

Math 411 de Rham cohomology

①

Functors

* manifolds \longrightarrow graded, anti-commutative, differential, \mathbb{R} -algebras

$$M \longmapsto \Omega^* M := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k M$$

* manifolds \longrightarrow chain complexes

$M \longmapsto$ de Rham complex for M

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2 M \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

\nwarrow functions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

These are contravariant functors, e.g. $f: M \rightarrow N$ induces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \Omega^0 N & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1 N & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^2 N & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots \\ & & f^* \downarrow & \text{///} & f^* \downarrow & \text{///} & f^* \downarrow & \text{///} & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \Omega^0 M & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1 M & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^2 M & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots \end{array}$$

de Rham cohomology

(2)

k^{th} cohomology group:

$$H^k M = \frac{\ker(\Omega^k M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^{k+1} M)}{\text{im}(\Omega^{k-1} M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^k M)}$$

closed forms = cocycles
exact forms = coboundaries

Note: The cohomology groups measure the exactness of the de Rham complex.

If $w \in \Omega^k M$ is a cocycle, i.e., $dw = 0$, the cohomology class of w is $[w] = w + d(\Omega^{k-1} M)$.

Cocycles $w, \eta \in \Omega^k M$ are cohomologous if $[w] = [\eta]$, i.e., if $w - \eta = d\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega^{k-1} M$.

Example $M = \mathbb{R}$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d} 0$$

ker = constant functions
ker = $\Omega^1 \mathbb{R}$

$$\Omega^0 \mathbb{R} = \text{functions } \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \ker(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}) = \text{constant functions} = \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Omega^1 \mathbb{R} = \{ \underline{f(x) dx} \}$$

any function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$H^0 \mathbb{R} = \frac{\ker(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \mathbb{R})}{\text{im}(0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 \mathbb{R})} = \frac{\text{constant functions}}{(0)} \cong \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

$$H^1 \mathbb{R} = \frac{\ker(\Omega^1 \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0)}{\text{im}(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R})} = \frac{\Omega^1 \mathbb{R}}{\Omega^1 \mathbb{R}} = 0$$

What is $\text{im}(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R})$? Given $f \in \Omega^0 \mathbb{R}$, define $g(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$.

Then $g \in \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}$ and $dg = f(x) dx$. Thus, $\text{im}(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}) = \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}$

Example $M = \mathbb{R}^3$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \Omega^2 \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \Omega^3 \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow 0$$

$$f \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz$$

$$f_1 dx + f_2 dy + f_3 dz \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} \right) dx \wedge dy + \left(\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} \right) dx \wedge dz + \left(\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} \right) dy \wedge dz$$

$$f_1 dx \wedge dy - f_2 dx \wedge dz + f_3 dy \wedge dz \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} \right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

Notice the close relation of these maps to grad, curl, and div, respectively.

$$H^0 \mathbb{R}^3 = \frac{\ker(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}^3)}{\text{im}(\sigma \rightarrow \Omega^0 \mathbb{R}^3)} = \ker(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}^3)$$

(4)

Let $f \in \Omega^0 \mathbb{R}$. Then $df = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} dz$, and

$\text{grad } f = \nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} \right)$. If $\nabla f = 0$, then $f = \text{constant}$.

(Proof: For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$, define $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ by $\gamma(t) = f(tp)$. By the chain rule $\gamma'(t) = \nabla f(tp) \cdot p = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma$ constant $\Rightarrow f(0) = \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = f(p)$. Hence, $f(p) = f(0) \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^3$.)

Thus, $\ker(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}^3) \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $H^0 \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}$.

$$H^1 \mathbb{R}^3 = \frac{\ker(\Omega^1 \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Omega^2 \mathbb{R}^3)}{\text{im}(\Omega^0 \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \mathbb{R}^3)}$$

It turns out that every vector field $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\text{curl } F = \nabla \times F = \vec{0}$ has a potential, i.e., a function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nabla \phi = F$.

To define $Q(p)$, pick any curve $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\alpha(0) = \vec{0}$ and $\alpha(1) = p$. Then define $Q(p) = \int_{\alpha} F := \int_0^1 F(\alpha(t)) \cdot \alpha'(t) dt = \text{flow of } F \text{ along } \alpha$. ⑤

If $\text{curl } F = 0$, then the definition of $Q(p)$ is independent of the particular γ chosen (by Stokes', almost).

The upshot is that $H^1 \mathbb{R}^3 = 0$. It turns out that

Thm.
$$H^k \mathbb{R}^n = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf/ Hmm... To come \square

Goal: Compute $H^k M$'s.

⑥

Thm. $H^* M := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^k M$ is a graded, anti-commutative algebra via the wedge product. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} H^r M \times H^s M &\longrightarrow H^{r+s} M \\ ([w], [\eta]) &\longmapsto [w \wedge \eta] \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined.

Pf/ First note that if w and η are cocycles, then so is $w \wedge \eta$:

$$d(w \wedge \eta) = dw \wedge \eta \pm w \wedge d\eta = 0. \text{ Next, let } \tilde{w} \in \Omega^{r-1} M \text{ and } \tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^{s-1} M. \text{ Then}$$

$$(w + d\tilde{w}) \wedge (\eta + d\tilde{\eta}) = w \wedge \eta + w \wedge d\tilde{\eta} + d\tilde{w} \wedge \eta + d\tilde{w} \wedge d\tilde{\eta}.$$

We need to show $w \wedge d\tilde{\eta}$, $d\tilde{w} \wedge \eta$, and $d\tilde{w} \wedge d\tilde{\eta}$ are coboundaries.

By the product rule, $d(w \wedge \tilde{\eta}) = dw \wedge \tilde{\eta} \pm w \wedge d\tilde{\eta} = \pm w \wedge d\tilde{\eta}$ since w is a cycle. Hence, $w \wedge d\tilde{\eta}$ and, similarly, $d\tilde{w} \wedge \eta$ are coboundaries. Finally, $d(\tilde{w} \wedge d\tilde{\eta}) = d\tilde{w} \wedge d\tilde{\eta} \pm \tilde{w} \wedge d^2\tilde{\eta} = d\tilde{w} \wedge d\tilde{\eta}$. \square

(7)

Prop. H' is a contravariant functor.

Pf/ HW. \square