MATH 361: NUMBER THEORY — FIFTH LECTURE

1. The Sun Ze Theorem

The Sun Ze Theorem is often called the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Here is an example to motivate it. Suppose that we want to solve the equation

$$13x = 23 \mod 2310.$$

(Note that $2310 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$.) Since gcd(13, 2310) = 1, we can solve the congruence using the extended Euclidean algorithm, but we want to think about it in a different way now. The idea is that

$$13x = 23 \mod 2310$$

$$\iff$$

$$13x = 23 (2), \ 13x = 23 (3), \ 13x = 23 (5), \ 13x = 23 (7), \ 13x = 23 (11)$$

$$\iff$$

$$x = 1 (2), \ x = 2 (3), \ 3x = 3 (5), \ 6x = 2 (7), \ 2x = 1 (11)$$

$$\iff$$

$$x = 1 (2), \ x = 2 (3), \ x = 1 (5), \ x = 5 (7), \ x = 6 (11).$$

This succession of equivalences has reduced *one* linear congruence with a *large* modulus to a *system* of linear congruences with *smaller* moduli. Furthermore, the moduli are pairwise coprime.

In general, given pairwise coprime positive integers n_1, \ldots, n_k , compute the integers

$$e_i = \left(\prod_{j \neq i} n_j\right) \times \left(\prod_{j \neq i} n_j\right)^{-1} \mod n_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

These numbers satisfy the conditions

$$e_i = \begin{cases} 1 \mod n_i \\ 0 \mod n_j & \text{for } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

That is, they are rather like the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n in that each e_i lies one unit along the *i*th direction and is orthogonal to the other directions. But in this context, *direction* refers to a modulus.

With the e_i in hand, we can solve the system of congruences

$$x = a_1 (n_1), \quad x = a_2 (n_2), \quad \cdots, \quad x = a_k (n_k).$$

A solution is simply the obvious linear combination,

$$x = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \dots + a_k e_k.$$

Returning to the example, a solution is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 1 \cdot (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11) \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot (2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11) \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11) \cdot 3 \\ &+ 5 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11) \cdot 1 + 6 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7) \cdot 1 \\ &= 8531 \\ &= 1601 \mod 2310. \end{aligned}$$

(It is easy to verify that $13 \cdot 1601 = 23 \mod 2310$.)

2. The Sun Ze Theorem Structurally

Again let n_1, \ldots, n_k be pairwise coprime positive integers, and let n be their product. The map

$$\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \prod_i \mathbb{Z}/n_i \mathbb{Z}, \qquad x \longmapsto (x \mod n_1, \dots, x \mod n_k)$$

is a ring homomorphism. Its kernel is $n\mathbb{Z}$. So the map descends to an injection

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \prod_{i} \mathbb{Z}/n_{i}\mathbb{Z}, \qquad x \mod n \longmapsto (x \mod n_{1}, \dots, x \mod n_{k})$$

But this injection surjects as well. One can see this either by counting (both sides are finite rings with n elements) or by noting that in fact we have *constructed* the inverse map,

$$\prod_{i} \mathbb{Z}/n_{i}\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \qquad (x_{1} \bmod n_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \bmod n_{k}) \longmapsto \sum x_{i}e_{i} \bmod n.$$

For example, the inverse of

$$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \qquad x \mod 12 \longmapsto (x \mod 4, x \mod 3)$$

is

 $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}, \qquad (x_1 \mod 4, x_2 \mod 3) \longmapsto 9x_1 + 4x_2 \mod 12.$ Especially, if the n_i are prime powers then we have an isomorphism

 $\mathbb{Z}/(p_1^{e_1}\cdots p_k^{e_k})\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{e_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p_k^{e_k}\mathbb{Z}),$

or

$$\mathbb{Z}/(\prod_p p^{e_p})\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z}$$

3. The Miller-Rabin Test Again

Suppose that an odd integer n factors as $n = \prod_p p^{e_p}$. By the Sun Ze Theorem, the condition

 $x^2 = 1 \mod n$

is equivalent to the simultaneous conditions

$$x^2 = 1 \mod p^{e_p} \quad \text{for all } p \mid n,$$

which in turn, because n is odd, is equivalent to the simultaneous conditions

 $x = \pm 1 \mod p^{e_p}$ for all $p \mid n$,

with all the " \pm " signs independent of each other. Thus, if n is divisible by k distinct primes then there are 2^k square roots of 1 modulo n.

Of these 2^k square roots of 1 modulo n, only one is -1 modulo n. The Miller-Rabin test returns the result that n could be prime if it finds the particular square root -1 of 1 modulo n. The odds of finding -1 rather than some other square root of 1 are $1/2^k$, so they are at most 1/4.

4. A SIMPLE THRESH-HOLD SCHEME BASED ON THE SUN ZE THEOREM

Let n_1, \ldots, n_k be pairwise coprime integers, all large. Define

N = the product of all the n_i ,

n = the product of all the n_i except n_k .

Thus

$$N/n = n_k$$

Consider a secret number

$$x: 0 \le x < N.$$

Let $a_i = x \mod n_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then:

All k of the
$$a_i$$
 determine x, but the first $k-1$ of them do not.

Indeed, given a_1 through a_k , the Sun Ze Theorem shows how the congruences

$$\tilde{x} = a_i \mod n_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

give us a value \tilde{x} in $\{0, \dots, N-1\}$ that agrees with x modulo N. But also x lies in the same range as \tilde{x} , so they are equal.

On the other hand, given only a_1 through a_{k-1}), we can solve the congruences

$$\tilde{x} = a_i \mod n_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

and so we have a value $\tilde{x} \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ that agrees with x modulo n. But also \tilde{x} plus any multiple of n is a candidate for x until we reach N. Thus there are $N/n = n_k$ candidates for x based on \tilde{x} .