

Math 332 HW 10 solutions

1. a) Suppose $1 = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i f_i$ for some $g_i \in R$. Then if $f_1(p) = \dots = f_m(p) = 0$,

it follows that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(p) f_i(p) = 0$. Thus, $Z(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$.

We don't need to use the fact that k is algebraically closed here.

Conversely, suppose $Z(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{I}(Z(f_1, \dots, f_m)) = \mathcal{I}(\emptyset)$

$\Rightarrow \text{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_m) = R \Rightarrow 1 \in \text{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_m) \Rightarrow 1^m = 1 \in (f_1, \dots, f_m)$

$\Rightarrow \exists g_i$ s.t. $1 = \sum g_i f_i$. (Here, k must be algebraically closed.)

For instance, over \mathbb{R} , $Z(x^2+1) = \emptyset$, yet $1 \notin (x^2+1)$.

b) First we show that $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ is a maximal ideal in $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Define
$$\phi: k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k$$
$$f \mapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

Then $x_i - a_i \in \ker \phi$ for all i , so there is an induced map

$$\tilde{\phi} : \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)} \rightarrow k. \quad \text{Claim: } \tilde{\phi} \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

The inverse is $\psi: k \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$.

$$t \mapsto t$$

This is because in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (since $x_i = a_i \forall i$ in this ring).

Since $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ is isomorphic to field, $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ is maximal.

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ is a maximal ideal. Then \mathfrak{m} is prime, hence radical. By the Nullstellensatz, $I(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})) = \mathfrak{m}$. Note that

$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \emptyset$ since then $I(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})) = R \neq \mathfrak{m}$. So let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})$.

Then $\{a\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m}) \Rightarrow I(\{a\}) \supseteq I(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})) = \mathfrak{m}$. But $x_i - a_i \notin I(\{a\})$

$$\forall i \Rightarrow x_i - a_i \in \mathfrak{m} \quad \forall i \Rightarrow (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}.$$

However, we've just seen $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ is maximal. Thus,

$$(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) = \mathcal{M}. \quad \square$$

To see the result does not hold when k is not algebraically closed, note that $(x^2 + 1)$ is maximal in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ since $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and \mathbb{C} is a field. \square

$$f(x) \mapsto f(i)$$

$$c) \quad Z(I) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{rad}(I) = I(\emptyset) = R \Rightarrow 1 \in \text{rad } I \Rightarrow 1 \in I \Rightarrow I = R.$$

If k is not algebraically closed, the result does not necessarily hold.

Consider $I = (x^2 + 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$.

2. $I = (x^2 + y - 3, xy^2 + 2x, y^3)$. Suppose $(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ with

$y^3 = 0$, $xy^2 + 2x = 0$, and $x^2 + y - 3 = 0$. Then $y^3 = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0$. The second equation then gives $2x = 0$ and the third gives $x^2 = 3$.

If $2 \neq 0$ we get $x=0$ and $x=3$, a contradiction if $3 \neq 0$.

So if the characteristic of the field is not 2 or 3, we get $Z(I) = \emptyset$. Hence, if in addition k is algebraically closed, $1 \in I$.

Note that over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(1,0)$ is a solution to all 3 equations.

In this case, $1 \notin I$ (since 1 does not vanish at $(1,0)$).

A similar argument works over $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.

3 (a) If $k = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime p , then $x^p - x \in I(A'_k)$.

(b) Suppose k is infinite. Then $I(A_k^n) = 0$.

PF/ We do this by induction on n . First suppose $n=1$, and let $f \in I(A'_k)$.

Since a nonzero polynomial in one variable has only a finite number of zeroes, and since A'_k is infinite, $f=0$. Now suppose $n>1$ and $f \in I(A_k^n)$. Write

$f = \sum_{i=0}^d f_i(x_2, \dots, x_n) x_1^i$. Let $p \in A_k^n$. Then $\tilde{f}(x_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{i=0}^d f_i(p_2, \dots, p_n) x_1^i$ is a polynomial in one variable with infinitely many zeroes. Hence, $\tilde{f} = 0$.

It follows that $f_i(p_2, \dots, p_n) = 0 \quad \forall i, \forall (p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}$. By induction, $f_i = 0 \quad \forall i$.
 Hence, $f = 0$. \square

$$4 \text{ (a)} \quad k[h, v, w] \longrightarrow k[x, y]$$

$$u \longmapsto y - x^2$$

$$v \longmapsto xy$$

$$w \longmapsto x^3 + 2y^2$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(y - x^3, z - xy)} \longrightarrow k[t]$$

$$x \longmapsto t$$

$$y \longmapsto t^3$$

$$z \longmapsto t^4$$

$$5 \text{ (a)} \quad \mathbb{A}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$$

$$t \longmapsto (t^2 - 1, t(t^2 - 1))$$

$$(b) \quad \mathbb{A}_{x, y, z}^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}(xy - z) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(s^2 - w, sw - tu) \cong \mathbb{A}_{s, t, u, w}^4$$

$$(x, y, z) \longmapsto (xy, yz, xz, z^2)$$

$$6. \quad \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(y-x^3, z-xy)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} k[t] \quad \text{has inverse} \quad k[t] \xrightarrow{\Psi} \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(y-x^3, z-xy)}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \longmapsto & t \\ y & \longmapsto & t^3 \\ z & \longmapsto & t^4 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} t & \longmapsto & x \end{array}$$

Pf/ Since these are k -algebra homomorphisms, it suffices to show the generators of fixed by $\Phi \circ \Psi$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi$. First, $(\Phi \circ \Psi)(t) = \Phi(x) = t$. Next,

$$(\Psi \circ \Phi)(x) = \Psi(x) = t; \quad (\Psi \circ \Phi)(y) = \Psi(t^3) = x^3 = y; \quad (\Psi \circ \Phi)(z) = \Psi(t^4) = x^4.$$

Then, since $y = x^3$ and $z = xy$ in $k[x, y, z]/(y-x^3, z-xy)$, we get $z = x^4$. So,

$$(\Psi \circ \Phi)(z) = z. \quad \square$$

7 (a) Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, and let $Y \supseteq X$ be a closed set. Thus, $Y = Z(J)$ for some ideal J . Then, $Y \supseteq X \Rightarrow I(Y) \subseteq I(X) \Rightarrow Z(I(Y)) \supseteq Z(I(X))$, and since Y is an algebraic set, $Y = Z(I(Y))$. Hence, $Y \supseteq Z(I(X))$. \square

(b) Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be a non-empty Zariski open set. So $U = X^c$ for some algebraic set $X \subsetneq \mathbb{A}^n$. Now use the fact that \mathbb{A}^n is irreducible:

$$A^n = \bar{U} \cup X \text{ and } X \not\subset A^n \Rightarrow A^n = \bar{U}. \quad \square$$

(c) The closure of $\{(n,n) \in A^2 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the line $L = Z(y-x)$.
One way to see this is to note that L is isomorphic to A^1 :

$$\begin{array}{l} A^1 \rightarrow L \\ t \mapsto (t,t) \\ x \mapsto (x,y) \end{array} \quad \text{or algebraically,} \quad \begin{array}{l} k[x,y] \rightarrow k[t] \\ \frac{}{y-x} \\ x \mapsto t \\ y \mapsto t \end{array}$$

We've already seen that the closed subsets of A^1 are \emptyset , A^1 , and finite sets of points (since non-zero polynomials in $k[x]$ have a finite number of zeros). The closure of $\{(n,n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has infinitely many points, hence must be all of L .

(d) The closure of $U = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a^2 + b^2 < 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is \mathbb{R}^2 .

To see this, note that every line through the origin contains infinitely many points of U . Arguing as in the previous problem, we see that \bar{U} contains each line through the origin. Hence, $\bar{U} = \mathbb{R}^2$.