

Infinitesimal Transformations

Both rotations & boosts can be written in terms of infinitesimal parameters. The inf. transformation takes the form

$$\bar{x}^\mu = x^\mu + \epsilon M^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu$$

inf. param $\epsilon \ll 1$ matrix w/ ± 1 or 0 as entries

How does Φ respond?

$$\Phi(x^\mu + \epsilon M^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu) \approx \Phi(x^\mu) + \underbrace{\epsilon M^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu}_{\equiv \Theta} \partial_\mu \Phi$$

& sim., $K^\mu = \epsilon M^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu \mathcal{L}$.

The conserved current is:

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \Phi)} \Theta - K^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \Phi)} \epsilon M^\rho{}_\nu x^\nu \partial_\rho \Phi - \epsilon M^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu \mathcal{L} \\ &= \epsilon M^\rho{}_\nu x^\nu \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \Phi)} \partial_\rho \Phi - \delta^\mu{}_\rho \mathcal{L} \right] \\ &\equiv T^\mu{}_\rho \text{ the stress-tensor from last time.} \end{aligned}$$

so $J^\mu = \epsilon M^\rho{}_\nu x^\nu T^\mu{}_\rho = \epsilon M_{\rho\nu} [x^\nu T^{\mu\rho}]$

For rotations, $M_{\rho\nu} = -M_{\nu\rho}$ ("matrix" is antisymmetric) & we have:

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon M_{\rho\nu} [x^\nu T^{\mu\rho} - x^\rho T^{\mu\nu}]$$

↑ only antisym in $\rho \leftrightarrow \nu$ part of $x^\nu T^{\mu\rho}$ survives the sum.

Vector Field Action

(I)

$$S[A_\mu] = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{L}(A, \partial A) d^4x$$

assume the same action structure - but now the \mathcal{L} depends on A_μ & $\partial_\mu A_\nu$.

The δ -extremizing "Euler-Lagrange" eqns are just 4 copies of

$$-\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \Phi)} \right) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi} = 0$$

For A_α ($\alpha=0,1,2,3$):

$$-\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} \right) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\alpha} = 0$$

That's the set of four ($\alpha=0 \rightarrow 3$) field eqns. How about \mathcal{L} - how should we construct the action?

Model Building Guidelines

Our target field eqns have the following desirable properties - in order of importance.

1. Field eqns should be Lorentz-covariant (same form in L & \bar{L}) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ should be a scalar (no "open" indices).
2. Field eqns should not make reference to lower-rank fields $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ should not depend on lower-rank contributions.

A vector, like A^μ is a "1st rank" (1-index) field.

this rule suggests that we do not want \mathcal{L} to depend on 0-rank (no indices), scalar, fields.

Makes sense - we already have a theory of scalar fields, keep 'em separate.

2. Field eqns should be 2nd order in space + time derivatives $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ depends on at most 1st derivs of the field.

the motivation here is one of familiarity - all of the field eqns we know (to love) are 2nd order: $\square V = \rho_{ext}$.

4. Field eqns should be linear in the fields, so \mathcal{L} should be at most quadratic in the fields + their derivatives.

this one is for simplicity - linear field eqns support superposition.

It's often the case that field eqns "become" nonlinear "later on" (when we smash them together), so our target starting point will be linear versions.

Let's think about the available terms for the field eqns:

$\partial^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu, \partial_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu, A^\mu$

The most general field eqn. we could make is:

$\alpha \partial^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu + \beta \partial_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu + \sigma A^\mu = 0 \quad (*)$

for constants $\{\alpha, \beta, \sigma\}$.

(*) is linear + at most 2nd derivatives appear, it holds in both L + E.

How about "requirement" 2. - does (*) constrain scalar fields?

How might a scalar field be disguised as a vector field?

$A^\mu = \partial^\mu \phi$

idea - put $A^\mu = \partial^\mu \phi$ in to (*) + require that the result vanish, "0=0"

$\alpha \partial^\mu \partial_\nu (\partial^\nu \phi) + \beta \partial_\nu \partial^\nu \partial^\mu \phi + \sigma \partial^\mu \phi = 0$
 $= \partial_\nu \partial^\nu \partial^\mu \phi$ (same term)

so $(\alpha + \beta) \partial_\nu \partial^\nu \partial^\mu \phi + \sigma \partial^\mu \phi = 0$

If we want the LHS to vanish, we need $\sigma = 0, \beta = -\alpha$ + our field eqn. becomes:

$\alpha [\partial^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu - \partial_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu] = 0 \quad (+)$

This is our target field eqn. - we can use it to construct the \mathcal{L} - there is no A^μ term, so we know that

$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A^\mu} = 0$

we can write (+) as:

$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\nu [\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu]$

looks familiar?

From the form of the field eqn:

$$-\partial_\nu \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \right] + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\mu} = 0$$

we have:

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{[\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu]}_{\text{antisymmetric in } \mu \leftrightarrow \nu} \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

so keep only the antisymmetric piece of " $\partial_\nu A_\mu$,"
i.e.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} [\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu] \cdot [\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu]$$

the combination $F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$ is called the "field strength tensor"

It is made out of the "direct product" of 2 vectors:

$$\partial^\mu \text{ w/ } \delta^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \delta^\nu \rightarrow A^\nu \text{ w/ } \bar{A}^\nu = \Lambda^\nu_\rho A^\rho$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow F^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu \bar{A}^\nu - \partial^\nu \bar{A}^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\sigma \Lambda^\nu_\rho \partial^\sigma A^\rho - \Lambda^\nu_\rho \Lambda^\mu_\sigma \partial^\sigma A^\rho \\ &= \Lambda^\mu_\sigma \Lambda^\nu_\rho F^{\sigma\rho} \quad \text{a "2nd rank tensor" transformation.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{L} \propto F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ is a scalar, w/ field eqns proportional to (+):

$$\partial_\nu [\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu] = 0$$

By construction, $A^\mu \rightarrow A^\mu + \partial^\mu \phi$ does not change the field eqns (we projected out that part), so

we can pick ϕ so that $\partial_\mu A^\mu$ has $\partial_\mu A^\mu = U$,

$$\bar{A}^\mu = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \phi \quad \text{has } \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\mu = 0 \quad (\text{Lorentz gauge})$$

by solving:

$$\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\mu = 0 = \partial_\mu A^\mu + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi$$

$$U + \square \phi = 0 \Rightarrow \phi = \int_{\text{all space}} G(x^\mu, x'^\mu) U(x'^\mu) d^4x'$$

Green's function
integral solution

then in terms of \bar{A}^μ , the field eqn, is:

$$\partial_\nu [\partial^\mu \bar{A}^\nu - \partial^\nu \bar{A}^\mu] = 0$$

$$\partial^\mu \partial_\nu \bar{A}^\nu - \square \bar{A}^\mu = 0 \quad \text{the wave eqn, again}$$