

Scalar Field Action

We find

$$S[\phi] = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial\phi) d^4x$$



where Ω is a space-time domain, & ϕ is specified on its boundary $\partial\Omega$.

Send $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \psi$ for "small" ψ w/

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

the action responds:

$$S[\phi + \psi] = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(\phi + \psi, \partial\phi + \partial\psi) d^4x$$

$$\approx \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial\phi) d^4x}_{= S[\phi]} + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \psi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \partial_{\mu} \psi \right] d^4x}_{\equiv \delta S}$$

we want to "extremize" the action: $\delta S = 0 \quad \forall \psi$

but first, we have to rewrite δS in terms of ψ by itself (not its deris)

Div. thm. $\int_{\Omega} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu} d^4x = \oint_{\partial\Omega} F^{\mu} da_{\mu}$

let $F^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \psi$, then the bndry term vanishes since $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

The product rule gives:

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \psi \right) d^4x = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \partial_{\mu} \psi + \int_{\Omega} \psi \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \right) d^4x$$

& the whole thing is = 0, so

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \partial_{\mu} \psi d^4x = - \int_{\Omega} \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \right) \psi d^4x$$

& we can write:

$$\delta S = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \right) \right] \psi d^4x$$

so if we require $\delta S = 0 \quad \forall \psi$, we get the field eqn:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \right) = 0$$

Example: For

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi$$

we have $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0$ $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} = \partial^{\mu} \phi$

so that: $-\partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \right) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0 \Rightarrow -\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi = 0$

or $\square \phi = 0$ as expected/desired

Equivalent Lagrangians

In CM, 2 Lagrangians L_1, L_2 give the same eqns of motion if they differ by a total time derivative:

$$L_2 = L_1 + \frac{dk}{dt}$$

\uparrow
 $= \frac{dk}{dx} \cdot \dot{x}$

(you showed this for homework) - you can see why by returning to the action setup:

$$S_1[x] = \int_0^T L_1(x, \dot{x}) dt$$

$$S_2[x] = \int_0^T L_1(x, \dot{x}) dt + \int_0^T \frac{dk}{dt} dt$$

$$= S_1[x] + \underbrace{k(T) - k(0)}_{\text{a \# indep. of } x(t)}$$

There's nothing new to vary (i.e. $x \rightarrow x + \delta x$ doesn't change this term).

Similarly, 2 field Lagrangians give the same field equations if they differ by a total divergence:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 + \partial_\mu K^\mu$$

since the action is:

$$S_2 = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}_2 d^4x = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}_1 d^4x + \int_{\Omega} \partial_\mu K^\mu d^4x$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_\mu K^\mu d^4x = \oint_{\partial\Omega} K^\mu d\sigma_\mu$$

again, a boundary term that does not contribute to the variation in the bulk.

Noether's Theorem for Fields

Suppose we have $\mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial\phi)$, & the associated field eqn.s.

Noether: If there is a field transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi + \theta$$

that leaves the field eqn.s unchanged, then there is a "conservation law" (symmetry \Rightarrow conservation)

sketch of proof: since the field eqn.s are the same, the Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ & $\mathcal{L}(\phi + \theta)$ must be related by a total divergence:

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi + \theta) = \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \partial_\mu K^\mu \quad (*)$$

Taylor expansion on the left gives:

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi + \theta) \approx \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} \theta + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\mu \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{still have } \partial\phi + \partial\theta \text{ dep.} \\ & \text{just omitted for clarity} \\ & \approx \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_\mu \phi)} \theta \right) - \underbrace{\theta \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_\mu \phi)} \right)}_{=0 \text{ by the field eqn.}} + \theta \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} \end{aligned}$$

So we have $\mathcal{L}(\phi+\theta) \approx \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \theta \right)$ (*)

equating (*) + (*), we learn that:

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \theta \right) = \partial_\mu K^\mu$$

or

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \theta - K^\mu \right) = 0$$

$$\text{let } J^\mu \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \theta - K^\mu$$

$$\text{has } \partial_\mu J^\mu = 0 \quad - \text{for } J^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} J^0 \\ \vec{J} \end{pmatrix} \quad \partial_\mu \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial J^0}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0$$

$$\text{or } \frac{\partial J^0}{\partial t} = - \nabla \cdot \vec{J}$$



$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_V \frac{J^0}{c} d\tau = - \oint_{\partial V} \vec{J} \cdot d\vec{a}$$

(ordinary) 3-vol. J^0 is the conserved "charge" w/ \vec{J} the "current"