

## MODULAR ARITHMETIC

(Supplemental reading: Example 2.3.10 in Swanson.)

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and recall  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , the integers modulo  $n$ . Its elements are the equivalence classes<sup>1</sup>, for the equivalence relation defined by  $a \sim b$  if  $a - b = kn$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example.** The elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$  are the equivalence classes for the integers modulo 5:

$$\begin{aligned} [0] &= \{\dots, -15, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, 15, \dots\} \\ [1] &= \{\dots, -14, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, 16, \dots\} \\ [2] &= \{\dots, -13, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, \dots\} \\ [3] &= \{\dots, -12, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, 18, \dots\} \\ [4] &= \{\dots, -11, -6, -1, 4, 9, 14, 19, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the equivalence classes *partition*  $\mathbb{Z}$ : each element of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is in exactly one of these sets. We know that must be the case since we are working with an equivalence relation. Also, recall that the equivalence classes do not have unique names. For instance,  $[1] = [6] = [-14]$ . Any two elements of the same equivalence class may serve as representatives for the equivalence class. We have chosen the “standard representatives” in this case.

There are exactly  $n$  equivalence classes for  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{[0], [1], \dots, [n-1]\}.$$

This follows from a standard result of elementary number theory (which we will assume without proof): Each integer  $a$  has a unique remainder  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  upon division by  $n$ . It follows that  $a = r + kn$ , and  $[a] = [r]$ . For instance, in the above example, note that the equivalence class  $[2]$  consists of all those integers whose remainder upon division by 5 is equal to 2. To find the remainder upon division by 5, we can add or subtract 5s until we get to a number between 0 and 4. The difference between that number and the original number will be some multiple of 5, and hence the two numbers will be equivalent (and therefore belong to the same equivalence class).

**Definition.** The numbers  $0, \dots, n-1$  are called the *standard representatives* for the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that if  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ , then the *equivalence class* for an element  $a \in A$  is the subset of  $A$  defined by  $[a] := \{x \in A : x \sim a\}$ .

**Important notation.** Again, fix  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $a \sim b$  if  $a - b = nk$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, if  $a \sim b$ , we write

$$a = b \pmod n$$

and say  $a$  is equal to  $b$  mod (or modulo)  $n$ .

**Example.** We have

$$28 = 22 \pmod 3, \quad 33333 = 0 \pmod 3, \quad 7 = 12 \pmod 5, \quad 134 = 4 = 9 = -6 \pmod 5.$$

Note that 28 and 22 both have a remainder of 1 upon division by 3. Therefore, they both equal 1 modulo 3. In general, two numbers are the same modulo  $n$  if and only if they have the same remainder upon division by  $n$ .

#### MODULAR ADDITION AND MULTIPLICATION

It turns out the addition and multiplication modulo  $n$  have a pleasant and extremely useful property:

**Proposition 1.** Let  $a, a', b, b', n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and suppose that

$$a' = a \pmod n \quad \text{and} \quad b' = b \pmod n.$$

Then

$$a' + b' = a + b \pmod n \quad \text{and} \quad a'b' = ab \pmod n.$$

*Proof.* Since  $a = a' \pmod n$  and  $b = b' \pmod n$ , there are integers  $k$  and  $\ell$  such that

$$a' = a + kn \quad \text{and} \quad b' = b + \ell n.$$

We then have

$$a' + b' = (a + kn) + (b + \ell n) = (a + b) + (k + \ell)n.$$

Thus,  $(a' + b') - (a + b)$  is a multiple of  $n$ . This means

$$a' + b' = a + b \pmod n.$$

Similarly,

$$a'b' = (a + kn)(b + \ell n) = ab + (a\ell + kb + k\ell n)n.$$

So  $a'b'$  and  $ab$  differ by a multiple of  $n$ . Thus,

$$a'b' = ab \pmod n.$$

□

**Example.** We have

$$2 + 4 = 1 \pmod{5} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \cdot 4 = 3 \pmod{5}.$$

Now, pick some random integers equivalent to 2 and 4 modulo 5, say 12 and 119, respectively:  $2 = 12 \pmod{5}$  and  $4 = 119 \pmod{5}$ . Compare the following calculation with the one we just did:

$$12 + 119 = 131 = 1 \pmod{5} \quad \text{and} \quad 12 \cdot 119 = 1428 = 3 \pmod{5}.$$

The point is: *it doesn't matter which representatives we pick for equivalence classes when doing arithmetic modulo  $n$ .*

Here is another example: it turns out that  $6^{1234} = 1 \pmod{5}$ . There are two ways to see this—one much harder than the other. The first way would be to multiply 6 by itself 1234 times to find

$$\begin{aligned} 6^{1234} = & 1732379250784311705176250882257708301496015396192567495371732614880 \\ & 75240087116999452906823658984674936277681968054843690376499837328271076188 \\ & 92950671536262244116264008289021109533978483211716495053443450915238047414 \\ & 79399403475492481577374563714344875179653434497389873227035019055954428562 \\ & 02239768057394177746236499587948233358542816161605304301756593416958294262 \\ & 67436091119516786850894185683803656555920650357615681847409321547653080745 \\ & 25500549643420339660570902440119972233854360573349265714550130678661859347 \\ & 15478704632375895211716581542338320112691541351494637861640278807937804211 \\ & 27793326220084212196058493788813758464496146785479978670277715864316234965 \\ & 21692936194869871189110975700858236818633323144274142613679161190931094133 \\ & 86088693223875438333744161644675543387797815167697133683764821601345219541 \\ & 06460251863121190470356204284374365775568691856593528119100968955782261714 \\ & 64048835337975361593424475051581642484227301745969638555856404916265709727 \\ & 645696 = 1 \pmod{5}. \end{aligned}$$

The other is to first note that  $6 = 1 \pmod{5}$ , and use the fact that multiplication “plays nicely” (to paraphrase Proposition 1) with equivalence modulo 5:

$$6^{1234} = 1^{1234} = 1 \pmod{5}.$$

In fact,  $6^n = 1 \pmod{5}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here is a similar example: since  $4 = -1 \pmod{5}$ , we have

$$4^{1234567} = (-1)^{1234567} = -1 = 4 \pmod{5},$$

and

$$4^{1234568} = (-1)^{1234568} = 1 \pmod{5},$$

**Definition** (Addition and multiplication for  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ). For each  $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$[a] + [b] := [a + b] \quad \text{and} \quad [a][b] = [ab].$$

The first thing to notice in the expression  $[a] + [b] = [a + b]$  is that the  $+$  on the right-hand side and the  $+$  on the left-hand side are different. The  $+$  on the right-hand side is ordinary addition of integers. The  $+$  on the left-hand side is a new operation: it defines how to add not integers but equivalence classes of integers. The meaning of the  $+$  on the left-hand side is a new thing which we are just now defining. It says this: in order to add two equivalence classes: (i) choose any representative integers for those classes, say  $a$  and  $b$ , (ii) add the representatives as integers,  $a + b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and then (iii) take the equivalence class of the result,  $[a + b]$ . Similar remarks hold for multiplication.

Since addition and multiplication depend on the representatives  $a$  and  $b$  that we choose for the equivalence classes, we need to make sure that the resulting sum  $[a + b]$  does not depend on that choice. So suppose that  $a'$  and  $b'$  are different choices for these equivalence classes. In other words, suppose that

$$[a] = [a'] \quad \text{and} \quad [b] = [b'].$$

We need to make sure that

$$[a] + [b] = [a'] + [b'] \quad \text{and} \quad [a][b] = [a'][b'].$$

Now, by the definition given just above,  $[a] + [b] := [a + b]$  and  $[a'] + [b'] := [a' + b']$ , and similarly for multiplication.<sup>2</sup> So we need to check that

$$[a + b] = [a' + b'] \quad \text{and} \quad [ab] = [a'b'].$$

Proposition 1 comes to the rescue: since  $[a] = [a']$ , we have  $a = a' \bmod n$ , and similarly,  $b = b' \bmod n$ . Proposition 1 then says  $a + b = a' + b' \bmod n$  and  $ab = a'b' \bmod n$ . In other words,  $a + b$  and  $a' + b'$  are both representatives of the same equivalence class and similarly for  $ab$  and  $a'b'$ , as desired.

**Example.** Here are addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . **Note:** For ease of notation in the tables below, we use standard representatives to represent equivalence classes. In other words, we will write  $a$  instead of  $[a]$  where  $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ :

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	2	3	0
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	0	1	2

·	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3
2	0	2	0	2
3	0	3	2	1

---

<sup>2</sup>The notation  $:=$  means “is defined by”.