Tuesday, January 27, 2015

8:46 AM

Proof Let x, y be real numbers. We know $-(x) \leq x \leq |x|$ and - 1 y 1 ≤ y ≤ | y | Taking the sum, $-|x|-|y| \leq x+y \leq |x|+|y|$ Renriting, $-\left(|x|+|y|\right) \leq x+y \leq |x|+|y|.$ By the claim, we learn that 1x+y 1 ≤ 1x1+1y1; as desired.

class notes Page 11

Claim If |x-5| < 4, thin |x3-3x| < 1030 The Big Rule Only assume the assumptions in proofs. Pf Assuma 1x-51 < 4. Than $\left| x^3 - 3x \right| \leq \left| x^3 \right| + \left| -3x \right|$ (A inag.) $= |x|^3 + 3|x|$ $= |x-5+5|^3 + 3|x-5+5|$ $\leq (|x-5|+5)^3+3(|x-5|+5)$ $(4+5)^3 + 3(4+5)$ (1) is increasing (by assumption) < 10³ + 3.10 = 1030.

Proof by contradiction To prove P) Q M can assume 7 Q and show that who we assume To prove P => Q assume P & -Q and show that something in yours in bla / absurd happens. Good: powerful & easy to use & non-constructive Bad: inelegant

Quantifiars

Universal quantifier: For every x of a particular type

Existential quantifier: There exists

x w/ particular

qualities

Wednesday, January 28, 2015 Universal quantifiers Thorthand: \[
\frac{\frac{1}{x}}{x}. \frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{x} \text{For away } \times \text{(of a particular type)}, \\
\text{property } \text{P(x) is true.}
\]

\[
\text{depending } \text{on } \times
\]

\[
\text{on } \times
\]

\[
\text{on } \text{x} \text{(of a particular type)}, \\
\text{property } \text{on } \text{x}
\]

\[
\text{depending } \text{property } \text{periods for type} \text{on } \text{x}
\]

\[
\text{depending } \text{periods for type} \text{on } \text{x}
\]

\[
\text{depending } \text{periods for type} \text{for the type} \text{on } \text{x}
\]

\[
\text{depending } \text{periods for type} \text{for the t eg. For every x>1, x>0. = For all X7,1, x>0 $-\forall x \ge 1. x > 0$ e.g. Enry positive integer is a product of prime numbers. = $\forall x \text{ a positive intager. } x \text{ is a product}$

Bruk this down further w/ an existential quatifier

= $\forall x. \exists primes p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ such that $x = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_n$

More existential statements: , Thure exist real numbers which are not Ix a real number. x is not rational such that . There exist for which are continuous everywhere and differentiable nowhere If a fn. f is cts but diff' (nowhere If a fn. $\forall a \text{ a real } \#$. f is cts at a and f is not diff! at a diff! at a switching the order of quantifiers drestically changes meaning. Waierstrass devil function

Our favorite combo of univ & exist'(
quentiflurs:

Y €>0, ∃8>0. (0< |x-a| < 8 ⇒ |f(x)-L| < €)

The first $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = L$

How do we prove quantified statements?

∀x. P(x)

show that P(x) holds
for every x of given type
"Let x be of the given
type....

Jx. P(x) ____

produce (at least) one x of given type such that P(x) is true.

Q What if there are no x of the specified