

Lecture 45

Tuesday, April 21, 2015 10:03 AM

Modules over a PID

[Invariant factor form of the structure thm]
Thm R a PID, M finitely generated R -module.

$$\text{Then } M \cong R^{\oplus r} \oplus R/(a_1) \oplus R/(a_2) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m)$$

for some $r \geq 0$ & $a_i \neq 0, a_i \in R^{\times}$ s.t.

$a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_m$
free rank invariant factors

and r, a_i are unique.

Cor $R = \mathbb{Z}$: every f.g. abelian gp \cong to

$$\text{some } \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}/a_1\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/a_m\mathbb{Z}$$

w/ $r \geq 0, a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_m$.

Defn A left R -module M is Noetherian if \forall chain of submodules $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M$, $M_k = M_m$ for $k \geq m$. The ring R is Noetherian if it is Noetherian as an R -module.

Thm M a left R -module. TFAE:

- ① M is Noetherian.
- ② Every nonempty set of submodules of M contains a max'l elt.
- ③ Every submodule of M is finitely generated.

Pf ① \Rightarrow ②: Σ a nonempty set of submods of M .
 Take $M_1 \in \Sigma$. If M_1 is max'l, we're done. If not,
 $\exists M_2 \in \Sigma$ w/ $M_1 \subsetneq M_2$. If M_2 is max'l, we're done.
 If not, $\exists M_3 \in \Sigma$ w/ $M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq M_3$, etc.
 If that does not terminate, we get an infinite
 ascending chain of submods \mathbb{Q} .

② \Rightarrow ③: Take $N \subseteq M$, $\Sigma = \{ \text{f.g. submods of } N \}$.

$0 \in \Sigma \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \Sigma$ contains max'l N' .

If $N' \neq N$, then $\exists x \in N - N'$ & $N' + Rx \in \Sigma$,

$N' \subsetneq N' + Rx \in \Sigma$. Thus $N = N'$ is f.g.

$$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \textcircled{1} : M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M.$$

Let $N = \cup M_i \subseteq M$ so N is generated by finite set x_1, \dots, x_n . Each $x_i \in M_{j_i}$

Let $m = \max\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$. Then $x_i \in M_m$

$$\Rightarrow N = M_m = M_k \text{ for } k \geq m. \quad \square$$

Cor R a PID, then every nonempty set of ideals in R has a max'l elt.

Prop R an integral domain. M a free R -module of rank $n < \infty$. Then any $n+1$ elts in M are

R -linearly dependent: i.e. $\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in M$,

$$\exists r_1, \dots, r_{n+1} \in M \text{ s.t. } \sum r_i y_i = 0.$$

not all 0

Pf $M \cong R^n \subseteq (\text{Frac}(R))^n$

Thus $\exists q_i \in \text{Frac}(R)$ s.t. $\sum q_i y_i = 0$

not all 0

Clear denominators to get an R -linear dependence.



R an integral domain
Defn $Tor(M) = \{x \in M \mid rx = 0 \text{ for some } r \in R, r \neq 0\} \subseteq M$
is the torsion submodule of M .

Main Lemma R a PID, M a free R -module of finite rank n , $N \subseteq M$. Then
① N is free of rank

Defn R an int dom. The rank of an R -mod M is the maximum number of R -lin ind elts of M .

Thm R a PID, M a free R -mod of finite rank n , $N \leq M$. Then

- ① N is free of rk $m \leq n$
- ② \exists basis y_1, \dots, y_m of N so that $a_1 y_1, \dots, a_m y_m$ is a basis of M for $a_i \in R \neq 0$ & $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_m$.

Pf If $N=0$, the thm is true. Assume $N \neq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \{ \varphi(N) \mid \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R) \} \\ &= \{ (a_\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{---} \} \end{aligned}$$

b/c R is a PID.

\Rightarrow (0) so nonempty, so Σ has a max'l elt $(a_\nu) = (a_1)$

Take $y \in N$ s.t. $\nu(y) = a_1$.

$a_1 \neq 0$ b/c $\Sigma \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ b/c some $\pi: N \neq 0$ for π : proj'n onto i th coord for basis x_1, \dots, x_n of M .

Claim $a_1 | \varphi(y)$ $\forall \varphi \in \text{Hom}(M, R)$. Take d s.t. $(d) = (a_1, \varphi(y))$.

$d | (a_1, \varphi(y))$, $d = r_1 a_1 + r_2 \varphi(y)$. Take $\psi = r_1 \nu + r_2 \varphi : M \rightarrow R$.

$\psi(y) = r_1 a_1 + r_2 \varphi(y) = d \Rightarrow d \in \psi(N)$ so $(d) \subseteq \psi(N)$.

Since $d | a_1$, $(a_1) \subseteq (d) \subseteq \psi(N)$. By maximality of (a_1) ,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1) &= (d) = \psi(N) \\ \Rightarrow a_1 &| \varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $a_i \mid \pi_i(y_i) \forall i, \pi_i(y_i) = a_i b_i$. Define

$$y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i$$

Then $a_i y_i = y_i$. $a_i = v(y_i) = v(a_i y_i) = a_i v(y_i) \Rightarrow v(y_i) = 1$.

Claim (a) $M = R y_i \oplus \ker v$

(b) $N = R a_i y_i \oplus (N \cap \ker v)$

(Thus y_i a basis elt for M , $a_i y_i$ basis elt for N .)

(a): $x \in M$. $x = v(x) y_i + (x - v(x) y_i)$

$$v(x - v(x) y_i) = v(x) - v(x) v(y_i)$$

$$= v(x) - v(x) \cdot 1$$

$$= 0$$

so $x - v(x) y_i \in \ker v \Rightarrow x \in R y_i + \ker v$

$$\Rightarrow M = R y_i + \ker v$$

In order for the sum to be direct, we need $R y_i \cap \ker v = 0$.

Suppose $r y_i \in \ker v \Rightarrow 0 = v(r y_i) = r v(y_i) = r \Rightarrow r y_i = 0 \checkmark$

Thus $M = R \oplus \ker v$.

(b): Since $(a_i) = v(N)$, $a_i \mid v(x') \forall x' \in N$.

If $v(x') = b a_i$, then $x' = v(x') y_i + (x' - v(x') y_i)$

$$= b a_i y_i + \underbrace{(x' - b a_i y_i)}_{\in \ker v \cap N}$$

$$\Rightarrow N = R a_i y_i + (N \cap \ker v)$$

Direct? Same as above.