

Day 12

Learning Goals

- Define image and kernel of linear trans's
- Rank and nullity as dims of these subspaces
- Rank nullity theorem

Defn Given a linear trans $f: V \rightarrow W$, the image of f is $\text{im}(f) = fV = \{f(v) \mid v \in V\}$.

Prop $\text{im}(f) \leq W$.

pf (1) $0 = f(0) \in \text{im}(f)$

(2) if $f(u), f(v) \in \text{im}(f)$, then $f(u) + f(v) = f(u+v) \in \text{im}(f)$.

(3) if $f(u) \in \text{im}(f)$, then $\lambda f(u) = f(\lambda u) \in \text{im}(f)$. □

Defn The rank of a linear trans $f: V \rightarrow W$ is $\text{rank}(f) := \dim \text{im}(f)$.

Eg. Define a linear trans $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ by $f(1,0) = (2,1,0)$, $f(0,1) = (0,-1,1)$ and extending linearly. (This means

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= x(2, 1, 0) + y(0, -1, 1) \\ &= (2x, x-y, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{im}(f) = \text{span} \{ (2, 1, 0), (0, -1, 1) \}$ and

$(2, 1, 0), (0, -1, 1)$ are lin ind (why?) $\therefore \text{rank}(f) = 2$.

Rank If $f: V \rightarrow W$ linear and $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of V , then $\text{im}(f) = \text{span} \{f(b_1), \dots, f(b_n)\}$.

If $\{f(b_1), \dots, f(b_n)\}$ not lin ind, then have $\text{rank}(f) < n$.

Defn If $f: V \rightarrow W$ linear and $U \subseteq W$, then the preimage of U under f is

$$f^{-1}U := \{v \in V \mid f(v) \in U\}.$$

Prop For $f: V \rightarrow W$ linear and $U \subseteq W$, $f^{-1}U \subseteq V$.

Pf (1) $0 \in U$ and $f(0) = 0$ so $0 \in f^{-1}U$.

(2) if $u, v \in f^{-1}U$ then $f(u+v) = f(u) + f(v) \in U$ since $f(u), f(v) \in U$ which is closed under add'n. Thus $u+v \in f^{-1}U$.

(3) if $u \in f^{-1}U$ then $f(\lambda u) = \lambda f(u) \in U$ so $\lambda u \in f^{-1}U$.



Defn For $f: V \rightarrow W$ linear, the **kernel** (or **nullspace**) of f is $\ker(f) = f^{-1}\{0\} = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = 0\}$.

Note $\ker(f) \leq V$.

The **nullity** of f is $\dim \ker(f)$.

TP5 What is $\ker(f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3)$?
 $(x, y) \mapsto (2x, x-y, y)$

E.g. Consider the linear trans

$$f: \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$a+bx+cx^2 \mapsto (a+b, a+c).$$

To find $\ker(f)$ need to solve $f(a+bx+cx^2) = (0, 0)$.

This is equiv to
$$\begin{aligned} a+b &= 0 \\ a+c &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Apply G-J red'n:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \rightsquigarrow \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

so $a = -c$, $b = c$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(f) &= \{-c+cx+cx^2 \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \text{span}\{-1+x+x^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence nullity of f is $\dim \ker(f) = 1$.

What about rank? $\mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq 2}$ has basis $\{1, x, x^2\}$ and

$f(1) = (1, 1)$, $f(x) = (1, 0)$, $f(x^2) = (0, 1)$ so

$$\text{im}(f) = \text{span}\{(1, 1), (1, 0), (0, 1)\} = \mathbb{R}^2$$

so $\text{rank}(f) = 2$.

Note that $\dim \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq 2} = 3 = 2 + 1 = \text{rank}(f) + \text{nullity}(f)$.

This is generic:

THM (rank-nullity) Suppose $f: V \rightarrow W$ linear and V is finite dim'l. Then

$$\dim V = \text{rank}(f) + \text{nullity}(f).$$

ooo $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V \text{ "splits" into the piece } f \text{ kills } (\ker(f)) \\ \text{and the piece } f \text{ preserves} \end{array} \right.$

PF Suppose $\text{nullity}(f) = k$ and $\ker(f)$ has basis $K = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. Complete K to a basis for V ,

$$B = \{v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that

$\{f(v_{k+1}), \dots, f(v_n)\}$ is a basis of $\text{im}(f)$.

Know that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{im}(f) &= \text{span } fB = \text{span}\{0, \dots, 0, f(v_{k+1}), \dots, f(v_n)\} \\ &= \text{span}\{f(v_{k+1}), \dots, f(v_n)\}\end{aligned}$$

so this set generates $\text{im}(f)$.

For linear ind, suppose

$$\lambda_{k+1} f(v_{k+1}) + \dots + \lambda_n f(v_n) = 0.$$

$$\text{Then } f(\lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = 0$$

$\hookrightarrow \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \dots + \lambda_n v_n \in \ker(f)$. Since K is a basis of $\ker(f)$, $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in F$ s.t.

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k = \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \dots + \lambda_n v_n.$$

But then

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k - \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} - \dots - \lambda_n v_n = 0.$$

By lin ind of B , all $\lambda_i = 0$. In particular,

$\{f(v_{k+1}), \dots, f(v_n)\}$ is lin ind, □