khan. *Playboy*.January/February, 12 http://www.playboy.com/magazine/the-secret-treachery-of-a-q-khan

- David Albright and Susan Basu (2006) *India's Gas Centrifuge Program: Stopping Illicit Procurement and the Leakage of Technical Centrifuge Know-How*. March 10 Institute for Science and International Security http://www.isis-online.org/publications/southasia/indianprocurement.pdf>
- Chaim Braun and Christopher Chyba (2004) Proliferation Rings: New Challenges to the Nuclear Nonproliferation Regime. *International Security*. 29(2)Fall, 5–49 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/0162288042879959>

- Matthew Bunn (2007) Bombs We Can Stop. *American Scientist*. 95(5)September-October, 452–454 http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=aph&AN=26317527>
- Sheena E. Chestnut (2007) Illicit Activity and Proliferation: North Korean Smuggling Networks. *International Security*. 32(1)Summer, 80–111 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/isec.2007.32.1.80
- Siegfried S. Hecker and William Liou (2007) Dangerous Dealings: North Korea's Nuclear Capabilities and the Threat of Export to Iran. *Arms Control Today*. 37(2)March, 8 http://www.armscontrol.org/act/2007_03/heckerliou.asp?print
- Gaurav Kampani (2002) Second Tier Proliferation: The Case of Pakistan and North Korea. *Nonproliferation Review*. 9(3)Fall-Winter, 107–116 http://cns.miis.edu/pubs/npr/vol09/93/93kamp.pdf
- William Langewiesche (2005) The Wrath of Khan. *Atlantic Monthly*. November, 23 http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/print/200511/aq-khan, ISSN 1072–7825

21-Mar: 09.2. Civilian Nuclear Cooperation: Does it cause nuclear programs? (155 Pages)

- Charles D Ferguson (2011) Proliferation. In Ferguson Nuclear Energy. chapter 4, 103–136
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) Proliferation implications of civil nuclear cooperation: theory and a case study of Tito's Yugoslavia. In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 5, 157–202
- Dwight D. Eisenhower (1953) *Atoms for Peace*. December 8 Speech Before the United Nations General Assembly http://www.eisenhower.archives.gov/Research/Digital_Documents/Atoms_For_Peace/New%20PDFs/Atoms_for_Peace_Draft.pdf>
- Ian Jackson (2009) Nuclear Energy and Proliferation Risks: Myths and Realities in the Persian Gulf. *International Affairs*. 85(6), 1157–1172 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2009.00855.x, ISSN 00205850
- Matthew Fuhrmann (2009) Spreading Temptation: Proliferation and Peaceful Nuclear Cooperation Agreements. *International Security*, 34(1)Summer, 7–41 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/isec.2009.34.1.7
- Christoph Bluth et al. (2010) Civilian Nuclear Cooperation and the Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. *International Security*. 35(1), 184–200 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_c_00007

28-Mar: 10.1. Control: What attempts have been made to keep them from it? (148 Pages)

- John E Mueller (2010) Arms Races: Positive and Negative. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 6, 73–88
- John E Mueller (2010) Controlling Proliferation: Modest Success. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 9, 115–128
- John E Mueller (2010) Costs of the Proliferation Fixation. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 10, 129–142
- John E Mueller (2010) Reconsidering Proliferation Policy. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 11, 143–160
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) Proliferation implications of footloose nuclear scientists: theory and a case study of Perón's Argentina. In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 6, 203–238
- *Treaty On The Non-Proliferation Of Nuclear Weapons*. http://www.iaea.org/Publications/Documents/Treaties/index.html
- Emanuel Adler (1992) The Emergence of Cooperation: National Epistemic Communities and the International Evolution of the Idea of Nuclear Arms Control. *International Organization*. 46(1)Winter, 101–145 http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300001466, ISSN 00208183

- Bernard Baruch (1946) *The Baruch Plan*. June 14 Presented to the United Nations Atomic Energy Commission http://www.nuclearfiles.org/menu/key-issues/nuclear-weapons/issues/arms-control-disarmament/baruch-plan_1946-06-14.htm#
- George Bunn and Wolfgang K. H. Panofsky (1994) The Doctrine of the Nuclear-Weapons States and the Future of Non-Proliferation. *Arms Control Today*. 24(6)July/August, 3–9

- George Bunn and Jean du Preez (2007) More Than Words: The Value of U.S. Non-Nuclear-Use Promises. *Arms Control Today*. 37(6)July/August, 6 http://www.armscontrol.org/act/2007_07-08/NonUse.asp?print
- National Security Council (1950) NSC 68: United States Objectives and Programs for National Security. April 14 A
 Report to the President Pursuant to the President's Directive of January 31, 1950
 http://www.mtholyoke.edu/acad/intrel/nsc-68/nsc68-1.htm
- Randy Rydell (2006) Going for Baruch: The Nuclear Plan that Refused to Go Away. *Arms Control Today*. 36(5)June, 6 http://www.armscontrol.org/act/2006_06/LookingbackBaruch.asp?print
- Jaswant Singh (1998) Against Nuclear Apartheid. *Foreign Affairs*. 77(5)September-October, 41–52 http://www.jstor.org/stable/20049049, ISSN 00157120
- Strobe Talbott (1999) Dealing with the bomb in South Asia. *Foreign Affairs*. 78(2)March-April, 110–122 http://www.jstor.org/stable/20049212, ISSN 00157120

28-Mar: 10.2. Control: What are we doing to stop others? Is that really a good idea? (112 Pages)

- Jeffrey Goldberg (2010) The Point of No Return. *The Atlantic*. September, 18 http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2010/09/the-point-of-no-return/8186/, ISSN 1072–7825
- Matthew Fuhrmann and Sarah E. Kreps (2010) Targeting Nuclear Programs in War and Peace: A Quantitative Empirical Analysis, 1941-2000. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 54(6), 831–859
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002710371671, ISSN 0022–0027
- Sarah E. Kreps and Matthew Fuhrmann (2011) Attacking the Atom: Does Bombing Nuclear Facilities Affect Proliferation? *SSRN eLibrary*. February 1, 36 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1655224
- Avner Cohen (2012) What If the Iranians Start Killing Scientists? January 16 Haaretz.com
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) *Crying Wolf about an Iranian Nuclear Bomb*. January 17 http://www.thebulletin.org/web-edition/op-eds/crying-wolf-about-iranian-nuclear-bomb http://www.thebulletin.org/web-edition/op-eds/crying-wolf-about-iranian-nuclear-bomb>
- William Tobey (2012) Nuclear Scientists as Assassination Targets. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 68(1)January, 61–69 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0096340211433019>
- Matthew Kroenig (2012) Time to Attack Iran: Why a Strike is the Least Bad Option. *Foreign Affairs*. January/February, 16. Includes debate with Stephen Walt

4-Apr: 11.1. Safety: How safe are we or others in making the bomb? (232 Pages)

- Scott D. Sagan (2003) Sagan Responds to Waltz. In Sagan and Waltz *The Spread of Nuclear Weapons*. chapter 5, 155–184
- Scott D. Sagan (2003) More Will be Worse. In Sagan and Waltz The Spread of Nuclear Weapons. chapter 2, 46–87
- Kenneth N. Waltz (2003) Waltz Responds to Sagan. In Sagan and Waltz *The Spread of Nuclear Weapons*. chapter 4, 125–155
- Kenneth N. Waltz (2003) More May be Better. In Sagan and Waltz The Spread of Nuclear Weapons. chapter 1, 3–45
- Scott D. Sagan and Kenneth N. Waltz (2003) Indian and Pakistani Nuclear Weapons: For Better or Worse? In Sagan and Waltz The Spread of Nuclear Weapons. chapter 3, 88–124
- Hugh Gusterson (1999) Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. *Cultural Anthropology*. 14(1)February, 111–143 http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/can.1999.14.1.111, ISSN 08867356
- Scott Sagan, Kenneth Waltz, and Richard K. Betts (2007) A Nuclear Iran: Promoting Stability or Courting Disaster?
 Journal of International Affairs. 60(2)Spring/Summer, 135–150
 http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AN=25069438>, ISSN 0022197X

Further

• Kenneth N. Waltz (1990) Nuclear Myths and Political Realities. *American Political Science Review*. 84(3)September, 731–745 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1962764

11-Apr: 12.1. Terrorists: Should we worry about them? (184 Pages)

- John E Mueller (2010) Task. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 12, 161–180
- John E Mueller (2010) Likelihood. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 13, 181–198
- John E Mueller (2010) Progress and Interest. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 14, 199–216
- John E Mueller (2010) Capacity. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 15, 217–234
- J. Carson Mark et al. (1987) Can Terrorists Build Nuclear Weapons? http://www.nci.org/k-m/makeab.htm
- David Albright and Holly Higgins (2003) A Bomb for the Ummah. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 59(2)March/April, 49–55 http://dx.doi.org/10.2968/059002012>
- William C. Potter, Charles D. Ferguson, and Leonard S. Spector (2004) The Four Faces of Nuclear Terror and the Need for a Prioritized Response. *Foreign Affairs*. 83(3)May-June, 130–132 http://www.jstor.org/stable/20033982
- Graham T. Allison (2004) How to Stop Nuclear Terror. *Foreign Affairs*. 83(1)January/February, 64–74 http://www.jstor.org/stable/20033829
- Linda Rothstein, Catherine Auer, and Jonas Siegel (2004) Rethinking doomsday. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 60(6)November/December, 11 http://dx.doi.org/10.2968/06006010>, ISSN 00963402
- William Langewiesche (2006) How to Get a Nuclear Bomb. *Atlantic*. 298(5)December, 80–98 http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rlh&AN=23242733
- Matthew Bunn and Anthony Wier (2006) Terrorist Nuclear Weapon Construction: How Difficult? *Annals of The American Academy of Political and Social Science*. September 10, 11
- William M. Arkin (2006) The continuing misuses of fear. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 62(5)September/October, 42–45 http://dx.doi.org/10.2968/062005018>
- Peter D. Zimmerman and Jeffrey G. Lewis (2006) The Bomb in the Backyard. Foreign Policy.(157)November/December, 32–39
 http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=mth&AN=22995331
- Matthew Bunn (2009) Reducing the Greatest Risks of Nuclear Theft & Terrorism. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 112–123 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.112
- David E. Albright (2010) Al Qaeda's Bomb. In *Peddling Peril: How the Secret Nuclear Trade Arms America's Enemies*. New York: Free Press, ISBN 9781416549314. chapter 8, 169–184

- Graham Allison (2004) Nuclear Terrorism. New York, NY: Times Books, ISBN 0805076514
- William Dunlop and Harold Smith (2006) Who Did It? Using International Forensics to Detect and Deter Nuclear Terrorism. *Arms Control Today*. 36(8)October, 6 http://www.armscontrol.org/act/2006_10/CVRForensics.asp
- Richard A. Falkenrath (1998) Confronting Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Terrorism. *Survival*. 40(3)January, 43–65 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/survival/40.3.43
- Ira Helfand, Lachlan Forrow, and Jaya Tiwari (2002) Nuclear Terrorism. *British Medical Journal*. 324February 9, 356–359
- Bill Keller (2002) Nuclear Nightmares. New York Times. May 26, 13
- Douglas Pasternak (2001) Too many nuclear plants are not prepared to prevent attacks. U.S. News and World Report. September 5, 3

18-Apr: 13.1. Conclusions: The Future of Nuclear Weapons (109 Pages)

- John E Mueller (2010) Epilogue and an Inventory of Propositions. In Mueller *Atomic Obsession: Nuclear Alarmism from Hiroshima to Al-Qaeda*. chapter 16, 235–239
- Mueller Reconsidering Proliferation Policy, 143–160
- Jacques E. C. Hymans (2012) Lessons for policy and directions for future research. In Hymans *Achieving Nuclear Ambitions*. chapter 8, 262–277
- Thomas C. Schelling (2009) A World without Nuclear Weapons? *Daedalus*. 138(4), 124–129 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.124>
- Sverre Lodgaard (2009) Toward a Nuclear-Weapons-Free World. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 140–152 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.140
- Sam Nunn (2009) Taking Steps toward a World Free of Nuclear Weapons. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 153–156 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.153
- Scott D. Sagan (2009) Shared Responsibilities for Nuclear Disarmament. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 157–168 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.157>
- William C. Potter (2010) The NPT & the Sources of Nuclear Restraint. *Daedalus*. 139(1), 68–81 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2010.139.1.68>
- Steven E. Miller and Scott D. Sagan (2010) Alternative Nuclear Futures. *Daedalus*. 139(1), 126–137 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2010.139.1.126
- Steven E. Miller (2012) Nuclear Weapons 2011: Momentum Slows, Reality Returns. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 68(1)January, 20–28 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0096340211434471

18-Apr: 13.2. Conclusions: The Future of Nuclear Power (112 Pages)

- Steven E. Miller and Scott D. Sagan (2009) Nuclear Power without Nuclear Proliferation? *Daedalus*. 138(4), 7–18 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.7
- Richard K. Lester and Robert Rosner (2009) The Growth of Nuclear Power: Drivers & Constraints. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 19–30 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.19
- Robert H. Socolow and Alexander Glaser (2009) Balancing Risks: Nuclear Energy & Climate Change. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 31–44 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.31
- Paul L. Joskow and John E. Parsons (2009) The Economic Future of Nuclear Power. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 45–59 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.45>
- Harold A. Feiveson (2009) A Skeptic's View of Nuclear Energy. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 60–70 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.60
- José Goldemberg (2009) Nuclear Energy in Developing Countries. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 71–80 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.71
- John W. Rowe (2009) Nuclear Power in a Carbon-Constrained World. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 81–90 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.81>
- Anne Lauvergeon (2009) The Nuclear Renaissance: An Opportunity to Enhance the Culture of Nonproliferation. *Daedalus*. 138(4), 91–99 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.4.91
- Anatoly S. Diyakov (2010) The Nuclear "Renaissance" & Preventing the Spread of Enrichment & Reprocessing Technologies: A Russian View. *Daedalus*. 139(1), 117–125 http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/daed.2010.139.1.117
- Mark Hibbs (2012) Nuclear Energy 2011: A Watershed Year. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 68(1)January, 10–19 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0096340211434470

25-Apr: 14.1. Presentations