

## Assignment 5

Physics 321  
Electrodynamics I

Due on Friday, September 20th, 2024

Class date: September 13th, 2024.

Reading: pp. 43–50, Dirac delta function, pp. 57-60, charges and the electric field.

### Problem 1

You showed that the curl of the vector field

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{-y\hat{\mathbf{x}} + x\hat{\mathbf{y}}}{x^2 + y^2} \quad (1)$$

was zero in Problem Set 2. That was surprising since  $\mathbf{A}$  clearly “curls” around the origin. Use Stokes’ theorem in cylindrical coordinates to express the curl of  $\mathbf{A}$  in terms of the two-dimensional delta function:  $\delta^2(x, y) = \delta(x)\delta(y)$ .

### Problem 2

Using the symmetric form of the Dirac delta distribution:  $\delta(-x) = \delta(x)$ , what is

$$\int_0^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = ? \quad (2)$$

### Problem 3

Griffiths 1.46 – Derivatives of the Dirac delta distribution and the step function. Note that to show that an expression behaves like a delta function, you can use the “definition” in (1.92), i.e.  $g(x)$  “is” a Dirac delta distribution if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx = f(0) \quad (3)$$

for any  $f(x)$ . For the step function in part b., what must its value at zero be given your result from Problem 2?

### Presentation Problems

Here are two presentation problems – if you are interested in writing one of them up, the writeup will be due on Friday, September 27th (send a pdf to me via e-mail). Instructions for the format of the writeup can be found in the course syllabus, and an example writeup is on the course website.

#### Problem 1\*

Suppose the fundamental force between two charges  $q$  and  $Q$  looked like:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{kqQ}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (4)$$

where  $k$  is a constant. The difference here, with respect to the Coulomb force, is that the magnitude only goes like  $1/r$ . Assuming superposition holds, what is the general integral solution for the electric field at location  $\mathbf{r}$  given charge density  $\rho$ ? What is the divergence and curl of this electric field?

#### Problem 2\*

We saw that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho(\mathbf{r})/\epsilon_0$ , and we will typically use this equation to find the electric field,  $\mathbf{E}$ , given a charge density  $\rho$ . But the equation can be “turned around” — given  $\mathbf{E}$ , find the charge distribution that generated it. Give it a shot with the following electric fields:

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad \mathbf{E} = \alpha r \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (5)$$

where  $r$  is the spherical  $r$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  is the spherical basis vector associated with  $r$ ,  $\alpha$  is a constant. Do the charge distributions here make sense?

Next try the following — again, find  $\rho$  from  $\mathbf{E}$ , all coordinates and basis vectors are Cartesian:

$$\mathbf{E} = \alpha z \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad \mathbf{E} = \alpha y \hat{\mathbf{y}} \quad \mathbf{E} = \beta \hat{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{E} = \beta \hat{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (6)$$

In each case,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants. Are the source distributions that generate these electric fields sensible?