

THREE THEOREMS BY EULER

Theorem 1. The number e is irrational.

Proof. Euler discovered that $e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$, and used that to prove that e is irrational. To start, we can at least see that e is an integer since

$$2 = 1 + \frac{1}{1!} < e = 1 + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \frac{1}{4!} + \cdots < 1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \cdots\right) = 3.$$

Now, for the sake of contradiction, suppose that e is rational, and write $e = \frac{p}{q}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Since e is not an integer, $q > 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{q} = e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} &\implies e - \sum_{n=0}^q \frac{1}{n!} = \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \\ &\implies q! \left(e - \sum_{n=0}^q \frac{1}{n!} \right) = \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{q!}{n!} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The expression on the left-hand side of (1),

$$q! \left(e - \sum_{n=0}^q \frac{1}{n!} \right),$$

is an integer since $e = \frac{p}{q}$ and $\frac{q!}{n!} \in \mathbb{Z}$ when $q \geq n$. We conclude that the right-hand side of (1) is also an integer:

$$\sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{q!}{n!} &= \frac{q!}{(q+1)!} + \frac{q!}{(q+2)!} + \frac{q!}{(q+3)!} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{1}{q+1} + \frac{1}{(q+2)(q+1)} + \frac{1}{(q+3)(q+2)(q+1)} + \cdots \\ &< \frac{1}{q+1} + \frac{1}{(q+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(q+1)^3} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{q+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{q+1} \right)^n \\
&= \frac{1}{q+1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q+1}} && \text{(geometric series formula)} \\
&= \frac{1}{q} < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Contradicting the fact that this sum is an integer. □

Theorem 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$

Proof. We first recall some basic algebra. If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n with real or complex coefficients, then it will have n (not necessarily distinct) roots, r_1, \dots, r_n .¹ We can then write

$$P(z) = k(z - r_1) \cdots (z - r_n)$$

for some constant k . If no $r_i = 0$, we can rewrite this expression as

$$P(z) = (-1)^n k r_1 \cdots r_n \left(1 - \frac{z}{r_1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{z}{r_n}\right).$$

Now further assume that $P(0) = 1$. We then have

$$1 = P(0) = (-1)^n k r_1 \cdots r_n,$$

and, thus,

$$P(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{r_1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{z}{r_n}\right). \tag{2}$$

Consider $\sin(z) = z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} - \cdots$, and divide by z to define

$$P(z) = 1 - \frac{z^2}{3!} + \frac{z^4}{5!} - \cdots \tag{3}$$

so that $P(z) = \frac{\sin(z)}{z}$ for $z \neq 0$, and at $z = 0$, we have.

$$P(0) = 1 = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(z)}{z}.$$

¹By *roots* we mean $P(r_k) = 0$ for each k .

Since $\sin(k\pi)$ is zero for all integers k , it follows that $P(k\pi) = 0$ for all nonzero integers $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Therefore, skipping several technical details, we emulate (2) and write

$$\begin{aligned} P(z) &= \left(1 - \frac{z}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{z}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{z}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{3\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{z}{3\pi}\right) \cdots \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{4\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{9\pi^2}\right) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Going back to the definition (3) of P , we have shown that

$$1 - \frac{z^2}{3!} + \frac{z^4}{5!} - \cdots = \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{4\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{9\pi^2}\right) \cdots$$

Comparing the coefficient of z^2 on both sides, we find

$$-\frac{1}{6} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2\pi^2},$$

and the result follows. □

Exercise. Show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$.

What about $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}$? This number was shown to be irrational in 1978. It is an open question whether there is a rational r such that the sum is equal to $r\pi^3$.

Theorem 3. There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{p \text{ prime}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}\right) &= \prod_{p \text{ prime}}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-s} + p^{-2s} + p^{-3s} + \cdots) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2^{2s}} + \cdots\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{3^{2s}} + \cdots\right) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Patiently multiplying out the final expression, and using the fact the each positive integer can be uniquely factored into primes, you will find that

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \right)$$

If were only finitely many primes, the product on the right would be finite, and hence we could evaluate it as a complex number when $s = 1$. However,

$$\zeta(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n},$$

which diverges. □

Note: The function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^s}$ is known as the *Riemann zeta function*. Our previous result states that

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$