

(I)

Time-Independent Perturbation Theory

We had: $(\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}')|\psi_j^0\rangle = E_j^0 |\psi_j^0\rangle$ (1)

w/ $\hat{H}^0 |\psi_j^0\rangle = E_j^0 |\psi_j^0\rangle$, $\langle \psi_k^0 | \psi_j^0 \rangle = \delta_{jk}$,

$$|\psi_j\rangle = |\psi_j^0\rangle + \lambda |\psi_j^1\rangle + \lambda^2 |\psi_j^2\rangle + \dots$$

$$E_j^1 = E_j^0 + \lambda E_j^1 + \lambda^2 E_j^2 + \dots$$

putting these into (1):

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}')(&|\psi_j^0\rangle + \lambda |\psi_j^1\rangle + \lambda^2 |\psi_j^2\rangle + \dots) \\ &= (E_j^0 + \lambda E_j^1 + \lambda^2 E_j^2 + \dots)(|\psi_j^0\rangle + \lambda |\psi_j^1\rangle + \lambda^2 |\psi_j^2\rangle + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

→ collecting in powers of λ :

$$\lambda^0: \hat{H}^0 |\psi_j^0\rangle = E_j^0 |\psi_j^0\rangle$$

$$\lambda^1: \hat{H}^0 |\psi_j^1\rangle + \hat{H}' |\psi_j^0\rangle = E_j^0 |\psi_j^1\rangle + E_j^1 |\psi_j^0\rangle$$

$$\lambda^2: \hat{H}^0 |\psi_j^2\rangle + \hat{H}' |\psi_j^1\rangle = E_j^0 |\psi_j^2\rangle + E_j^1 |\psi_j^1\rangle + E_j^2 |\psi_j^0\rangle$$

From the λ^1 eqn, we learned (hitting both sides w/ $\langle \psi_j^0 |$)

$$E_j^1 = \langle \psi_j^0 | \hat{H}' | \psi_j^0 \rangle$$

→ (hitting both sides w/ $\langle \psi_k^0 |$ w/ $k \neq j$),

$$|\psi_j^1\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \psi_k^0 | \hat{H}' | \psi_j^0 \rangle}{E_j^0 - E_k^0} |\psi_k^0\rangle$$

• for now, we'll assume $E_j^0 \neq E_k^0$ for $j \neq k$.

• How about the $|\psi_j^0\rangle$ component of $|\psi_j^1\rangle$?

remember: $E_j = E_j^0 + E_j^1 + \dots$

$$|\psi_j\rangle = |\psi_j^0\rangle + |\psi_j^1\rangle$$

(already have the $|\psi_j^0\rangle$ contribution.)

• $|\psi_j^0\rangle + |\psi_j^1\rangle$ is not, in general normalized.

Moving on to the λ^2 eqn - we'll again hit both sides w/ $|\psi_j^0\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\langle \psi_j^0 | \hat{H}' |\psi_j^2\rangle}_{= E_j^0 \langle \psi_j^0 | \psi_j^2 \rangle} + \underbrace{\langle \psi_j^0 | \hat{H}' |\psi_j^1\rangle}_{\text{cancels now}} &= E_j^0 \langle \psi_j^0 | \psi_j^2 \rangle + E_j^1 \langle \psi_j^0 | \psi_j^1 \rangle + E_j^2 \langle \psi_j^0 | \psi_j^0 \rangle \\ &= 0 - n_0 |\psi_j^0\rangle \text{ in } |\psi_j^0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} E_j^2 &= \langle \psi_j^0 | \hat{H}' | \psi_j^1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \psi_k^0 | \hat{H}' | \psi_j^0 \rangle}{E_j^0 - E_k^0} \langle \psi_j^0 | \hat{H}' | \psi_k^0 \rangle \\ &= (\langle \psi_k^0 | \hat{H}' | \psi_j^0 \rangle)^* \end{aligned}$$

next, you get the eigenstate corrections, then on to the λ^3 eqn ...

Degeneracy in One Dimension

In one dimension, energies are "never" degenerate - we always have $E_j \neq E_k$ for $j \neq k$.

Proof by contradiction: Suppose you had 2 solutions to Schrödinger's eqn:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi_1}{dx^2} + U\psi_1 = E\psi_1, \quad -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi_2}{dx^2} + U\psi_2 = E\psi_2$$

Multiply the 1st eqn by ψ_2 , the 2nd by ψ_1 , & subtract.

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\frac{d^2\psi_1}{dx^2} \psi_2 - \frac{d^2\psi_2}{dx^2} \psi_1 \right] = 0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{d\psi_1}{dx} \psi_2 - \frac{d\psi_2}{dx} \psi_1 \right]$$

so that $\psi'_1 \psi_2 - \psi'_2 \psi_1 = K \text{ constant}$

(+) At spatial infinity $\psi_1 \rightarrow 0, \psi_2 \rightarrow 0, \Rightarrow K=0,$
so

$$\frac{\psi'_1}{\psi_1} = \frac{\psi'_2}{\psi_2} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} \log \psi_1 = \frac{d}{dx} \log \psi_2$$

↓

$$\log \psi_1 = \log \psi_2 + A \quad \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \text{const of} \\ \text{int.} \end{matrix}$$

↓

$$\psi_1 = \psi_2 e^A$$

Finally, the normalization condition is:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi_1|^2 dx = 1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi_2|^2 dx$$

$$\therefore |e^{A/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi_2|^2 dx| = |e^{A/2}| = 1$$

If $A = i\phi$, then $\psi_1 + \psi_2$ differ by a pure phase
(& hence are not different).

← "Exceptions" - there are two notable exceptions to this rule

1. Free particle solutions: $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \hat{\psi}''(x) = E \hat{\psi}(x)$
has:

$$\hat{\psi}_1(x) = e^{ipx/\hbar}, \quad \hat{\psi}_2(x) = e^{-ipx/\hbar} \quad (p = \sqrt{2mE})$$

representing left & right-travelers. 2 solutions w/
the same energy ...

We made 2 explicit assumptions in our "proof":
(+) & (o), the free particle solution violates (o)

The Hamiltonian here has translation symmetry,
since

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{T}] = 0 \quad (+\text{almost always does, in higher dimension})$$

Asymmetry could lead to degeneracy:
 $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow \hat{T}|\psi\rangle$ has $\hat{H}|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$, then:

$$\hat{T}\hat{H}|\psi\rangle = E\hat{T}|\psi\rangle$$

$$\hat{H}\hat{T}|\psi\rangle = E\hat{T}|\psi\rangle$$

so that $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow \hat{T}|\psi\rangle$ have the same energy.

But here, we can see, that $\hat{\psi} = e^{i\phi x/\hbar} \rightarrow$

$$\hat{T}\hat{\psi} = e^{i\phi(\hat{x}-a)/\hbar} = e^{-i\phi a/\hbar} \hat{\psi}$$

are really the same state (differing only by a
pure phase). So no degeneracy there.

parity is also a symmetry of the Ham. Hamilton:

$$[\hat{\Pi}, \hat{H}] = 0$$

so if you have $\hat{H}|1\rangle = E|1\rangle$, you also have:

$$\hat{\Pi}\hat{\Pi}|1\rangle = E\hat{\Pi}|1\rangle, |1\rangle \perp \hat{\Pi}|1\rangle$$

have the same energy.

$$\text{But for } \Psi_1 = e^{ipx/\hbar}, \hat{\Pi}\Psi_1 = e^{-ipx/\hbar} = \Psi_2$$

i.e. here, $\hat{\Pi}\Psi_1$ is not the same state (upto constant phase) as Ψ_1 .

While both $[\hat{\Pi}, \hat{H}] = 0 \rightarrow [\hat{T}, \hat{H}] = 0$,
so you can find simultaneous eigenstates of $\{\hat{\Pi}, \hat{H}\}$, or $\{\hat{T}, \hat{H}\}$, you cannot find simultaneous eigenstates of all three, $\{\hat{\Pi}, \hat{T}, \hat{H}\}$, since

$$[\hat{\Pi}, \hat{T}] \neq 0$$

so to get degeneracy, you need (at least) 2 op.s that commute w/ \hat{H} , but not each other.

2. Bead on a wire:  $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2mr^2} \frac{d^2\Psi(\phi)}{d\phi^2} = E\Psi(\phi)$

this is almost exactly like the free particle,
let $\rho = \sqrt{2mE}$, then

$$\Psi_1 = e^{ipR\phi} \quad \Psi_2 = e^{-ipR\phi}$$

This time the wave functions are normalizable -
so what is the obstruction? (+)

we have $\Psi(\phi)$ w/ $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$, so the domain is different. Now, free.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1' \Psi_2 - \Psi_2' \Psi_1 &= i p R \Psi_1 \Psi_2 + i p R \Psi_1 \Psi_2 \\ &= 2ip \end{aligned}$$

This was the constant K , which we set to zero

Once again, we have $[\hat{H}, \hat{\Pi}] = 0 \circ [\hat{H}, \hat{T}] = 0$
but $[\hat{T}, \hat{\Pi}] \neq 0$

so there must be a simultaneous state of $\{\hat{\Pi}, \hat{T}\}$ & a simultaneous state of $\{\hat{T}, \hat{H}\}$ that have the same energy, but are not the same state (since $[\hat{A}, \hat{T}] \neq 0$) - that is degeneracy.