**Definition 1** Let  $\Gamma$  be a directed graph, and let *s* be a vertex of  $\Gamma$ . A spanning tree of  $\Gamma$  directed into *s*, also called a spanning tree rooted at *s*, is a connected subgraph of  $\Gamma$  containing all of the vertices and such that each vertex has outdegree 1, except for *s*, which has outdegree 0.

Let K be the complete digraph on n + 1 vertices  $V = \{v_0, \ldots, v_n\}$ . The edge set for K is  $V \times V$ . In particular, K has a loop at each vertex. For each directed edge  $(v_i, v_j)$  of K assign an indeterminate  $x_{ij}$ . Define the  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix L by

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \neq i} x_{ik} & \text{for } i = j \\ -x_{ij} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ . Thus, the sum of the columns is the zero vector, and row *i* encodes information about the edges leaving vertex  $v_i$ . Let  $L^{(k)}$  denote the  $n \times n$  submatrix formed by deleting the *k*-th row and column of *L*. For each *k*, let  $T_k$  denote the collection of all directed spanning trees of *K* rooted into  $v_k$ . Thus, if  $\tau \in T_k$ , then for each vertex  $v_i$  there is unique a directed path in  $\tau$  to  $v_k$ . Define the *weight* of  $\tau \in T_k$ , denoted wt( $\tau$ ), to be the product of all  $x_{ij}$  such that  $(v_i, v_j)$  is an edge in  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 2** The determinant of  $L^{(k)}$  is the sum of the weights of all spanning trees directed into  $v_k$ :

$$\det L^{(k)} = \sum_{\tau \in T_k} \operatorname{wt}(\tau).$$

**Proof.** Since a permutation of the vertices induces a corresponding permutation of the rows and columns of L, it suffices to consider the case k = 0. Let  $\Upsilon$  be the collection of all directed graphs on the vertices  $v_0, \ldots, v_n$  in which the outdegree of  $v_0$  is 0 and the outdegree of all other vertices is 1. Starting at any vertex of such a graph and following outgoing edges either leads to a cycle or to  $v_0$ . In this way, there is a connected component associated with each cycle and one connected component which is a directed tree rooted into  $v_0$ . The cycles of  $\gamma \in \Upsilon$  will be identified with elements of

the symmetric group  $S_n$ : a cycle with vertices  $v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}$ , listed in order, is identified with the permutation  $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ .

The elements of  $\Upsilon$  are in one-to-one correspondence with monomials of the form  $x_{1i_1} \ldots x_{ni_n}$  with  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$  by letting  $\gamma \in \Upsilon$  correspond to

$$x_{\gamma} := \prod_{(v_i, v_j) \in E(\gamma)} x_{ij} = x_{1i_1} x_{2i_2} \dots x_{ni_n}.$$

where  $E(\gamma)$  denotes the edges of  $\gamma$ .

We can compute the determinant by the formula

$$\det L^{(0)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) L_{1\pi(1)} \dots L_{n\pi(n)}.$$
 (1)

If  $i \notin \operatorname{Fix}(\pi)$ , then  $L_{i\pi(i)} = -x_{i\pi(i)}$  and otherwise,  $L_{i\pi(i)} = L_{ii} = \sum_{k \neq i} x_{ik}$ . The monomials in the  $x_{ij}$  appearing in the expansion of  $L_{1\pi(1)} \ldots L_{n\pi(n)}$  are exactly those  $x_{\gamma}$  where the graph  $\gamma \in \Upsilon$  includes the nontrivial cycles of  $\pi$  (and possibly more cycles).

For  $\gamma \in \Upsilon$ , what is the coefficient of  $x_{\gamma}$  in the expansion of (1)? We get a contribution  $\pm x_{\gamma}$  from the expansion of each summand of (1) corresponding to a permutation  $\pi$  whose cycles are contained in the collection of cycles of  $\gamma$ . For such a  $\pi$  consider  $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)L_{1\pi(1)}\ldots L_{n\pi(n)}$ . To form  $x_{\gamma}$  we need to choose an indeterminate from each factor  $L_{i\pi(i)}$ . If  $i \in \operatorname{Fix}(\pi)$ , then the choice made does not affect the sign. Group the remaining factors according to the cycles of  $\pi$ . If  $(i_1,\ldots,i_k)$  is a cycle of  $\pi$ , then  $L_{i_1i_2}L_{i_2i_3}\ldots L_{i_ki_1} =$  $(-1)^k x_{i_1i_2} x_{i_2i_3} \ldots x_{i_ki_1}$ . Thus, a factor of -1 is contributed by each even cycle. On the other hand, each odd cycle contributes a factor of -1 when calculating  $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)$ . Hence, if  $\pi$  is the product of t disjoint cycles of  $\gamma$ , then the corresponding summand of (1) contributes  $(-1)^t$  to the coefficient of  $x_{\gamma}$ . In this way, if  $\gamma$  has s > 0 cycles, the coefficient of  $x_{\gamma}$  is seen to be

$$\sum_{t=0}^{s} \binom{s}{t} (-1)^{t} = (1-1)^{s} = 0.$$

That leaves the case where  $\gamma$  has 0 cycles, i.e.,  $\gamma$  is a directed spanning tree directed into  $v_0$ . In that case  $x_{\gamma}$  must come from the summand of (1) corresponding to the identity permutation:

$$L_{11} \dots L_{nn} = (\sum_{k_1 \neq 1} x_{1k_1}) \dots (\sum_{k_n \neq n} x_{nk_n}).$$

Each such  $x_{\gamma}$  arises uniquely in the expansion.

The theorem specializes to the case of any finite, weighted, directed graph by setting  $x_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $(v_i, v_j)$  is not an edge in the graph. For the case of a non-directed graph, we let  $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$  whenever  $(v_i, v_j)$  is an edge. A non-weighted graph is the case where all nonzero weights are 1.

Question: What is the rank of L for a directed graph with positive real weights (a weight of 0 would indicate the absence of an edge)? If there is a spanning tree directed into some vertex, the theorem shows that the rank is then one less than the number of vertices of G. It also easily follows from the theorem that the rank is greater than or equal to one less than the number of vertices in any spanning tree directed into some vertex.