

Thm 4.6 Fix $q \in V$. There is a bijection:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underbrace{AO(q)}_{\substack{\text{acyclic orientations} \\ \text{with unique source } q}} & \longrightarrow & \text{max'l superstable} \\ \varnothing & \longmapsto & c(\varnothing) = \sum_{v \in \tilde{V}} (\text{indeg}_{\varnothing}(v) - 1) v. \end{array}$$

Pf/ (continued from last time) We have seen that $\forall \varnothing \in AO(q)$ that $c(\varnothing)$ is superstable. [Order the vertices using any linear ordering refining the partial ordering determined by $\varnothing$. Then the vertices may be removed in this order when performing Dhar's algorithm.]

Next, we introduce an algorithm producing a mapping:

$$\alpha: \text{superstables} \rightarrow \text{AO}(q)$$

[If c superstable, run Dhar and when S is fired and vertex v is about to be removed from S , direct all edges $\{w, v\} \in E$ with $w \notin S$ towards v . In the end, we get $\varnothing \in \text{AO}(q)$ with $c(v) < \text{indeg}_{\varnothing}(v)$. Therefore,

$$c \leq \alpha(\alpha(c)). \quad (\star)$$

If c is a max'l superstable, then $c = \alpha(\alpha(c))$.

Now consider $\alpha(\alpha(\varnothing))$ for some $\varnothing \in \text{AO}(q)$. Let $c := \alpha(\varnothing)$.

By $(\star)$, we have

$$\text{indeg}_{\varnothing}(v) \leq \text{indeg}_{\alpha(c)}(v) \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Therefore,

$$\# E = \sum_{v \in V} \text{indeg}_\sigma(v) \leq \sum_{v \in V} \text{indeg}_{\sigma(c)}(v) = \# E,$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{indeg}_\sigma(v) = \text{indeg}_{\sigma(c)}(v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \sigma(\sigma(c)).$$

So σ is the inverse of σ restricted to maximal superstable. $\square$

Cor. Let $g := \#E - \#V + 1$ the **genus** or **cycle rank** of G .

- (1) A superstable c is max'l iff $\deg(c) = g$.
- (2) D is a maximal unwinnable iff the g -reduced form of D is $c - g$ where c is a maximal superstable.
- (3) The correspondence $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow D(\mathcal{O})$ is a bijection between acyclic orientations with unique source q and g -reduced maximal unwinnable divisors.
- (4) If D is a maximal unwinnable divisor, then $\deg D = g - 1$.
So if $\deg D \geq g$, then D is winnable.

Pf/ ⁽¹⁾ The maximal superstable have the form $c(\mathcal{O})$ for some a.o w/! source $\mathcal{O}$. Then $\deg c(\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{v \in \tilde{V}} (\text{indeg}_{\mathcal{O}}(v) - 1) = \sum_{v \in \tilde{V}} \text{indeg}_{\mathcal{O}}(v) - \sum_{v \in \tilde{V}} 1$
 $= \#E - \#V + 1$.

(2) $(\Rightarrow)$ Straightforward.

$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $D = c - q$ w/ c a max'd superstable. Then D is unwinnable. To show it's max'd unwinnable, take $v \in V$. If $v = q$, then $D + v = c$ is winnable (already won!). If $v \neq q$,

$D + v = (c + v) + q$ with $c + v \in \text{Config}(G, q)$. But we've part (1)

says $\deg(c + v) = g + 1$ and the stabilization of $c + v$ has degree $\leq g$.

Hence, computing the q -reduced form of $D + v$ by stabilizing $c + v$ sends at least one dollar to q , winning the dollar game.

(3) + (4) follow easily from (1) and (2). $\square$