

Lost Time

We defined a vector to be any set of 3 quantities that responded to rotations "like the coordinates do":

$$\text{ex. } \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} \\ \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{for } z\text{-axis rot.})$$

induces

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{E}_x \\ \bar{E}_y \\ \bar{E}_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{pmatrix}$$

so \vec{E} is a vector (under rotations)

For the infinitesimal ($\theta \ll 1$) form, we have

$$\bar{x} = x - \theta y \quad \bar{y} = y + \theta x \quad \bar{z} = z \quad (*)$$

and for vectors like \vec{E} :

$$\bar{E}_x = E_x - \theta E_y \quad \bar{E}_y = E_y + \theta E_x \quad \bar{E}_z = E_z \quad (o)$$

The inf. coord. transformation in (1) is generated by $J = \theta(xp_y - yp_x) = \theta(\hat{r} \times \hat{p})_z = \theta L_z$

We then showed that: $\{L_i, L_j\}_{P.O.} = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k$ which implies

$$[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k$$

But $\{L_i, L_j\}_{P.O.} = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k$ leads us to expect that $\{L_i, V_j\}_{P.O.} = G_{ijk} V_k$ for a vector \vec{V} .

example: $\{L_z, E^x\} = \theta \left(-\frac{\partial L_z}{\partial p_x} \frac{\partial E^x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial L_z}{\partial p_y} \frac{\partial E^x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial L_z}{\partial p_z} \frac{\partial E^x}{\partial z} \right)$

\uparrow func. of \vec{r}, \vec{p}
 \uparrow $xp_y - yp_x$

$$= -\theta \left(-y \frac{\partial E^x}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial E^x}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$= E^x (x - \theta y, y + \theta x, z) - E^x (x, y, z)$$

$$= -E^x + E^x + \theta E^y \quad \text{from } (o)$$

so $\{L_z, E^x\} = E^y \Rightarrow \{L_i, E_j\} = G_{ijk} E_k$
 check: $\epsilon_{312} E_2 = E_2 \checkmark$

Just as: $\{L_i, L_j\}_{P.O.} = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \xrightarrow{QM} [\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k$
 $\{L_i, E_j\}_{P.O.} = G_{ijk} E_k \xrightarrow{QM} [\hat{L}_i, \hat{E}_j] = i\hbar G_{ijk} \hat{E}_k$

or, for any vector \vec{V} under rotations:

$$\{L_i, V_j\}_{P.O.} = G_{ijk} E_k \xrightarrow{QM} [\hat{L}_i, \hat{V}_j] = i\hbar G_{ijk} \hat{V}_k$$

Rotation Operator in QM

For translations: $\hat{T}(a)\psi(x) = \psi(x-a)$, we had

$$\hat{T}(a) = e^{-i/\hbar a \hat{p}} \approx (1 - i/\hbar a \hat{p}) \text{ for small } a.$$

similarly, we expect $\hat{R}_z(\theta) = e^{-i/\hbar \theta \hat{L}_z} \approx (1 - i/\hbar \theta \hat{L}_z)$

$$\text{check: } \hat{R}_z(\epsilon)\psi(x,y,z) \approx \psi - i/\hbar \epsilon (x \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \psi \\ = \psi - \epsilon x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + \epsilon y \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$$

$$\text{or } \hat{R}_z(\epsilon)\psi(x,y,z) \approx \psi(x+\epsilon y, y-\epsilon x, z) \\ = \psi(x \cos(-\epsilon) - \sin(-\epsilon)y, y \cos(\epsilon) + x \sin(\epsilon), z)$$

a rotation through $\theta = -\epsilon$

How about the operator transformations - what happens to $\hat{O}(\vec{r}, \vec{p})$ under a rotation? (starting w/ z-axis rot. as an example)

$$\hat{O} = \hat{R}_z^\dagger \hat{O} \hat{R}_z \approx (1 + i/\hbar \theta \hat{L}_z) \hat{O} (1 - i/\hbar \theta \hat{L}_z) \\ = \hat{O} + i/\hbar \theta [\hat{L}_z, \hat{O}] + O(\theta^2)$$

$$\text{example: } \hat{O} = \hat{x}, \quad \hat{x}' = \hat{x} + i/\hbar \theta [\hat{L}_z, \hat{x}] = \hat{x} \hat{p}_y - \hat{y} \hat{p}_x \\ = \hat{x} - i/\hbar \theta ([\hat{x} \hat{p}_y, \hat{x}] - [\hat{y} \hat{p}_x, \hat{x}]) \\ = \hat{x} - i/\hbar \theta (\hat{y} [\hat{p}_y, \hat{x}]) \\ = \hat{x} - \theta \hat{y}$$

$$\text{and sim, } \hat{y}' = \hat{y} + \theta \hat{x}, \quad \hat{z}' = \hat{z}$$

so the QM vector operators respond to a rotation (about the z-axis) in the same way as the CM vector components.

The association:

$$\{L_i, V_j\}_{CM} = \epsilon_{ijk} V_k \xrightarrow{QM} [\hat{L}_i, \hat{V}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{V}_k (1)$$

is, then, justified.

Spherically Symmetric Hamiltonians (QM)

$$\text{For } \hat{H} = \hat{p}^2/2m + U(r)$$

we showed that for vector operator \vec{p} ,

$$[\hat{L}_z, \hat{p}^2] = 0 \text{ using (1) (w/ } \vec{V} = \vec{p})$$

to see that $[\hat{L}_z, U] = 0$, note that

$$\bar{U} \equiv \hat{R}_z^\dagger U \hat{R}_z = U(r) \quad (r=r) \\ \therefore \text{then: } [U, \hat{R}_z] = 0 \text{ (}\hat{R}_z \text{ is unitary).}$$

For the infinitesimal: $\hat{R}_z \approx (1 - i/\hbar \theta \hat{L}_z)$, then

$$[U, 1 - i/\hbar \theta \hat{L}_z] = 0 = [U, 1] - i/\hbar \theta [U, \hat{L}_z] \\ + [U, \hat{L}_z] = 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{L}_z, \hat{H}] = \frac{1}{2m} [\hat{L}_z, \hat{p}^2] + [\hat{L}_z, U] = 0 \\ \text{(last term) } \quad \text{0 (this time)}$$

$\hat{L}_z + \hat{H}$ commute, so we can find simultaneous eigenstates of both.

Sim, $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{H}] = 0$, \therefore the Hydrogenic. Indim are eigenstates of: $\hat{H}, \hat{L}_z, \hat{L}^2$.