

CAUCHY'S THEOREM FOR SIMPLE CURVES

1. CAUCHY'S THEOREM FOR TRIANGLES

Let Ω be a region, let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be differentiable, and let \mathbb{T} be a triangle in Ω . Here \mathbb{T} is not only three line segments, but also the region that they surround. The three segments, traversed counterclockwise, are denoted T . That is, $T = \partial\mathbb{T}$. Cauchy's Theorem for triangles states that

$$\int_T f(z) dz = 0.$$

Bisect each side of T to get four counterclockwise triangles $T_1^j = \partial\mathbb{T}_1^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, 4$. Then

$$\left| \int_T f(z) dz \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{T_1^j} f(z) dz \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \left| \int_{T_1^j} f(z) dz \right| \leq 4 \left| \int_{T_1} f(z) dz \right|,$$

where T_1 is one of the triangles T_1^j . Iterating the argument shows that for any $n > 0$ we have

$$(1) \quad \left| \int_T f(z) dz \right| \leq 4^n \left| \int_{T_n} f(z) dz \right|,$$

where $T_n = \partial\mathbb{T}_n$ and $\mathbb{T} \supset \mathbb{T}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathbb{T}_n$, each triangle's sides being half as long as those of the triangle before it.

The intersection of all the solid triangles is a single point,

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{T}_n = \{p\}.$$

Indeed, since the triangle diameters shrink by a factor of two at each generation, the intersection can't be more than one point. And since no finite intersection of \mathbb{T}_n 's is empty, the infinite intersection isn't empty either because \mathbb{T} is compact.

The derivative $f'(p)$ exists, meaning that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow p} \frac{\eta(z)}{z - p} = 0 \quad \text{where } \eta(z) = f(z) - f(p) - f'(p)(z - p).$$

By definition of η ,

$$\int_{T_n} f(z) dz = \int_{T_n} (f(p) + f'(p)(z - p) + \eta(z)) dz.$$

But $f(p)$ and $f'(p)(z - p)$ have antiderivatives, and T_n is closed, so that in fact

$$\int_{T_n} f(z) dz = \int_{T_n} \eta(z) dz,$$

and therefore

$$\left| \int_{T_n} f(z) dz \right| \leq \sup_{z \in T_n} \{|\eta(z)|\} \cdot \text{length}(T_n).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For all large enough n we have

$$|\eta(z)| < \varepsilon \cdot |z - p| \quad \text{for all } z \in T_n,$$

so that

$$|\eta(z)| < \varepsilon \cdot \text{length}(T_n) \quad \text{for all } z \in T_n,$$

and consequently,

$$\left| \int_{T_n} f(z) dz \right| \leq \varepsilon \cdot (\text{length}(T_n))^2.$$

But the length of T_n is the length of T divided by 2^n . Therefore, for large enough n ,

$$\left| \int_{T_n} f(z) dz \right| \leq \varepsilon \cdot (\text{length}(T))^2 / 4^n.$$

Combine inequality (1) with these results to get

$$\left| \int_T f(z) dz \right| \leq 4^n \left| \int_{T_n} f(z) dz \right| \leq \varepsilon \cdot (\text{length}(T))^2.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and the length of T is finite, the desired result follows,

$$\int_T f(z) dz = 0.$$

2. CAUCHY'S THEOREM FOR SIMPLE POLYGONS

Let Ω be a region, let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be differentiable, and let \mathbb{P} be a simple polygon in Ω . Here \mathbb{P} is not only the boundary segments, but also the region that they surround. The segments, traversed counterclockwise, are denoted P . That is, $P = \partial\mathbb{P}$. To say that the polygon is *simple* is to say that the only intersection points of the segments are each segment's endpoint and the start-point of the next segment, and the last segment's endpoint and the start-point of the first segment. Cauchy's Theorem for simple polygons states that

$$\int_P f(z) dz = 0.$$

The idea is that if the polygon is convex then it can be dissected into finitely many triangles, making the result immediate. And if the polygon is not convex then a combinatorial argument shows that it can be dissected into finitely many convex polygons.

3. CAUCHY'S THEOREM FOR SIMPLE CURVES

Let Ω be a region, let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be differentiable, and let γ be a simple rectifiable closed curve in Ω whose interior lies in Ω . (A *simple closed* curve is a loop with no self-intersections except that its endpoint is its start-point. A *rectifiable* curve is a curve of finite length. The seemingly self-evident fact that a simple closed curve has an interior and an exterior is the *Jordan Curve Theorem*, not at all trivial to prove. For example, the theorem fails for simple closed curves on a torus rather than in the plane, even though the plane and the torus are indistinguishable in the small; so the proof must make use of something quantifiable that distinguishes the plane from the torus.) Cauchy's Theorem for simple curves states that

$$\int_\gamma f(z) dz = 0.$$

The proof requires a little topology. The first claim is that for some $\rho > 0$, the ρ -thickened version of the curve still lies in the region,

$$(2) \quad \bigcup_{z \in \gamma} \overline{B(z, \rho)} \subset \Omega.$$

(Here $\overline{B(z, \rho)}$ is the *closed* ball about z of radius ρ .) The containment is obvious if Ω is all of \mathbf{C} . Otherwise, the complement Ω^c is nonempty, and so we can define the distance function from the curve to the complement

$$d : \gamma \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^+, \quad d(z) = \inf\{|z - w| : w \in \Omega^c\}.$$

The function is continuous, and γ is compact, and so the function takes a minimum, which is positive. Let this minimum be 2ρ . Then

$$|z - w| \geq 2\rho \quad \text{for all } z \in \gamma \text{ and } w \in \Omega^c,$$

and the desired containment (2) follows.

Let R (for “ribbon”) denote the thickened curve,

$$R = \bigcup_{z \in \gamma} \overline{B(z, \rho)} \subset \Omega.$$

Consider finitely many points $z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n = z_0$ of γ , in order of clockwise traversal, each within distance ρ of the next along the length of γ . Consecutive points must then also be within distance ρ of each other in \mathbf{C} , and so the polygon P with the points as vertices lies in the ribbon R . If we add more points, this will not increase the distances between consecutive points along the curve, and so the resulting new polygon will still lie in R . (But note that we needed to be a bit careful here: the weaker property that consecutive points along the curve be within distance ρ of each other in \mathbf{C} need *not* be preserved under the addition of more points: two points leading in and out of a hairpin turn are close, but the point at the turn is far from them both.)

Consider the sum

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^n f(z_j)(z_j - z_{j-1}).$$

This is a Riemann sum for the curve integral $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ that we want to equal zero, and by taking enough division points z_j we can make S as close to $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ as we wish.

Also, S is a Riemann sum for the polygon integral $\int_P f(z) dz$ that we already know equals zero. However, the argument that adding more division points thus also makes S as close to zero as we wish isn't quite transparent. The problem is that while the curve γ is fixed in this discussion, so that adding points in the previous paragraph refined Riemann sums for the *one particular* integral $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$, adding points also changes the polygon P and hence changes the integral $\int_P f(z) dz$ being approximated by the Riemann sum S . So even though polygon integrals are zero, a little more work is required to show that adding enough points makes S close to zero by making it close to a polygon integral.

The difference between the polygon integral and the sum is

$$\int_P f(z) dz - S = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_{z_{j-1}}^{z_j} f(z) dz - f(z_j)(z_j - z_{j-1}) \right),$$

where the integrals are along the line segments $[z_{j-1}, z_j]$ joining the endpoints. The equality rewrites as

$$\int_P f(z) dz - S = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{z_{j-1}}^{z_j} (f(z) - f(z_j)) dz.$$

As explained above, the polygon P remains in the ribbon R as we add points. Also, the polygon P remains an inscribed polygon of γ as points are added, so that always

$$\text{length}(P) \leq \text{length}(\gamma).$$

Since R is a compact subset of Ω , and since f is continuous on Ω , f is uniformly continuous on R . So, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that for all $z, \tilde{z} \in R$,

$$|z - \tilde{z}| < \delta \implies |f(z) - f(\tilde{z})| < \varepsilon/\text{length}(\gamma).$$

Finally, add enough points to make $|z_j - z_{j-1}| < \delta$ for all j . This puts everything in place for the final calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_P f(z) dz - S \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{z_{j-1}}^{z_j} (f(z) - f(z_j)) dz \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \int_{z_{j-1}}^{z_j} (f(z) - f(z_j)) dz \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{z_{j-1}}^{z_j} |f(z) - f(z_j)| |dz| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{z \in [z_{j-1}, z_j]} \{|f(z) - f(z_j)|\} \cdot |z_j - z_{j-1}| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (\varepsilon/\text{length}(\gamma)) \cdot |z_j - z_{j-1}| \\ &\leq (\varepsilon/\text{length}(\gamma)) \cdot \text{length}(P) \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sum S is arbitrarily close to the curve-integral $\int_\gamma f(z) dz$, and since it is arbitrary close to the polygon integral $\int_P f(z) dz = 0$, Cauchy's Theorem for simple curves follows,

$$\int_\gamma f(z) dz = 0.$$