
A First Course in Modular Forms: Corrections to the Fourth Printing

August 21, 2018

Chapter 1

- Page 7, line 8: Change “*the modular invariant*” to “*the modular invariant*”.
- Page 10: Part (e) can be added to Exercise 1.1.7 as follows. “The next section will show that $(2\pi)^{-12}\Delta$ has integral Fourier coefficients, so for the duration of this exercise, renormalize the discriminant function Δ so that its first Fourier coefficient is 1. The integer-valued Fourier coefficient function of Δ is called Ramanujan’s τ -function. Because the variable of Δ is also denoted τ and both usages of τ are time-honored, we accept the notation-collision

$$\Delta(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n.$$

Later in this book we will show that the cusp form space $\mathcal{S}_{12}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ is one-dimensional. Thus $E_{12} - cE_6^2 = c\Delta$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Show that $c = 24(1/B_6 - 1/B_{12})$. In light of the known value $B_{12} = -691/2730$ and the value $B_6 = 1/42$ from above, we thus have $-24 \cdot 691/B_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $691c \in -24 \cdot 691/B_{12} + 691\mathbb{Z}$. Explain why the Fourier coefficients of $691(E_{12} - 1)$ and $(-24 \cdot 691/B_{12})\Delta$ are integers that are congruent modulo 691. Deduce Ramanujan’s congruence,

$$\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \pmod{691}, \quad n \geq 1.”$$

Chapter 2

- Page 56, line 4: Change “are a subset” to “form a subset”.

Chapter 3

- Page 80: In the “Conversely...” sentence immediately after the white-space, change “ $\omega(f)$ ” to “ $\omega = \omega(f)$ ”.
- Page 87: Change “ for $k \geq 4$ ” to “, even $k \geq 4$ ” in (3.12).

- Page 88: Exercise 3.5.1 repeats Exercise 3.2.4.
- Page 91: Incidentally Theorem 3.6.1 shows that $\varepsilon_\infty^{\text{reg}}$ is even.
- Page 92: Add a hint prompt to Exercise 3.6.4.

Chapter 4

- Page 129: Add “We will prove the following result in Section 5.2.” before Theorem 4.5.2.
- Page 133: Add “We will prove the following result in Section 5.2.” before Theorem 4.6.2.
- Page 141: Add “We will prove the following result in Section 5.2.” before Theorem 4.8.1.
- In section 4.8 and Exercise 4.8.6, the statement $G_1^{\overline{(-c_v, d_v)}}(\tau) = -G_1^{\overline{(c_v, d_v)}}(\tau)$ when $\bar{c}_v \neq 0$ is wrong; rather, $G_1^{\overline{(-c_v, -d_v)}}(\tau) = -G_1^{\overline{(c_v, d_v)}}(\tau)$ regardless of whether $\bar{c}_v = 0$; because the two vectors $\pm(c_v, d_v)$ describe the same cusp of $\Gamma(N)$, these relations among the Eisenstein series do not support the claimed dimension $\varepsilon_\infty/2$ of the Eisenstein space $\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma(N))$. See the paper *Moduli interpretation of Eisenstein series* by Kamal Khuri-Makdisi (International Journal of Number Theory, vol. 8, no. 3, 2012, pages 715–748), especially Proposition 4.3, for the subtle symmetry of weight 1 Eisenstein series.

Chapter 5

- Page 170, line 1: The first complete sentence should start, “Thus for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)\dots$ ”.
- Page 173: Immediately before Proposition 5.2.3, change “but also” to “but further, $E_k^{\psi, \varphi, t}$ is a T_p -eigenform for all primes $p \nmid N$, and sometimes for all primes p , as follows.”
Immediately after Proposition 5.2.3, change “(Exercise 5.2.5)” to “(Exercise 5.2.5(a–d))”.

After that paragraph, but before the one-sentence paragraph, “The Hecke operators commute,” add the following two paragraphs:

“Let N and k be positive integers, and let χ be a character modulo N . If $k \geq 3$, let $A_{N,k}(\chi)$ be the set of triples (ψ, φ, t) such that ψ and φ are primitive Dirichlet characters modulo u and v with $(\psi\varphi)(-1) = (-1)^k$ and $\psi\varphi = \chi$ at level N , and t is a positive integer such that $tuv \mid N$. If $k = 2$, stipulate the additional condition $1 < tuv$, ruling out the triple $(\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{1}_1, 1)$. If $k = 1$, view the characters ψ, φ of any $A_{N,k}(\chi)$ -element as an unordered pair despite the element being written as an ordered triple. With Proposition 5.2.3 in hand, we show that the set

$$\{E_k^{\psi, \varphi, t} : (\psi, \varphi, t) \in A_{N,k}(\chi)\}$$

is linearly independent. To do so, begin by noting the small fact that for $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{C}^*$, if $ab = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}$ and $a+b = \tilde{a}+\tilde{b}$ then $\{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = \{a, b\}$; and further, if

$|\tilde{a}| = |a|$ and $|\tilde{b}| = |b|$ and these values are distinct then $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (a, b)$ (Exercise 5.2.5(e)). Let ψ, φ be the first two entries of an element of $A_{N,k}(\chi)$, and similarly for $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$. Suppose that $\psi(p) + \varphi(p)p^{k-1} = \tilde{\psi}(p) + \tilde{\varphi}(p)p^{k-1}$ for all primes $p \nmid N$. Bring the fact to bear on Proposition 5.2.3, with $a = \psi(p)$, $b = \varphi(p)p^{k-1}$, $\tilde{a} = \tilde{\psi}(p)$, and $\tilde{b} = \tilde{\varphi}(p)p^{k-1}$ for any such p . For $k > 1$, the proposition and the fact show that $\tilde{\psi}(p) = \psi(p)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \varphi(p)$, and so $(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (\psi, \varphi)$ by Dirichlet's theorem on primes in an arithmetic progression. For $k = 1$, the proposition and the fact show that $\{\tilde{\psi}(p), \tilde{\varphi}(p)\} = \{\psi(p), \varphi(p)\}$ for each $p \nmid N$; this doesn't immediately say that one of $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\varphi}$ matches ψ at all such p , but a match does hold—if $(\psi/\tilde{\psi})(p) \neq 1$ for some $p \nmid N$, then $(\psi/\tilde{\varphi})(p) = 1$, so $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ is the union of $\ker(\psi/\tilde{\psi})$ and $\ker(\psi/\tilde{\varphi})$, making the second kernel all of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ (Exercise 5.2.5(f)). Summarizing so far, the eigenvalues of an Eisenstein series $E_k^{\psi, \varphi, t}$ determine the characters ψ and φ , remembering that the pair is unordered for $k = 1$.

Now consider a collection $\{E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}}\}$ of Eisenstein series, with each triple $(\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j})$ in $A_{N,k}(\chi)$ and the pairs (ψ_i, φ_i) distinct. Fix a prime $p \nmid N$. For each i there exists a λ_i such that $T_p E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}} = \lambda_i E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}}$ for all j . The previous paragraph has shown that the λ_i are distinct because the character-pairs are distinct. Fix one i , and let $T_{(i)} = \prod_{i' \neq i} (T_p - \lambda_{i'})$. Thus $T_{(i)}$ dilates each $E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}}$ by the nonzero factor $\prod_{i' \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i'})$, which depends on i but not on j , and $T_{(i)}$ annihilates all $E_k^{\psi_{i'}, \varphi_{i'}, t_{i',j}}$ with $i' \neq i$. Consider a linear relation $\sum_i \sum_j c_{i,j} E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}} = 0$. For each i , applying $T_{(i)}$ to this relation shows that $\sum_j c_{i,j} E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}} = 0$. Also, each $E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}}$ has lowest-order nonconstant term $a_1(E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, 1})q^{t_{i,j}}$, making the $E_k^{\psi_i, \varphi_i, t_{i,j}}$ linearly independent, and so $c_{i,j} = 0$ for all j . Thus the linear relation under consideration is trivial. That is, the set of Eisenstein series $E_k^{\psi, \varphi, t}$ with $(\psi, \varphi, t) \in A_{N,k}(\chi)$ is linearly independent, making it a basis of $\mathcal{E}_k(N, \chi)$. This proves Theorems 4.5.2, 4.6.2, and 4.8.1. The decomposition $\mathcal{E}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi} \mathcal{E}_k(N, \chi)$ from the end of section 4.3 shows that the index set $A_{N,k} = \bigcup_{\chi} A_{N,k}(\chi)$ gives a basis of $\mathcal{E}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$.

- Page 177: Change the beginning of Exercise 5.2.5 to, “Parts (a) through (d) of this exercise prove Proposition 5.2.3. Parts (e) and (f) are used in the discussion of linear independence in the text after the proposition.” Add to the exercise,

“(e) Show that if $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{C}^*$, with $ab = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}$ and $a + b = \tilde{a} + \tilde{b}$ then $\{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = \{a, b\}$; further, if $|\tilde{a}| = |a|$ and $|\tilde{b}| = |b|$ and these values are distinct then $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (a, b)$.

(f) Show that no group is the union of two proper subgroups.”
- Page 200: Add a sentence at the end of Exercise 5.8.6(b): “Note further that the set $\{f(n\tau) : nM \mid N\}$ is linearly independent, because the lowest-order term of each $f(n\tau)$ is q^n .”

Chapter 7

- Page 299, line (-2) : Change $\tau_{P+P'}$ to $\tau_{P+P'}^*$.

Chapter 8

- Page 347: Change $h_{(0)}$ to h in the last display.

Chapter 9

- Page 371: Near the bottom of the page, change “an elliptic curve” to “an elliptic curve E ”, and change “a modular curve” to “a modular curve X ”.
- Page 379: The fifth line of section 9.2 should say “the points of order dividing ℓ^n ”.

Hints and Answers to the Exercises

- Page 417, line 2: Change E_4 to G_4 .
- Page 417: Add a hint to Exercise 3.6.4, as follows. “For $k = 1$, the divisor $\text{div}(\omega)$ is canonical and $[\text{div}(f)] = (1/2)\text{div}(\omega) + \sum_i (1/2)x_i$ and $[\text{div}(f) - \sum_i x_i - \sum_i (1/2)x'_i] = (1/2)\text{div}(\omega) - \sum_i (1/2)x_i$. Use the Riemann–Roch Theorem and its corollary.”
- Page 428, line (-2) : Change 0_E to 0.
- Page 431, solution to 9.3.2: Change “for some maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ” to “for some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{F}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ”.

Index

- Page 444: Add “reduction of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , bad, semistable, 327” to the index.
- Page 445: Add “semistable reduction of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , 327” to the index.